arXiv:1511.07025v2 [math-ph] 19 May 2016

Bose particles in a box II. A convergent expansion of the ground state of the Bogoliubov Hamiltonian in the mean field limiting regime.

A. Pizzo *
Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Roma “Tor Vergata",
Via della Ricerca Scientifica 1, I-00133 Roma, Italy

11/05/2016

Abstract

In this paper we consider an interacting Bose gas at zero temperature, in a finite box and in the mean field limiting regime. The N gas particles interact through a pair potential of positive type and with an ultraviolet cut-off. Its (nonzero) Fourier components are sufficiently large with respect to the corresponding kinetic energies of the modes. Using the multi-scale technique in the occupation numbers of particle states introduced in [Pi1], we provide a convergent expansion of the ground state of the *particle number preserving* Bogoliubov Hamiltonian in terms of the bare operators. In the limit $N \to \infty$ the expansion is up to any desired precision.

Summary of contents

- In Section 1, the model of a Bose gas is defined along with the notation used throughout the paper. In particular, the *particle number preserving* Bogoliubov Hamiltonian (from now on Bogoliubov Hamiltonian) is defined.
- In Section 2, we review the main ideas and results of the multi-scale analysis in the occupation numbers of particle states implemented for the three-modes Bogoliubov Hamiltonian in [Pi1]. In fact, the full Bogoliubov Hamiltonian can be thought of as a collection of three-modes systems, and we can iteratively apply the multi-scale analysis to them. The corresponding Feshbach flows are described informally in Section 2.1.
- In Section 3, in the mean field limiting regime (i.e., at fixed box volume $|\Lambda|$, N sufficiently large, and for a coupling constant inversely proportional to the particle density) a convergent expansion of the ground state vector of the Bogoliubov Hamiltonian is provided as a byproduct of subsequent Feshbach flows, each of them associated with a couple of (interacting) modes.

*email: pizzo@mat.uniroma2.it

1 Interacting Bose gas in a box

We study the Hamiltonian describing a gas of (spinless) nonrelativistic Bose particles that, at zero temperature, are constrained to a $d-dimensional$ box of side L with $d \geq 1$. The particles interact through a pair potential with a coupling constant proportional to the inverse of the particle density ρ. The rigorous description of this system has many intriguing mathematical aspects not completely clarified yet. In spite of remarkable contributions also in recent years, some important problems are still open to date, in particular in connection to the thermodynamic limit and the exact structure of the ground state vector. We shall briefly mention the results closer to our present work and give references to the reader for the details.

Some of the results have been concerned with the low energy spectrum of the Hamiltonian that in the mean field limit was predicted by Bogoliubov [Bo1], [Bo2]. The expression predicted by Bogoliubov for the ground state energy has been rigorously proven for certain systems in [LS1], [LS2], [ESY],[YY]. Concerning the excitation spectrum, in Bogoliubov theory it consists of elementary excitations whose energy is linear in the momentum for small momenta. After some important results restricted to one-dimensional models (see [G], [LL], [L]), this conjecture was proven by Seiringer in [Se1] (see also [GS]) for the low-energy spectrum of an interacting Bose gas in a finite box and in the mean field limit, where the pair potential is of positive type. In [LNSS] it has been extended to a more general class of potentials and the limiting behavior of the low energy eigenstates has been studied. Later, the result of [Se1] has been proven to be valid in a sort of diagonal limit where the particle density and the box volume diverge according to a prescribed asymptotics; see [DN]. Recently, Bogoliubov's prediction on the energy spectrum in the mean field limit has been shown to be valid also for the high energy eigenvalues (see [NS]).
These results are based on energy estimates starting from the spectrum of the corresponding Bogoliubov Hamiltonian.

A different approach to studying a gas of Bose particles is based on renormalization group. In this respect, we mention the paper by Benfatto, [Be], where he provided *an order by order control* of the Schwinger functions of this system in three dimensions and with an ultraviolet cut-off. His analysis holds at zero temperature in the infinite volume limit and at finite particle density. Thus, it contains a fully consistent treatment of the infrared divergences at a perturbative level. This program has been later developed in [CDPS1], [CDPS2], and, more recently, in [C] and [CG] by making use of *Ward identities* to deal also with two-dimensional systems where some partial control of the renormalization flow has been provided; see [C] for a detailed review of previous related results.
Within the renormalization group approach, we also mention some results towards a rigorous construction of the functional integral for this system contained in [BFKT1], [BFKT2], and [BFKT].

Both in the grand canonical and in the canonical ensemble approach (see [Se1]), starting from the Hamiltonian of the system one can define an approximated one, the Bogoliubov Hamiltonian. For a finite box and a large class of pair potentials, upon a unitary transformation the Bogoliubov Hamiltonian describes[1] a system of non-interacting bosons with a new energy dispersion law, which is in fact the correct description of the energy spectrum of the Bose particles system in the mean field limit.

In the companion paper [Pi1], we constructed the ground state of so called three-modes

[1] In the canonical ensemble approach the diagonalization of the (particle preserving) Bogoliubov Hamiltonian is exact only in the mean field limit (see [Se1].

Bogoliubov Hamiltonians by means of a multi-scale analysis in the particle states occupation numbers. Here, we explain how the new multi-scale scheme can be applied to a Bogoliubov Hamiltonian involving a finite number of interacting modes. Indeed, this is possible in the mean field limiting regime and under the *strong interaction potential assumption* that is the same regime considered in [Pi1] where the interaction potential is strong with respect to the kinetic energy of the interacting modes; see Definition 1.1. The results of the present paper are preliminary ingredients for the construction and expansion of the ground state of the complete Hamiltonian of the system in [Pi3].

We recall the Hamiltonian describing a gas of (spinless) Bose particles that, at zero temperature, are constrained to a $d-dimensional$ box, $d \geq 1$, and interact through a pair potential. Though the number of particles is fixed we use the formalism of second quantization. The Hamiltonian corresponding to the pair potential $\phi(x-y)$ and to the coupling constant $\lambda > 0$ is

$$\mathscr{H} := \int \frac{1}{2m}(\nabla a^*)(\nabla a)(x)dx + \frac{\lambda}{2}\int\int a^*(x)a^*(y)\phi(x-y)a(x)a(y)dxdy\,, \tag{1.1}$$

where: $\hbar$ has been set equal to 1; reference to the integration domain $\Lambda := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \,|\, |x_i| \leq \frac{L}{2}\,,\ i = 1,2,\ldots,d\}$ is omitted and periodic boundary conditions are assumed; dx is the Lebesgue measure in d dimensions. Here, the operators $a^*(x)\,, a(x)$ are the usual operator-valued distributions on

$$\mathcal{F} := \Gamma\left(L^2\left(\Lambda, \mathbb{C}; dx\right)\right)$$

that satisfy the canonical commutation relations

$$[a^{\#}(x), a^{\#}(y)] = 0, \qquad [a(x), a^*(y)] = \delta(x-y)\mathbb{1},$$

with $a^{\#} := a$ or a^*. In terms of the field modes, they read

$$a(x) = \sum_{\mathbf{j}\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \frac{a_{\mathbf{j}}e^{ik_{\mathbf{j}}\cdot x}}{|\Lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad a^*(x) = \sum_{\mathbf{j}\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \frac{a^*_{\mathbf{j}}e^{-ik_{\mathbf{j}}\cdot x}}{|\Lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}},$$

where $k_{\mathbf{j}} := \frac{2\pi}{L}\mathbf{j}$, $\mathbf{j} = (j_1,\ldots,j_d)$, $j_1,\ldots,j_d \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $|\Lambda| = L^d$, with CCR

$$[a^{\#}_{\mathbf{j}}, a^{\#}_{\mathbf{j}'}] = 0, \qquad [a_{\mathbf{j}}, a^*_{\mathbf{j}'}] = \delta_{\mathbf{j},\mathbf{j}'}\,, \quad a^{\#}_{\mathbf{j}} = a_{\mathbf{j}} \text{ or } a^*_{\mathbf{j}}.$$

The (nondegenerate) vacuum vector of $\mathcal{F}$ is denoted by Ω ($||\Omega|| = 1$).

Given any function $\varphi \in L^2(\Lambda, \mathbb{C}; dz)$, we express it in terms of its Fourier coefficients $\varphi_{\mathbf{j}}$, i.e.,

$$\varphi(z) = \frac{1}{|\Lambda|}\sum_{\mathbf{j}\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \varphi_{\mathbf{j}}e^{ik_{\mathbf{j}}z}\,, \tag{1.2}$$

and the Parseval identity reads

$$\int dz|\varphi|^2(z) = \frac{1}{|\Lambda|}\sum_{\mathbf{j}\in\mathbb{Z}^d} |\varphi_{\mathbf{j}}|^2 < \infty\,. \tag{1.3}$$

Definition 1.1. *The potential $\phi(x-y)$ is a bounded, real-valued function that is periodic, i.e., $\phi(z) = \phi(z + \mathbf{j}L)$ for $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, and satisfies the following conditions:*

1. *$\phi(z)$ is an even function, in consequence $\phi_{\mathbf{j}} = \phi_{-\mathbf{j}}$.*
2. *$\phi(z)$ is of positive type, i.e., the Fourier components $\phi_{\mathbf{j}}$ are nonnegative.*

3. *The pair interaction has a fixed but arbitrarily large ultraviolet cutoff (i.e., the nonzero Fourier components $\phi_{\mathbf{j}}$ form a finite set $\{\phi_{\mathbf{0}}, \phi_{\pm\mathbf{j}_1}, \ldots, \phi_{\pm\mathbf{j}_M}\}$) with the requirement below to be satisfied:*

   (Strong Interaction Potential Assumption) The ratio $\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}}$ between the kinetic energy of the modes $\pm\mathbf{j} \neq \mathbf{0}$ and the corresponding Fourier component, $\phi_{\mathbf{j}}(\neq 0)$, of the potential, i.e., $\frac{k_{\mathbf{j}}^2}{\phi_{\mathbf{j}}} =: \epsilon_{\mathbf{j}}$, is required to be small enough to ensure the estimates used in [Pi1].

We restrict $\mathscr{H}$ to the Fock subspace $\mathcal{F}^N$ of vectors with N particles

$$\mathscr{H} \restriction_{\mathcal{F}^N} = \Big(\int \frac{1}{2m} (\nabla a^*)(\nabla a)(x) dx + \frac{\lambda}{2} \int \int a^*(x) a^*(y) \phi(x-y) a(y) a(x) dx dy \Big) \restriction_{\mathcal{F}^N} . \quad (1.4)$$

From now on, we shall study the Hamiltonian

$$H := \int \frac{1}{2m} (\nabla a^*)(\nabla a)(x) dx + \frac{\lambda}{2} \int \int a^*(x) a^*(y) \phi(x-y) a(y) a(x) dx dy + c_N \mathbb{1} \quad (1.5)$$

where $c_N := \frac{\lambda \phi_{\mathbf{0}}}{2|\Lambda|} N - \frac{\lambda \phi_{\mathbf{0}}}{2|\Lambda|} N^2$ with $\mathbf{0} = (0, \ldots, 0)$, and it is always meant to be restricted to the subspace $\mathcal{F}^N$. Notice that

$$\mathscr{H} \restriction_{\mathcal{F}^N} = (H - c_N \mathbb{1}) \restriction_{\mathcal{F}^N} . \quad (1.6)$$

1.1 The Hamiltonian H and the Hamiltonian H^{Bog}

Using the definitions

$$a_+(x) := \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}} \frac{a_{\mathbf{j}}}{|\Lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{i k_{\mathbf{j}} \cdot x} \quad \text{and} \quad a_{\mathbf{0}}(x) := \frac{a_{\mathbf{0}}}{|\Lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}} , \quad (1.1)$$

the Hamiltonian H reads

$$\begin{aligned}
H &= \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \frac{k_{\mathbf{j}}^2}{2m} a_{\mathbf{j}}^* a_{\mathbf{j}} && (1.2)\\
&+ \frac{\lambda}{2} \int \int a_+^*(x) a_+^*(y) \phi(x-y) a_+(x) a_+(y) dx dy && (1.3)\\
&+ \lambda \int \int \{a_+^*(x) a_+^*(y) \phi(x-y) a_+(x) a_{\mathbf{0}}(y) + h.c.\} dx dy && (1.4)\\
&+ \frac{\lambda}{2} \int \int \{a_{\mathbf{0}}^*(x) a_{\mathbf{0}}^*(y) \phi(x-y) a_+(x) a_+(y) + h.c.\} dx dy && (1.5)\\
&+ \lambda \int \int a_{\mathbf{0}}^*(x) a_+^*(y) \phi(x-y) a_{\mathbf{0}}(x) a_+(y) dx dy && (1.6)\\
&+ \lambda \int \int a_{\mathbf{0}}^*(x) a_+^*(y) \phi(x-y) a_{\mathbf{0}}(y) a_+(x) dx dy && (1.7)\\
&+ \frac{\lambda}{2} \int \int a_{\mathbf{0}}^*(x) a_{\mathbf{0}}^*(y) \phi(x-y) a_{\mathbf{0}}(x) a_{\mathbf{0}}(y) dx dy && (1.8)\\
&+ c_N \mathbb{1} . && (1.9)
\end{aligned}$$

Because of the implicit restriction to $\mathcal{F}^N$ and the choice of the constant c_N, it turns out that

$$H = \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \frac{k_{\mathbf{j}}^2}{2m} a_{\mathbf{j}}^* a_{\mathbf{j}} \quad (1.10)$$

$$+\frac{\lambda}{2}\int\int a_+^*(x)a_+^*(y)\phi_{(\neq 0)}(x-y)a_+(x)a_+(y)dxdy \tag{1.11}$$

$$+\lambda\int\int\{a_+^*(x)a_+^*(y)\phi_{(\neq 0)}(x-y)a_+(x)a_{\mathbf{0}}(y)+h.c.\}dxdy \tag{1.12}$$

$$+\frac{\lambda}{2}\int\int\{a_{\mathbf{0}}^*(x)a_{\mathbf{0}}^*(y)\phi_{(\neq 0)}(x-y)a_+(x)a_+(y)+h.c.\}dxdy \tag{1.13}$$

$$+\lambda\int\int a_{\mathbf{0}}^*(x)a_+^*(y)\phi_{(\neq 0)}(x-y)a_{\mathbf{0}}(y)a_+(x)dxdy \tag{1.14}$$

where $\phi_{(\neq 0)}(x-y) := \phi(x-y) - \phi_{(0)}(x-y)$ with $\phi_{(0)}(x-y) := \frac{\phi_{\mathbf{0}}}{|\Lambda|}$.

Next, we define the *particle number preserving* Bogoliubov Hamiltonian

$$H^{Bog} := \sum_{\mathbf{j}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\frac{k_{\mathbf{j}}^2}{2m}a_{\mathbf{j}}^*a_{\mathbf{j}} \tag{1.15}$$

$$+\frac{\lambda}{2}\int\int a_{\mathbf{0}}^*(x)a_{\mathbf{0}}^*(y)\phi_{(\neq 0)}(x-y)a_+(x)a_+(y)dxdy \tag{1.16}$$

$$+\frac{\lambda}{2}\int\int a_+^*(x)a_+^*(y)\phi_{(\neq 0)}(x-y)a_{\mathbf{0}}(x)a_{\mathbf{0}}(y)dxdy \tag{1.17}$$

$$+\lambda\int\int a_{\mathbf{0}}^*(x)a_+^*(y)\phi_{(\neq 0)}(x-y)a_{\mathbf{0}}(y)a_+(x)dxdy \tag{1.18}$$

that we can express in terms of the field modes

$$H^{Bog} = \sum_{\mathbf{j}\in\mathbb{Z}^d\setminus\{\mathbf{0}\}}(\frac{k_{\mathbf{j}}^2}{2m}+\lambda\frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}}}{|\Lambda|}a_{\mathbf{0}}^*a_{\mathbf{0}})a_{\mathbf{j}}^*a_{\mathbf{j}}+\frac{\lambda}{2}\sum_{\mathbf{j}\in\mathbb{Z}^d\setminus\{\mathbf{0}\}}\frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}}}{|\Lambda|}\left\{a_{\mathbf{0}}^*a_{\mathbf{0}}^*a_{\mathbf{j}}a_{-\mathbf{j}}+a_{\mathbf{j}}^*a_{-\mathbf{j}}^*a_{\mathbf{0}}a_{\mathbf{0}}\right\}. \tag{1.19}$$

Hence, the Hamiltonian H corresponds to

$$H = H^{Bog} + V \tag{1.20}$$

with

$$V := \lambda\int\int a_+^*(x)a_{\mathbf{0}}^*(y)\phi_{(\neq 0)}(x-y)a_+(x)a_+(y)dxdy \tag{1.21}$$

$$+\lambda\int\int a_+^*(x)a_+^*(y)\phi_{(\neq 0)}(x-y)a_+(x)a_{\mathbf{0}}(y)dxdy \tag{1.22}$$

$$+\frac{\lambda}{2}\int\int a_+^*(x)a_+^*(y)\phi_{(\neq 0)}(x-y)a_+(x)a_+(y)dxdy\,. \tag{1.23}$$

Following the convention of [Pi1], we set

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{\rho} \quad , \quad m = \frac{1}{2} \quad , \quad N = \rho|\Lambda| \text{ and even}, \tag{1.24}$$

where $\rho > 0$ is the particle density.

In this paper we are interested in the mean field limiting regime. This means that we keep the box fixed and let the particle density ρ be (arbitrarily) large. Consequently, in the mean field limiting regime the number of particles N is independent of L.

Notation

1. The symbol $\mathbb{1}$ stands for the identity operator. If helpful we specify the Hilbert space where it acts, e.g., $\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{F}^N}$. For $c-$number operators, e.g., $z\mathbb{1}$, we may omit the symbol $\mathbb{1}$.
2. The symbol $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ stands for the scalar product in $\mathcal{F}^N$.
3. The word mode is used for the wavelength $\frac{2\pi}{L}\mathbf{j}$ (or simply for $\mathbf{j}$) when we refer to the field mode associated with it.
4. The symbol $O(\alpha)$ stands for a quantity bounded in absolute value by a constant times α ($\alpha > 0$). The symbol $o(\alpha)$ stands for a quantity such that $o(\alpha)/\alpha \to 0$ as $\alpha \to 0$. Throughout the paper the implicit multiplicative constants are always independent of N.
5. In some cases we shall use explicit constants, e.g., C_I, if the same quantity will be used in later proofs. Unless otherwise specified or unless it is obvious from the context the explicit constants may depend on the size of the box and on the details of the potential, in particular on the number, M, of couples of nonzero frequency components ($\neq 0$) in the Fourier expansion of the pair potential.
6. The symbol $|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$, with $\|\psi\| = 1$, stands for the one-dimensional projection onto the state ψ.
7. Theorems and lemmas from the companion paper [Pi1] are underlined, quoted in italic, and with the numbering that they have in the corresponding paper; e.g., *Theorem 3.1 of [Pi1]*.

2 Multi-scale analysis in the particle states occupation numbers for a three-modes Bogoliubov Hamiltonian: Review of results

In [Pi1] we considered the *three-modes Bogoliubov Hamiltonian*

$$H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*} := \sum_{\mathbf{j}\in\mathbb{Z}^d\setminus\{\mathbf{0}\,;\,\pm\mathbf{j}_*\}} k^2_{\mathbf{j}} a^*_{\mathbf{j}} a_{\mathbf{j}} + \hat{H}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*} \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$\hat{H}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*} := \hat{H}^0_{\mathbf{j}_*} + W_{\mathbf{j}_*} + W^*_{\mathbf{j}_*} \tag{2.2}$$

involves the three modes $\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{0}, \pm\mathbf{j}_*$ only ($\mathbf{j}_* \neq \mathbf{0}$); see the definitions in (2.3)-(2.4). Therefore, $H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*}$ is the sum of:

- The operator

$$\hat{H}^0_{\mathbf{j}_*} := (k^2_{\mathbf{j}_*} + \phi_{\mathbf{j}_*}\frac{a^*_{\mathbf{0}}a_{\mathbf{0}}}{N})a^*_{\mathbf{j}_*}a_{\mathbf{j}_*} + (k^2_{\mathbf{j}_*} + \phi_{\mathbf{j}_*}\frac{a^*_{\mathbf{0}}a_{\mathbf{0}}}{N})a^*_{-\mathbf{j}_*}a_{-\mathbf{j}_*} \tag{2.3}$$

  commuting with each number operator $a^*_{\mathbf{j}}a_{\mathbf{j}}$;

- The interaction terms

$$\phi_{\mathbf{j}_*}\frac{a^*_{\mathbf{0}}a^*_{\mathbf{0}}a_{\mathbf{j}_*}a_{-\mathbf{j}_*}}{N} =: W_{\mathbf{j}_*} \quad , \quad \phi_{\mathbf{j}_*}\frac{a_{\mathbf{0}}a_{\mathbf{0}}a^*_{\mathbf{j}_*}a^*_{-\mathbf{j}_*}}{N} =: W^*_{\mathbf{j}_*} \tag{2.4}$$

  that change the number of particles in the three modes $\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{0}, \pm\mathbf{j}_*$;

- The kinetic energy $\sum_{\mathbf{j}\in\mathbb{Z}^d\setminus\{\pm\mathbf{j}_*\}} k_{\mathbf{j}}^2 a_{\mathbf{j}}^* a_{\mathbf{j}}$ of the noninteracting modes.

The following identity follows from the definitions above:

$$H^{Bog} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\mathbf{j}\in\mathbb{Z}^d\setminus\{\mathbf{0}\}} \hat{H}_{\mathbf{j}}^{Bog}\,. \tag{2.5}$$

Remark 2.1. *Notice that $H_{\mathbf{j}_*}^{Bog}$ contains the kinetic energy corresponding to all the modes whereas $\hat{H}_{\mathbf{j}_*}^{Bog}$ contains the kinetic energy associated with the interacting modes only.*

2.1 Feshbach projections and Feshbach Hamiltonians associated with $H_{\mathbf{j}_*}^{Bog}$

In this section, we briefly summarize the main results of the strategy introduced in [Pi1] where we have constructed the ground state of $H_{\mathbf{j}_*}^{Bog}$ by implementing a multi-scale analysis in the occupation numbers of the modes $\pm\mathbf{j}_*$. The multi-scale analysis relies on a novel application of Feshbach map that we outline in the next lines.

For the Hamiltonian $H_{\mathbf{j}_*}^{Bog}$ applied to $\mathcal{F}^N$ we define:

- $Q_{\mathbf{j}_*}^{(0,1)}$:= the projection (in $\mathcal{F}^N$) onto the subspace generated by vectors with $N-0=N$ or $N-1$ particles in the modes $\mathbf{j}_*$ and $-\mathbf{j}_*$, i.e., the operator $a_{\mathbf{j}_*}^* a_{\mathbf{j}_*} + a_{-\mathbf{j}_*}^* a_{-\mathbf{j}_*}$ has eigenvalues N and $N-1$ when restricted to $Q_{\mathbf{j}_*}^{(0,1)}\mathcal{F}^N$;
- $Q_{\mathbf{j}_*}^{(>1)}$:= the projection onto the orthogonal complement of $Q_{\mathbf{j}_*}^{(0,1)}\mathcal{F}^N$ in $\mathcal{F}^N$.

Therefore, we have

$$Q_{\mathbf{j}_*}^{(0,1)} + Q_{\mathbf{j}_*}^{(>1)} = \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{F}^N}\,.$$

Analogously, starting from $i=2$ up to $i=N-2$ with i even, we define $Q_{\mathbf{j}_*}^{(i,i+1)}$ the projection onto the subspace of $Q_{\mathbf{j}_*}^{(>1)}\mathcal{F}^N$ spanned by the vectors with $N-i$ or $N-i-1$ particles in the modes $\mathbf{j}_*$ and $-\mathbf{j}_*$. Furthermore, $Q_{\mathbf{j}_*}^{(>i+1)}$ is the projection onto the orthogonal complement of $Q_{\mathbf{j}_*}^{(i,i+1)}Q_{\mathbf{j}_*}^{(>i-1)}\mathcal{F}^N$ in $Q_{\mathbf{j}_*}^{(>i-1)}\mathcal{F}^N$, i.e.,

$$Q_{\mathbf{j}_*}^{(>i+1)} + Q_{\mathbf{j}_*}^{(i,i+1)} = Q_{\mathbf{j}_*}^{(>i-1)}\,. \tag{2.6}$$

We recall that given the (separable) Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, the projections $\mathscr{P}$, $\overline{\mathscr{P}}$ ($\mathscr{P}=\mathscr{P}^2$, $\overline{\mathscr{P}}=\overline{\mathscr{P}}^2$) where $\mathscr{P}+\overline{\mathscr{P}}=\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{H}}$, and a closed operator $K-z$, z in a subset of $\mathbb{C}$, acting on $\mathcal{H}$ the Feshbach map associated with the couple $\mathscr{P}$, $\overline{\mathscr{P}}$ maps $K-z$ to the operator $\mathscr{F}(K-z)$ acting on $\mathscr{P}\mathcal{H}$ where (formally)

$$\mathscr{F}(K-z) := \mathscr{P}(K-z)\mathscr{P} - \mathscr{P}K\overline{\mathscr{P}}\frac{1}{\overline{\mathscr{P}}(K-z)\overline{\mathscr{P}}}\overline{\mathscr{P}}K\mathscr{P}\,. \tag{2.7}$$

We iterate the Feshbach map starting from $i=0$ up to $i=N-2$ with i even, using the projections $\mathscr{P}^{(i)}$ and $\overline{\mathscr{P}^{(i)}}$ for the i-th step of the iteration where

$$\mathscr{P}^{(i)} := Q_{\mathbf{j}_*}^{(>i+1)} \quad , \quad \overline{\mathscr{P}^{(i)}} := Q_{\mathbf{j}_*}^{(i,i+1)}\,. \tag{2.8}$$

We denote by $\mathscr{F}^{(i)}$ the Feshbach map at the *i-th* step (i even) of the iteration. We start applying $\mathscr{F}^{(0)}$ to $H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*} - z$ where $z(\in \mathbb{R})$ ranges in the interval $(-\infty, z_{max})$ with z_{max} larger but very close to

$$E^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*} := -\Big[k^2_{\mathbf{j}_*} + \phi_{\mathbf{j}_*} - \sqrt{(k^2_{\mathbf{j}_*})^2 + 2\phi_{\mathbf{j}} k^2_{\mathbf{j}_*}}\Big]. \tag{2.9}$$

Hence, we define

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(0)}_{\mathbf{j}_*}(z) &:= \mathscr{F}^{(0)}(H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*} - z) && (2.10)\\
&= Q^{(>1)}_{\mathbf{j}_*}(H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*} - z)Q^{(>1)}_{\mathbf{j}_*} - Q^{(>1)}_{\mathbf{j}_*} H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*} Q^{(0,1)}_{\mathbf{j}_*} \frac{1}{Q^{(0,1)}_{\mathbf{j}_*}(H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*} - z)Q^{(0,1)}_{\mathbf{j}_*}} Q^{(0,1)}_{\mathbf{j}_*} H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*} Q^{(>1)}_{\mathbf{j}_*} && (2.11)\\
&= Q^{(>1)}_{\mathbf{j}_*}(H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*} - z)Q^{(>1)}_{\mathbf{j}_*} - Q^{(>1)}_{\mathbf{j}_*} W_{\mathbf{j}_*} Q^{(0,1)}_{\mathbf{j}_*} \frac{1}{Q^{(0,1)}_{\mathbf{j}_*}(H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*} - z)Q^{(0,1)}_{\mathbf{j}_*}} Q^{(0,1)}_{\mathbf{j}_*} W^*_{\mathbf{j}_*} Q^{(>1)}_{\mathbf{j}_*}. && (2.12)
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we define

$$\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(i)}_{\mathbf{j}_*}(z) := \mathscr{F}^{(i)}(\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(i-2)}_{\mathbf{j}_*}(z)) \quad , \quad i = 2, \dots, N-2 \quad i \text{ even}, \tag{2.13}$$

that acts on $Q^{(>i+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_*}\mathcal{F}^N$. In the derivation of the Hamiltonians $\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(i)}_{\mathbf{j}_*}(z)$ we employ the following convenient notation

$$W_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,i,i'} := Q^{(i,i+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_*} W_{\mathbf{j}_*} Q^{(i',i'+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_*} \quad , \quad W^*_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,i,i'} := Q^{(i,i+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_*} W^*_{\mathbf{j}_*} Q^{(i',i'+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_*},$$

and

$$R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,i,i}(z) := Q^{(i,i+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_*} \frac{1}{Q^{(i,i+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_*}(H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*} - z)Q^{(i,i+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_*}} Q^{(i,i+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_*}.$$

Due to the selection rules of the operators $W_{\mathbf{j}_*}$ and $W^*_{\mathbf{j}_*}$ the Feshbach Hamiltonian at the $i-th$ step is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(i)}_{\mathbf{j}_*}(z) && (2.14)\\
= \;& Q^{(>i+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_*}(H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*} - z)Q^{(>i+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_*} && (2.15)\\
& - \sum_{l_i=0}^{\infty} Q^{(>i+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_*} W_{\mathbf{j}_*} Q^{(i,i+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_*} R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,i,i}(z)\Big[W_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,i,i-2}\, R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,i-2,i-2}(z) \times && (2.16)\\
& \qquad \times \sum_{l_{i-2}=0}^{\infty} \Big[W_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,i-2,i-4} \dots W^*_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,i-4,i-2} R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,i-2,i-2}(z)\Big]^{l_{i-2}} W^*_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,i-2,i} R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,i,i}(z)\Big]^{l_i} Q^{(i,i+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_*} W^*_{\mathbf{j}_*} Q^{(>i+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_*} && (2.17)
\end{aligned}$$

where the expressions corresponding to ... in (2.17) are made precise in *Theorem 3.1 of [Pi1]* reported below.

Remark 2.2. *The ratio $\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_*}$ between the kinetic energy of the modes $\pm\mathbf{j}_* \neq \mathbf{0}$ and the corresponding Fourier component, $\phi_{\mathbf{j}_*}$, of the potential, i.e., $\frac{k^2_{\mathbf{j}_*}}{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_*}} =: \epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_*}$, is required to be small to ensure the estimates used in [Pi1]. Notice that $\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_*}$ small corresponds either to a low energy mode $\pm\frac{2\pi\mathbf{j}_*}{L}$ or/and to a large potential $\phi_{\mathbf{j}_*}$.*

Here, we recall the main result from [Pi1] regarding the control of the flow of Feshbach Hamiltonians along with some related tools.

Theorem 3.1 of [Pi1]
For

$$z \leq E^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*} + (\delta - 1)\phi_{\mathbf{j}^*}\sqrt{\epsilon^2_{\mathbf{j}_*} + 2\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_*}} \tag{2.18}$$

with $\delta \leq 1 + \sqrt{\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}^*}}$, $\frac{1}{N} \leq \epsilon^{\nu}_{\mathbf{j}_*}$ *for some* $\nu > \frac{11}{8}$, *and* $\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_*}$ *sufficiently small, the operators* $\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(i)}_{\mathbf{j}_*}(z)$, $0 \leq i \leq N-2$ *and even, are well defined. For* $i = 0$, *it is given in (2.12). For* $i = 2, 4, 6, \ldots, N-2$ *they correspond to*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(i)}_{\mathbf{j}_*}(z) &= Q^{(>i+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_*}(H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*} - z)Q^{(>i+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_*} \\ &\quad - \sum_{l_i=0}^{\infty} Q^{(>i+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_*} W_{\mathbf{j}_*}\, R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,i,i}(z)\Big[\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,i,i}(z)R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,i,i}(z)\Big]^{l_i} W^*_{\mathbf{j}_*} Q^{(>i+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_*} \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

where:

- $$\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,2,2}(z) := W_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,2,0}\, R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,0,0}(z) W^*_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,0,2} \tag{2.20}$$

- *for* $N - 2 \geq i \geq 4$,

$$\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,i,i}(z) := W_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,i,i-2}\, R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,i-2,i-2}(z) \sum_{l_{i-2}=0}^{\infty} \Big[\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,i-2,i-2}(z)R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,i-2,i-2}(z)\Big]^{l_{i-2}} W^*_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,i-2,i} \tag{2.21}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= W_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,i,i-2}\,(R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,i-2,i-2}(z))^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{l_{i-2}=0}^{\infty} \Big[(R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,i-2,i-2}(z))^{\frac{1}{2}}\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,i-2,i-2}(z)(R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,i-2,i-2}(z))^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big]^{l_{i-2}} \times \\ &\quad \times (R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,i-2,i-2}(z))^{\frac{1}{2}} W^*_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,i-2,i}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

Hence, *Theorem 3.1 of [Pi1]* states that the flow of Feshbach Hamiltonians (up to the index value $N-2$) can be defined for spectral values z up to $E^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*} + (\delta - 1)\phi_{\mathbf{j}^*}\sqrt{\epsilon^2_{\mathbf{j}_*} + 2\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_*}}$ with $\delta > 1$ but very close to 1. The Hamiltonian $\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(N-2)}_{\mathbf{j}_*}(z)$ acts on the Hilbert space $Q^{(>N-1)}_{\mathbf{j}_*}\mathcal{F}^N$.

The key estimates to prove *Theorem 3.1 of [Pi1]* have been derived in *Lemma 3.4* and *Lemma 3.6* of [Pi1]. In fact, the Feshbach map is implementable as long as

$$\|(R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,i-2,i-2}(z))^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{l_{i-2}=0}^{\infty} \Big[(R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,i-2,i-2}(z))^{\frac{1}{2}}\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,i-2,i-2}(z)(R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,i-2,i-2}(z))^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big]^{l_{i-2}} (R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,i-2,i-2}(z))^{\frac{1}{2}}\| < \infty \tag{2.23}$$

The norm in (2.23) can be related to the inverse of the $(N - i + 2) - th$ term of the sequence studied in:

Lemma 3.6 of [Pi1]
Assume $\epsilon > 0$ *sufficiently small. Consider for* $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ *the sequence defined by*

$$x_{2j+2} := 1 - \frac{1}{4(1 + a_\epsilon - \frac{2b_\epsilon}{N-2j-1} - \frac{1-c_\epsilon}{(N-2j-1)^2})x_{2j}} \tag{2.24}$$

starting from $x_0 = 1$ *up to* $x_{2j=N-2}$ *where* $N(\geq 2)$ *is even. Here,*

$$a_\epsilon := 2\epsilon + O(\epsilon^{\nu})\,, \quad \nu > \frac{11}{8}\,, \tag{2.25}$$

$$b_\epsilon := (1+\epsilon)\delta\chi_{[0,2)}(\delta)\sqrt{\epsilon^2+2\epsilon} \tag{2.26}$$

and

$$c_\epsilon := -(1-\delta^2\chi_{[0,2)}(\delta))(\epsilon^2+2\epsilon) \tag{2.27}$$

with $\chi_{[0,2)}$ *the characteristic function of the interval* $[0,2)$*. Then, the following estimate holds true for* $\delta \leq 1+\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}$ *and* $2 \leq N-2j \leq N$*,*

$$x_{2j} \geq \frac{1}{2}\Big[1+\sqrt{\eta a_\epsilon} - \frac{b_\epsilon/\sqrt{\eta a_\epsilon}}{N-2j-\epsilon^\Theta}\Big]. \tag{2.28}$$

with $\eta = 1-\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}$*, where* $0<\Theta\leq\frac{1}{4}$*.*

Indeed, the inequality

$$\| \sum_{l_{i-2}=0}^{\infty} \Big[(R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,i-2,i-2}(z))^{\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,i-2,i-2}(z) (R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,i-2,i-2}(z))^{\frac{1}{2}} \Big]^{l_{i-2}} \| \leq \frac{1}{x_{i-2}} \tag{2.29}$$

is used to control the Feshbach flow and relies on the crucial estimate derived in the next lemma.

Lemma 3.4 of [Pi1]
Let

$$z \leq E^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*} + (\delta-1)\phi_{\mathbf{j}^*}\sqrt{\epsilon^2_{\mathbf{j}_*}+2\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_*}} \tag{2.30}$$

with $\delta<2$*,* $\frac{1}{N} \leq \epsilon^\nu_{\mathbf{j}_*}$ *for some* $\nu>1$*, and* $\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_*}$ *sufficiently small. Then*

$$\| \Big[R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,i,i}(z)\Big]^{\frac{1}{2}} W_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,i,i-2} \Big[R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,i-2,i-2}(z)\Big]^{\frac{1}{2}} \| \, \| \Big[R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,i-2,i-2}(z)\Big]^{\frac{1}{2}} W^*_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,i-2,i} \Big[R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,i,i}(z)\Big]^{\frac{1}{2}} \| \tag{2.31}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{4(1+a_{\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_*}} - \frac{2b_{\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_*}}}{N-i+1} - \frac{1-c_{\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_*}}}{(N-i+1)^2})} \tag{2.32}$$

holds for all $2 \leq i \leq N-2$*. Here,*

$$a_{\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_*}} := 2\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_*} + O(\epsilon^\nu_{\mathbf{j}_*}), \tag{2.33}$$

$$b_{\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_*}} := (1+\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_*})\delta\chi_{[0,2)}\sqrt{\epsilon^2_{\mathbf{j}_*}+2\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_*}}, \tag{2.34}$$

and

$$c_{\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_*}} := -(1-\delta^2\chi_{[0,2)})(\epsilon^2_{\mathbf{j}_*}+2\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_*}), \tag{2.35}$$

with $\chi_{[0,2)}$ *the characteristic function of the interval* $[0,2)$*.*

A posteriori, one can observe that the choice of the Feshbach projections yields a rather refined control of the Neumann expansion in (2.22) thanks to *Lemma 3.4 of [Pi1]*. The price to be paid is the iteration from $i=0$ up to $i=N-2$ and the nontrivial control of the sequence x_{2j} obtained in *Lemma 3.6 of [Pi1]*.

2.2 Fixed point, construction of the ground state of $H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*}$, and algorithm for the re-expansion

We implement the Feshbach flow described in the previous section with the purpose to get a simple final effective Hamiltonian, namely a multiple of the one-dimensional projection $|\eta\rangle\langle\eta|$ where η is the state with all the N particles in the zero mode. This way we can reconstruct the ground state of H starting from η by means of Feshbach theory. Consequently, for the last step of the Feshbach flow a new couple of projections is considered: $\mathscr{P}_\eta := |\eta\rangle\langle\eta|$ and $\overline{\mathscr{P}_\eta}$ such that

$$\mathscr{P}_\eta + \overline{\mathscr{P}_\eta} = \mathbb{1}_{Q^{(>N-1)}_{\mathbf{j}_*}\mathcal{F}^N} \,. \tag{2.36}$$

We remind that for $i = N-2$ the projection $Q^{(>i+1\equiv N-1)}_{\mathbf{j}_*}$ coincides with the projection onto the subspace where less than $N-i+1 = N-N+1 = 1$ particles in the modes $\mathbf{j}_*$ and $-\mathbf{j}_*$ are present, i.e., where no particle in the modes $\mathbf{j}_*$ and $-\mathbf{j}_*$ is present.

Including this last step, the flow of Feshbach Hamiltonians is well defined as long as the spectral parameter $z(\in \mathbb{R})$ is strictly below the first excited eigenvalue of the energy spectrum of the Hamiltonian $H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*}$.

Starting from the formal expression

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(N)}_{\mathbf{j}_*}(z) && (2.37)\\
:= \;& \mathscr{F}^{(N)}(\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(N-2)}_{\mathbf{j}_*}(z)) && (2.38)\\
= \;& \mathscr{P}_\eta (H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*} - z)\mathscr{P}_\eta && (2.39)\\
& - \mathscr{P}_\eta W_{\mathbf{j}_*}\, Q^{(N-2,N-1)}_{\mathbf{j}_*}\, R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z) \sum_{l_{N-2}=0}^{\infty} [\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z) R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;,N-2,N-2}(z)]^{l_{N-2}}\, Q^{(N-2,N-1)}_{\mathbf{j}_*} W^*_{\mathbf{j}_*} \mathscr{P}_\eta \\
& - \mathscr{P}_\eta W_{\mathbf{j}_*}\, \overline{\mathscr{P}_\eta}\, \frac{1}{\overline{\mathscr{P}_\eta}\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(N-2)}_{\mathbf{j}_*}(z)\overline{\mathscr{P}_\eta}}\, \overline{\mathscr{P}_\eta} W^*_{\mathbf{j}_*} \mathscr{P}_\eta \,, && (2.40)
\end{aligned}$$

in [Pi1] we have shown that the R-H-S of (2.39) is well defined because

$$\overline{\mathscr{P}_\eta}\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(N-2)}_{\mathbf{j}_*}(z)\overline{\mathscr{P}_\eta} \tag{2.41}$$

is bounded invertible in $\overline{\mathscr{P}_\eta}\mathcal{F}^N$ for

$$z < \min\left\{ z_* + \frac{\Delta_0}{2}\,;\, E^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*} + \sqrt{\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_*}}\phi_{\mathbf{j}^*}\sqrt{\epsilon^2_{\mathbf{j}_*} + 2\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_*}} \right\},$$

where z_* is the unique solution of $f_{\mathbf{j}_*}(z) = 0$ with

$$f_{\mathbf{j}_*}(z) := -z - \langle \eta\,,\, W_{\mathbf{j}_*}\, Q^{(N-2,N-1)}_{\mathbf{j}_*}\, R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z) \sum_{l_{N-2}=0}^{\infty} [\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z) R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z)]^{l_{N-2}}\, Q^{(N-2,N-1)}_{\mathbf{j}_*} W^*_{\mathbf{j}_*}\eta\rangle \,, \tag{2.42}$$

with $\Delta_0 := \min\left\{(k_{\mathbf{j}})^2 \,|\, \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}\right\}$.
Finally, the identities

$$\mathscr{P}_\eta (H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*} - z)\mathscr{P}_\eta = -z\mathscr{P}_\eta \quad , \quad \overline{\mathscr{P}_\eta} W^*_{\mathbf{j}_*}\mathscr{P}_\eta = 0 \,,$$

imply

$$\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(N)}_{\mathbf{j}_*}(z) = f_{\mathbf{j}_*}(z)|\eta\rangle\langle\eta| \,. \tag{2.43}$$

The ground state energy and the ground state vector of the Hamiltonian $H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*}$ are then obtained exploiting Feshbach theory. In *Corollary 4.6 of [Pi1]* we conclude that $H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*}$ has nondegenerate ground state energy z_* and the corresponding eigenvector is

$$\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*} \tag{2.44}$$

$$= \eta \tag{2.45}$$

$$-\frac{1}{Q^{(N-2,N-1)}_{\mathbf{j}_*}\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(N-4)}_{\mathbf{j}_*}(z_*)Q^{(N-2,N-1)}_{\mathbf{j}_*}}Q^{(N-2,N-1)}_{\mathbf{j}_*}W^*_{\mathbf{j}_*}\eta \tag{2.46}$$

$$-\sum_{j=2}^{N/2}\prod_{r=j}^{2}\Big[-\frac{1}{Q^{(N-2r,N-2r+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_*}\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(N-2r-2)}_{\mathbf{j}_*}(z_*)Q^{(N-2r,N-2r+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_*}}W^*_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,N-2r,N-2r+2}\Big]\times \tag{2.47}$$

$$\times\frac{1}{Q^{(N-2,N-1)}_{\mathbf{j}_*}\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(N-4)}_{\mathbf{j}_*}(z_*)Q^{(N-2,N-1)}_{\mathbf{j}_*}}Q^{(N-2,N-1)}_{\mathbf{j}_*}W^*_{\mathbf{j}_*}\eta$$

where $\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(-2)}_{\mathbf{j}_*}(z_*) := H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*} - z_*$. Concerning the mean field limiting regime, in *Lemma 5.5 of [Pi1]* it is proven that $|z_* - E^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*}| \leq O(\frac{1}{N^\beta})$ for any $0 < \beta < 1$. Furthermore, the spectral gap above z_* is estimated not smaller than $\Delta_0/2$ in the limit $N \to \infty$.

Remark 2.3. *The sum in (2.47) is controlled in norm by*

$$\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} c_j := \sum_{j=2}^{\infty}\Big\{\prod_{l=j}^{2}\frac{1}{\Big[1+\sqrt{\eta a_{\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_*}}}-\frac{b_{\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_*}}/\sqrt{\eta a_{\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_*}}}}{N-2l-\epsilon^{\Theta}_{\mathbf{j}_*}}\Big]\Big[1+a_{\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_*}}-\frac{2b_{\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_*}}}{N-2l+1}-\frac{1-c_{\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_*}}}{(N-2l+1)^2}\Big]^{\frac{1}{2}}}\Big\} \tag{2.48}$$

which is convergent for $\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_*} > 0$ because $\frac{c_{j+1}}{c_j} < 1$ for j sufficiently large. The series diverges in the limit $\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_*} \to 0$. Hence, for any $\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_*} > 0$ sufficiently small there is a convergent expansion of $\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*}$ controlled by the parameter $\theta_{\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_*}} := \frac{1}{1+\sqrt{\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_*}}+o(\sqrt{\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_*}})}$.

In *Section 4 of [Pi1]* we also show how to expand (for a gas in a fixed finite box) the vector $\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*}$ in terms of finites sums of finite products of the operators $\frac{1}{\hat{H}^0_{\mathbf{j}}-E^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*}}$ (see (2.3)) and $W_{\mathbf{j}_*}, W^*_{\mathbf{j}_*}$ applied to the vector η, up to any desired precision in the limit $N \to \infty$.

Remark 2.4. *We observe that $\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*}$ is also eigenvector of $\hat{H}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*}$ (see the definition in (2.2)) with the same eigenvalue z_*.*

3 Ground state of H^{Bog}: Outline of the proof

We have assumed that an ultraviolet cut-off is imposed on the interaction so that there are M couples of (nonzero) interacting modes with $M < \infty$. The strategy to construct the ground state of H^{Bog} consists in three operations:

1. We define intermediate Bogoliubov Hamiltonians obtained by adding (to the interaction Hamiltonian) a couple of modes, $\{\mathbf{j}_m\,,\,-\mathbf{j}_m\}$ with $1 \leq m \leq M$, at a time;
2. At each step, i.e., for each intermediate Hamiltonian, we use the Feshbach map flow described in Section 2 and associated with the new couple of modes, $\{\mathbf{j}_m\,,\,-\mathbf{j}_m\}$, that has been considered;

3. We use the projection onto the ground state of the intermediate Bogoliubov Hamiltonian at the $(m-1)-$th step as the final projection $\mathscr{P}$ of the Feshbach map flow at the $m-$th step.

In Section 3.1 we outline the procedure, and in Section 4 we provide the results that are needed to make the construction rigorous.

3.1 The Feshbach flows associated with H^{Bog}: The intermediate Hamiltonians $H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m}$

We start from $H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}$ and construct

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(N)}_{\mathbf{j}_1}(z) \;:=\; & \mathscr{P}_\eta (H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1} - z)\mathscr{P}_\eta \\ & -\mathscr{P}_\eta W_{\mathbf{j}_1} \sum_{l_{N-2}=0}^{\infty} R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1;N-2,N-2}(z)\left[\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z) R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1;N-2,N-2}(z)\right]^{l_{N-2}} W^*_{\mathbf{j}_1}\mathscr{P}_\eta\,.\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Next, we determine the ground state energy, $z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}$, of $H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}$ by imposing

$$z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1} = \langle \eta\,,\, W_{\mathbf{j}_1} \sum_{l_{N-2}=0}^{\infty} R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1;\,N-2,N-2}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1})\left[\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1;\,N-2,N-2}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}) R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1;\,N-2,N-2}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1})\right]^{l_{N-2}} W^*_{\mathbf{j}_1}\eta\rangle\,. \tag{3.2}$$

Hence, the ground state of $H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}$ is given by (2.45)-(2.47) replacing $\mathbf{j}_*$ with $\mathbf{j}_1$. This first step just requires the results of [Pi1] that have been summarized in Section 2.1.

In the next step, we consider the intermediate Hamiltonian

$$H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2} := \sum_{\mathbf{j}\in\mathbb{Z}^d\setminus\{\pm\mathbf{j}_1\,;\,\pm\mathbf{j}_2\}} k^2_{\mathbf{j}} a^*_{\mathbf{j}} a_{\mathbf{j}} + \hat{H}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2} := \sum_{\mathbf{j}\in\mathbb{Z}^d\setminus\{\pm\mathbf{j}_1\,;\,\pm\mathbf{j}_2\}} k^2_{\mathbf{j}} a^*_{\mathbf{j}} a_{\mathbf{j}} + \sum_{l=1}^{2} \hat{H}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_l} \tag{3.3}$$

and construct the Feshbach Hamiltonians

$$\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(0)}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}+z) \tag{3.4}$$

$$\begin{aligned} = \; & Q^{(>1)}_{\mathbf{j}_2}(H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2} - z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1} - z)Q^{(>1)}_{\mathbf{j}_2} \\ & -Q^{(>1)}_{\mathbf{j}_2} W_{\mathbf{j}_2} R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2\,;\,0,0}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}+z) W^*_{\mathbf{j}_2} Q^{(>1)}_{\mathbf{j}_2}\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

and, for $2 \le i \le N-2$,

$$\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(i)}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}+z) \tag{3.6}$$

$$\begin{aligned} = \; & Q^{(>i+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_2}(H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2} - z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1} - z)Q^{(>i+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_2} \\ & -\sum_{l_i=0}^{\infty} Q^{(>i+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_2} W_{\mathbf{j}_2} R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2\,;\,i,i}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}+z)\left[\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2\,;\,i,i}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}+z) R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2\,;\,i,i}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}+z)\right]^{l_i} W^*_{\mathbf{j}_2} Q^{(>i+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_2}\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

where we use the definitions:

- $$R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2\,;\,i,i}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}+z) := Q^{(i,i+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_2} \frac{1}{Q^{(i,i+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_2}(H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2} - z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1} - z)Q^{(i,i+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_2}} Q^{(i,i+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_2}\,; \tag{3.8}$$

- $$\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2\,;\,2,2}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}+z) := W_{\mathbf{j}_2\,;\,2,0}R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2\,;\,0,0}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}+z)W^{*}_{\mathbf{j}_2\,;\,0,2} \tag{3.9}$$

  and, for $N-2\geq i\geq 4$ and even,

$$\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2\,;\,i,i}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}+z) \tag{3.10}$$

$$:= \quad W_{\mathbf{j}_2\,;\,i,i-2}\,R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2\,;\,i-2,i-2}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}+z)\sum_{l_{i-2}=0}^{\infty}\Big[\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2\,;\,i-2,i-2}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}+z)R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2\,;\,i-2,i-2}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}+z)\Big]^{l_{i-2}}W^{*}_{\mathbf{j}_2\,;\,i-2,i}\,. \tag{3.11}$$

In the last implementation of the Feshbach map we use the projections

$$\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}} := |\frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}\|}\rangle\langle\frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}\|}| \quad , \quad \overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}} := \mathbb{1}_{Q^{(>N-2)}_{\mathbf{j}_2}\mathcal{F}^N} - \mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}} \tag{3.12}$$

where $Q^{(>N-1)}_{\mathbf{j}_2}\mathcal{F}^N$ is the subspace of states in $\mathcal{F}^N$ with no particles in the modes $\pm\mathbf{j}_2$, and we define

$$\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2\,;\,N,N}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}+z) \tag{3.13}$$

$$:= \quad W_{\mathbf{j}_2}\,R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}+z)\sum_{l_{N-2}=0}^{\infty}\Big[\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}+z)R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}+z)\Big]^{l_{N-2}}W^{*}_{\mathbf{j}_2}\,. \tag{3.14}$$

For the derivation of $\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(N)}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}+z)$, we point out that (see Remark 2.4)

$$(\hat{H}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}-z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1})\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}=0 \quad , \quad \sum_{\mathbf{j}\in\mathbb{Z}^d\setminus\{\mathbf{0},\pm\mathbf{j}_1\}}a^{*}_{\mathbf{j}}a_{\mathbf{j}}\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}=0\,,$$

and

$$\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}(H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2}-z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}-z)\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}=\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}(\hat{H}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_2}-z)\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}=\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}(W_{\mathbf{j}_2}+W^{*}_{\mathbf{j}_2}-z)\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}=-z\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}\,,$$

$$\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}(H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2}-z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}-z)\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}}=\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}(\hat{H}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_2}-z)\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}}=\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}(W_{\mathbf{j}_2}+W^{*}_{\mathbf{j}_2})\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}}=0\,.$$

These identities follow from the definitions of $\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}$, $\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}}$, and $H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2}$ combined with the fact that $Q^{(>N-1)}_{\mathbf{j}_2}$ is the projection onto the subspace of states with no particles in the modes $\pm\mathbf{j}_2$. Formally, we get

$$\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(N)}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}+z) \tag{3.15}$$

$$:= \quad \mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}(H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2}-z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}-z)\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}} \tag{3.16}$$

$$-\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2;\,N,N}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}+z)\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}$$

$$-\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2;\,N,N}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}+z)\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}}\times \tag{3.17}$$

$$\times\frac{1}{\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}}\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(N-2)}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}+z)\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}}}\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}}\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2;\,N,N}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}+z)\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}} \tag{3.18}$$

$$= \quad -z\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}} \tag{3.19}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2;\,N,N}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}+z)\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}\\
&-\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2;\,N,N}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}+z)\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}}\times\\
&\quad\times\frac{1}{\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}}\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(N-2)}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}+z)\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}}}\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}}\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2;\,N,N}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}+z)\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.20}
$$

We determine the ground state energy, $z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2}:=z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}+z^{(2)}$, of $H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2}$ by imposing

$$
z^{(2)} \tag{3.21}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
=\;&-\langle\frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}\|},\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2;\,N,N}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}+z^{(2)})\frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}\|}\rangle\\
&-\langle\frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}\|},\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2;\,N,N}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}+z^{(2)})\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}}\times
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.22}
$$

$$
\times\frac{1}{\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}}\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(N-2)}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}+z^{(2)})\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}}}\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}}\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2;\,N,N}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}+z^{(2)})\frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}\|}\rangle\,.
$$

Hence, the ground state vector of $H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2}$ is (up to normalization)

$$
\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2} \tag{3.23}
$$

$$
:=\quad\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1} \tag{3.24}
$$

$$
+\Big\{\sum_{j=2}^{N/2}\Big[\prod_{r=j}^{2}\Big(-\frac{1}{Q^{(N-2r,N-2r+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_2}\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(N-2r-2)}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2})Q^{(N-2r,N-2r+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_2}}W^{*}_{\mathbf{j}_2;N-2r,N-2r+2}\Big)\Big]+\mathbb{1}\Big\}\times \tag{3.25}
$$

$$
\times\Big[Q^{(>N-1)}_{\mathbf{j}_2}-\frac{1}{Q^{(N-2,N-1)}_{\mathbf{j}_2}\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(N-4)}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2})Q^{(N-2,N-1)}_{\mathbf{j}_2}}Q^{(N-2,N-1)}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2}W^{*}_{\mathbf{j}_2}\Big]\times \tag{3.26}
$$

$$
\times\Big[\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}-\frac{1}{\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}}\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(N-2)}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2})\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}}}\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}}\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(N-2)}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2})\Big]\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}
$$

where $\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(-2)}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2}):=H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2}-z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2}$.

At the $m-th$ step, first we define

$$
H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m}:=\sum_{\mathbf{j}\in\mathbb{Z}^d\setminus\{\pm\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\pm\mathbf{j}_m\}}k^2_{\mathbf{j}}a^{*}_{\mathbf{j}}a_{\mathbf{j}}+\sum_{l=1}^{m}\hat{H}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_l}\,, \tag{3.27}
$$

where the reader should notice that the kinetic energy of the interacting nonzero modes, $\pm\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\pm\mathbf{j}_m$, is contained in $\sum_{l=1}^{m}\hat{H}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_l}$. Then, we construct

$$
\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(N)}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m}(z+z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}) \tag{3.28}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
=\;&-z\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}} \qquad (3.29)\\
&-\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m;N,N}(z+z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}})\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$-\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}\,\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m;\,N,N}(z+z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}})\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}}\times \tag{3.30}$$

$$\times\frac{1}{\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}}\,\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(N-2)}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m}(z+z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}})\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}}}\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}}\,\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m;N,N}(z+z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}})\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}$$

$$=:\quad f^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m}(z+z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}})\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}} \tag{3.31}$$

with definitions analogous to (3.8)-(3.14):

- $$\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}:=|\frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}{||\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}||}\rangle\langle\frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}{||\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}||}|\quad,\quad\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}}:=\mathbb{1}_{Q^{(>N-1)}_{\mathbf{j}_m}\mathcal{F}^N}-\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}\,; \tag{3.32}$$

- $$R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}+z):=Q^{(i,i+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_m}\frac{1}{Q^{(i,i+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_m}(H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m}-z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}-z)Q^{(i,i+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_m}}Q^{(i,i+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_m}\,; \tag{3.33}$$

- $$\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,2,2}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}+z):=W_{\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,2,0}\,R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,0,0}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}+z)W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,0,2}\,, \tag{3.34}$$

  for $N-2\geq i\geq 4$ and even

$$\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}+z) \tag{3.35}$$

$$:=\quad W_{\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i-2}\,R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i-2,i-2}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}+z)\times \tag{3.36}$$

$$\times\sum_{l_{i-2}=0}^{\infty}\Big[\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i-2,i-2}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}+z)R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i-2,i-2}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}+z)\Big]^{l_{i-2}}W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i-2,i}\,, \tag{3.37}$$

$$\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N,N}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}+z) \tag{3.38}$$

$$:=\quad W_{\mathbf{j}_m}\,R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}+z)\times \tag{3.39}$$

$$\times\sum_{l_{N-2}=0}^{\infty}\Big[\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}+z)R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}+z)\Big]^{l_{N-2}}W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m}\,.$$

We compute the ground state energy, $z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m}:=z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}+z^{(m)}$, of $H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m}$ by solving the equation in z

$$f^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m}(z+z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}})=0. \tag{3.40}$$

Hence, the ground state vector of $H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m}$ is (up to normalization)

$$\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m} \tag{3.41}$$

$$:=\quad\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}} \tag{3.42}$$

$$+\Big\{\sum_{j=2}^{N/2}\Big[\prod_{r=j}^{2}\Big(-\frac{1}{Q^{(N-2r,N-2r+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_m}\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(N-2r-2)}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m})Q^{(N-2r,N-2r+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_m}}W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m;N-2r,N-2r+2}\Big)\Big]+\mathbb{1}\Big\}\times \tag{3.43}$$

$$\times\Big[Q_{\mathbf{j}_m}^{(>N-1)} - \frac{1}{Q_{\mathbf{j}_m}^{(N-2,N-1)}\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(N-4)}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m})Q_{\mathbf{j}_m}^{(N-2,N-1)}}Q_{\mathbf{j}_m}^{(N-2,N-1)}W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m}\Big]\times$$

$$\times\Big[\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}} - \frac{1}{\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}}\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(N-2)}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m})\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}}}\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}}\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(N-2)}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m})\Big]\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}$$

$$=: \quad T_m\,\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}} \tag{3.44}$$

where $\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(-2)}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m}) := H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m} - z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m}$. Thus, we have derived the formula

$$\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_M} = T_M\dots T_1\eta\,. \tag{3.45}$$

In Corollary 4.6, it is shown how to approximate the vector $\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_M}$ constructed in (3.45) up to any arbitrarily small error ζ (for N sufficiently large) in terms of a vector $(\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_M})_\zeta$ corresponding to a $\zeta-$dependent finite sum of finite products of the interaction terms $W^*_{\mathbf{j}_l}$, $W_{\mathbf{j}_l}$, and of the resolvents $\frac{1}{\hat{H}^0_{\mathbf{j}_l}-E^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_l}}$ (see (2.3)), $1\le l\le M$, applied to η.

4 Rigorous construction of the Feshbach Hamiltonians $\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(i)}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m}(z)$

The derivation in Section 3.1 can be made rigorous if we show that at the $m-$th step:

𝔘1) The Feshbach Hamiltonian $\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(i)}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m}(z+z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}})$, $0\le i\le N-2$, is well defined provided

$$z\le E^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_m} + (\delta-1)\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}\sqrt{\epsilon^2_{\mathbf{j}_m}+2\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}} \tag{4.1}$$

with $\delta = 1+\sqrt{\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}}$.

𝔘2) The expression $f^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m}(z+z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}})$ is well defined and the final Feshbach Hamiltonian is

$$\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(N)}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m}(z+z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}) = f^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m}(z+z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}})\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}} \tag{4.2}$$

provided

$$z\le \min\Big\{z_m+\gamma\Delta_{m-1}-O(\frac{1}{(\ln N)^{\frac12}})\,;\; E^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_m}+\sqrt{\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}}\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}\sqrt{\epsilon^2_{\mathbf{j}_m}+2\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}}\Big\}\quad,\quad \gamma=\frac12\,, \tag{4.3}$$

where z_m is the ground state energy of $H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_m}$ and $\Delta_{m-1}>0$ is a lower bound to the spectral gap above the ground state energy of $H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}$. Both Δ_{m-1} and $O(\frac{1}{(\ln N)^{\frac12}})$ are specified later in Theorem 4.3. Furthermore, there is a (unique) fixed point, $z^{(m)}$, in the given range of z (see (4.3)) that solves $f^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m}(z^{(m)}+z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}})=0$.

Concerning requirement 𝔘1) we recall that

$$H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m} - z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}} - z = \sum_{\mathbf{j}\in\mathbb{Z}^d\setminus\{\pm\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\pm\mathbf{j}_m\}} k^2_{\mathbf{j}}a^*_{\mathbf{j}}a_{\mathbf{j}} + \sum_{l=1}^{m-1}\hat{H}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_l} - z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}} + \hat{H}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_m} - z\,. \tag{4.4}$$

If we assume

$$\text{infspec}\,[\sum_{l=1}^{m-1}\hat{H}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_l}]-z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}\geq -O(\frac{1}{(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{8}}}) \tag{4.5}$$

we can reproduce a result analogous to *Lemma 3.4 of [Pi1]* for the Hamiltonian $H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m}$; see Corollary 5.1. Indeed, thanks to this input, the operator norm estimate (5.3) in Corollary 5.1 can be derived as if the modes $\pm\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\pm\mathbf{j}_{m-1}$ were absent. Consequently, the counterpart of *Theorem 3.1 of [Pi1]* can be proven for $\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(i)}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m}(z+z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}})$:

Theorem 4.1. *Assume condition a) of Corollary 5.1. Then, for*

$$z\leq E^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_m}+(\delta-1)\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}\sqrt{\epsilon^2_{\mathbf{j}_m}+2\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}} \tag{4.6}$$

with $\delta=1+\sqrt{\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}}$, $\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}$ sufficiently small and N sufficiently large, the operators $\mathscr{K}^{Bog(i)}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m}(z+z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}})$, $0\leq i\leq N-2$ and even, are well defined [2]*. For $i=2,4,6,\ldots,N-2$ they correspond to*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(i)}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m}(z+z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}) &(4.7)\\
=\;& Q^{(>i+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_m}(H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m}-z-z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}})Q^{(>i+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_m} &(4.8)\\
&-\sum_{l_i=0}^{\infty}Q^{(>i+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_m}W_{\mathbf{j}_m}R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(z+z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}})\Big[\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(z+z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}})R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(z+z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}})\Big]^{l_i}W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m}Q^{(>i+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_m}
\end{aligned}$$

where $R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(z+z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}})$ and $\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(z+z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}})$ are defined in (3.33)-(3.37).
The following estimates hold true for $2\leq i\leq N-2$ and even:

$$\|\check{\Gamma}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(z)\|\leq\frac{1}{x_i}|_{\epsilon\equiv\epsilon_{j_*}} \tag{4.9}$$

where

$$\check{\Gamma}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(z+z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}):=\sum_{l_i=0}^{\infty}\Big[(R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(z+z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}))^{\frac{1}{2}}\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(z+z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}})(R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(z+z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}))^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big]^{l_i} \tag{4.10}$$

and x_i is defined in Lemma 3.6 of [Pi1] (see Section 2.1) and fulfills the bound

$$x_i\geq\frac{1}{2}\Big[1+\sqrt{\eta a_\epsilon}-\frac{b_\epsilon/\sqrt{\eta a_\epsilon}}{N-i-C_{\{x\}}\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}}\Big] \tag{4.11}$$

for ϵ small enough.

Proof

The proof is identical to *Theorem 3.1 of [Pi1]* using the estimates provided in Corollary 5.1. □

Requirement $\mathfrak{U}2$) has been proven to hold for the first step (i.e., for the Hamiltonian $H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}$) in [Pi1] with $\Delta_0:=\min\{(k_{\mathbf{j}})^2\,;\,\mathbf{j}\in\mathbb{Z}^d\setminus\{\mathbf{0}\}\}$. The proof for the subsequent steps (i.e., for the

[2] $\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(i)}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m}(z)$ is self-adjoint on the domain of the operator $Q^{(>i+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_m}(H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m}-z)Q^{(>i+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_m}$.

Hamiltonians $H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m}$) is not straightforward, rather it requires an inductive procedure implemented in Theorem 4.3. To better understand the strategy of Theorem 4.3 we must explain the new difficulties in some detail.

In the first step (i.e., for $H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}$) the function

$$f^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}(z) := -z - \langle \eta\,,\, \Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1;\,N,N}(z)\,\eta\rangle \tag{4.12}$$

is well defined as a result of the construction of $\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(N-2)}_{\mathbf{j}_1}(z)$. Next, according to the scheme used in [Pi1], the existence of the (unique) solution $z^{(1)} \equiv z_1$ such that $f_{\mathbf{j}_1}(z_1) = 0$ implies the invertibility in $\overline{\mathscr{P}_\eta}\mathcal{F}^N$ of the operator

$$\overline{\mathscr{P}_\eta}\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(N-2)}_{\mathbf{j}_1}(z)\overline{\mathscr{P}_\eta} \tag{4.13}$$

for $z \le \min\left\{z_1 + \frac{\Delta_0}{2}\,;\, E^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1} + \sqrt{\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_1}}\phi_{\mathbf{j}_1}\sqrt{\epsilon^2_{\mathbf{j}_1} + 2\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_1}}\right\}$. On the contrary, starting from $m = 2$ the definition of $f^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m}(z + z_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}})$ (see (3.31)) requires some preliminary work because it contains the operator

$$\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}}\,\frac{1}{\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}}\,\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(N-2)}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m}(z + z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}})\,\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}}}\,\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}} \tag{4.14}$$

that has not been shown to exist yet. This intermediate result is proven in Lemma 4.5 for z in the interval specified in (4.3). Then, we can define the function $f^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m}(z + z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}})$.

In order to determine the solution, $z^{(m)}$, to the equation in z

$$f^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m}(z + z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}) = 0 \tag{4.15}$$

we exploit that the fixed point problem at the $m-th$ step boils down to the fixed point problem for a three-modes system if in the formula that defines $f^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m}(z + z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}})$ the vector $\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}$ is replaced with η and

$$\sum_{l_{N-2}=0}^{\infty} R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m;\,N-2,N-2}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}+z)\left[\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}+z)R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}+z)\right]^{l_{N-2}} \tag{4.16}$$

with

$$\sum_{l_{N-2}=0}^{\infty} \tilde{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m;\,N-2,N-2}(z)\left[\tilde{\Gamma}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z)\tilde{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z)\right]^{l_{N-2}} \tag{4.17}$$

where $\tilde{}$ means that

$$\sum_{l=1}^{m-1}\hat{H}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_l}$$

is omitted in the resolvents $R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m;\,N-2,N-2}(z)$ and in all the other resolvents entering the definition of the operators $\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(z)$. In fact, with the results of Lemma 4.4 we show that the error made in this approximation is negligible as $N \to \infty$.

The final result concerning $\mathfrak{U}2$) is the content of Theorem 4.3. To this purpose, various preliminary ingredients are needed. Definition 4.1 and Proposition 4.2 are generalizations of

structures already encountered in [Pi1]. Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 4.5 concern the definition of the fixed point equation and provide tools to estimate to which extent the system with many couples, $\{\pm\mathbf{j}_1, \dots, \pm\mathbf{j}_m\}$, of interacting modes behaves effectively as a collection of independent couples of modes in the mean field limit.

To streamline formulae, in Definition 4.1 and in Proposition 4.2 we write $W_{j,j-2}$, $W^*_{j-2,j}$, $R^{Bog}_{j-2,j-2}(w)$, and $\Gamma^{Bog}_{j,j}(w)$ instead of $W_{\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,j,j-2}$, $W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,j-2,j}$, $R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,j-2,j-2}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}} + z)$, and $\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,j,j}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}} + z)$, respectively.

Definition 4.1. *Let $h \in \mathbb{N}$, $h \geq 2$, and*

$$w \leq z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}} + E^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_m} + (\delta - 1)\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}\sqrt{\epsilon^2_{\mathbf{j}_m} + 2\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}}\,. \tag{4.18}$$

with $\delta \leq 1 + \sqrt{\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}}$. Let $\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}$ be sufficiently small and N sufficiently large. We define:

1. *For $N - 2 \geq j \geq 4$ and even*

$$[\Gamma^{Bog}_{j,j}(w)]_{(j-2,h_-)} = [\Gamma^{Bog}_{j,j}(w)]^{(0)}_{(j-2,h_-)} + [\Gamma^{Bog}_{j,j}(w)]^{(>0)}_{(j-2,h_-)} \tag{4.19}$$

where

$$[\Gamma^{Bog}_{j,j}(w)]^{(0)}_{(j-2,h_-)} := W_{j,j-2}R^{Bog}_{j-2,j-2}(w)W^*_{j-2,j}\,, \tag{4.20}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\Gamma^{Bog}_{j,j}(w)]^{(>0)}_{(j-2,h_-)} && (4.21)\\
:=\;& W_{j,j-2}\,(R^{Bog}_{j-2,j-2}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}} \times && (4.22)\\
&\times \sum_{l_{j-2}=1}^{h-1}\Big[(R^{Bog}_{j-2,j-2}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}}W_{j-2,j-4}\,R^{Bog}_{j-4,j-4}(w)W^*_{j-4,j-2}(R^{Bog}_{j-2,j-2}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big]^{l_{j-2}}(R^{Bog}_{j-2,j-2}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}}W^*_{j-2,j}\\
=\;& W_{j,j-2}\,(R^{Bog}_{j-2,j-2}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}} \times && (4.23)\\
&\times \sum_{l_{j-2}=1}^{h-1}\Big[(R^{Bog}_{j-2,j-2}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}}[\Gamma^{Bog}_{j-2,j-2}(w)]^{(0)}_{(j-4,h_-)}(R^{Bog}_{j-2,j-2}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big]^{l_{j-2}}(R^{Bog}_{j-2,j-2}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}}W^*_{j-2,j}\,,
\end{aligned}$$

for $N - 2 \geq j \geq 4$ and even

$$\begin{aligned}
[\Gamma^{Bog}_{j,j}(w)]_{(j-2,h_+)} :=\;& W_{j,j-2}\,(R^{Bog}_{j-2,j-2}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}} \times && (4.24)\\
&\times \sum_{l_{j-2}=h}^{\infty}\Big[(R^{Bog}_{j-2,j-2}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}}\Gamma^{Bog}_{j-2,j-2}(w)(R^{Bog}_{j-2,j-2}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big]^{l_{j-2}} \times\\
&\times(R^{Bog}_{j-2,j-2}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}}W^*_{j-2,j}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

2. *For $N - 2 \geq j \geq 6$, $2 \leq l \leq j - 4$ and even*

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\Gamma^{Bog}_{j,j}(w)]_{(l,h_-;l+2,h_-;\dots;j-4,h_-;j-2,h_-)} && (4.25)\\
:=\;& W_{j,j-2}\,(R^{Bog}_{j-2,j-2}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}}\check{\sum}_{l_{j-2}=1}^{h-1}\Big[(R^{Bog}_{j-2,j-2}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}}[\Gamma^{Bog}_{j-2,j-2}(w)]_{(l,h_-;l+2,h_-;\dots;j-4,h_-)}(R^{Bog}_{j-2,j-2}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big]^{l_{j-2}} \times\\
&\times(R^{Bog}_{j-2,j-2}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}}W^*_{j-2,j}\,. && (4.26)
\end{aligned}$$

Here, the symbol $\check{\sum}_{l_{j-2}=1}^{h-1}$ stands for a sum of terms resulting from operations $\mathcal{A}1$ and $\mathcal{A}2$ below:

$\mathcal{A}1$) *At fixed* $1 \le l_{j-2} \le h-1$ *summing all the products*

$$\Big[(R^{Bog}_{j-2,j-2}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{X}(R^{Bog}_{j-2,j-2}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big]^{l_{j-2}} \tag{4.27}$$

that are obtained by replacing $\mathcal{X}$ *for each factor with the operators (defined by iteration) of the type* $[\Gamma^{Bog}_{j-2,j-2}(w)]_{(s,h_-;s+2,h_-;\ldots;j-4,h_-)}$ *with* $l \le s \le j-4$ *and even, with the constraint that if* $l \le j-6$ *then* $\mathcal{X}$ *is replaced with* $[\Gamma^{Bog}_{j-2,j-2}(w)]_{(l,h_-;4,h_-;\ldots;j-4,h_-)}$ *in one factor at least, whereas if* $l = j-4$ *then* $\mathcal{X}$ *is replaced with* $[\Gamma^{Bog}_{j-2,j-2}(w)]^{(>0)}_{(j-4,h_-)}$ *in one factor at least;*

$\mathcal{A}2$) *Summing from* $l_{j-2} = 1$ *up to* $l_{j-2} = h-1$.

3. *For* $N-2 \ge j \ge 6$, $2 \le l \le j-4$ *and even*

$$[\Gamma^{Bog}_{j,j}(w)]_{(l,h_+;l+2,h_-;\ldots;j-4,h_-;j-2,h_-)} \tag{4.28}$$

$$:= \quad W_{j,j-2}\,(R^{Bog}_{j-2,j-2}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}}\sum\nolimits^{h-1}_{l_{j-2}=1}\Big[(R^{Bog}_{j-2,j-2}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}}[\Gamma^{Bog}_{j-2,j-2}(w)]_{(l,h_+;l+2,h_-;\ldots;j-4,h_-)}\times$$
$$\times(R^{Bog}_{j-2,j-2}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big]^{l_2}(R^{Bog}_{j-2,j-2}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}}W^{*}_{j-2,j}\,. \tag{4.29}$$

Here, the symbol $\sum^{h-1}_{l_{j-2}=1}$ *stands for a sum of terms resulting from operations* $\mathcal{B}1$ *and* $\mathcal{B}2$ *below:*

$\mathcal{B}1$) *At fixed* $1 \le l_{j-2} \le h-1$, *summing all the products*

$$\Big[(R^{Bog}_{j-2,j-2}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{X}(R^{Bog}_{j-2,j-2}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big]^{l_{j-2}} \tag{4.30}$$

that are obtained by replacing $\mathcal{X}$ *for each factor with the operators (defined by iteration) of the type* $[\Gamma^{Bog}_{j-2,j-2}(w)]_{(l,h_+;l+2,h_-;\ldots;j-4,h_-)}$ *and* $[\Gamma^{Bog}_{j-2,j-2}(w)]_{(s,h_-;s+2,h_-;\ldots;j-4,h_-)}$ *with* $l < s \le j-4$ *and even, with the constraint that* $\mathcal{X}$ *is replaced with* $[\Gamma^{Bog}_{j-2,j-2}(z)]_{(l,h_+;l+2,h_-;\ldots;j-4,h_-)}$ *in one factor at least.*

$\mathcal{B}2$) *Summing from* $l_{j-2} = 1$ *up to* $h-1$.

Proposition 4.2. *Let* $\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m} \equiv \epsilon$ *be sufficiently small and* N *sufficiently large. For any fixed* $2 \le h \in \mathbb{N}$ *and for* $N-2 \ge i \ge 4$ *and even, the splitting*

$$\Gamma^{Bog}_{i,i}(w) \quad = \quad \sum_{l=2,\,l\,even}^{i-2}[\Gamma^{Bog}_{i,i}(w)]_{(l,h_-;l+2,h_-;\ldots;i-2,h_-)} + \sum_{l=2\,,\,l\,even}^{i-2}[\Gamma^{Bog}_{i,i}(w)]_{(l,h_+;l+2,h_-;\ldots;i-2,h_-)} \tag{4.31}$$

holds true for $w \le z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}} + E^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_m} + (\delta-1)\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}\sqrt{\epsilon^2_{\mathbf{j}_m} + 2\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}}$ *and* $\delta \le 1 + \sqrt{\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}}$. *Moreover, for* $2 \le l \le i-2$ *and even, the estimates*

$$\Big\|(R^{Bog}_{i,i}(z))^{\frac{1}{2}}[\Gamma^{Bog}_{i,i}(z)]_{(l,h_-;l+2,h_-;\,\ldots\,;i-2,h_-)}(R^{Bog}_{i,i}(z))^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big\| \tag{4.32}$$

$$\le \prod_{f=l+2\,,\,f-l\,even}^{i}\frac{K_{f,\epsilon}}{(1-Z_{f-2,\epsilon})^2} \tag{4.33}$$

and

$$\|(R^{Bog}_{i,i}(z))^{\frac{1}{2}}[\Gamma^{Bog}_{i,i}(z)]_{(l,h_+;4,h_-;\ldots;i-2,h_-)}(R^{Bog}_{i,i}(z))^{\frac{1}{2}}\| \tag{4.34}$$

$$\le \quad (Z_{f-2,\epsilon})^h\prod_{f=l+2\,,\,f-l\,even}^{i}\frac{K_{f,\epsilon}}{(1-Z_{f-2,\epsilon})^2}$$

hold true, where

$$K_{i,\epsilon} := \frac{1}{4(1+a_\epsilon - \frac{2b_\epsilon}{N-i+1} - \frac{1-c_\epsilon}{(N-i+1)^2})} \quad , \quad Z_{i-2,\epsilon} := \frac{1}{4(1+a_\epsilon - \frac{2b_\epsilon}{N-i+3} - \frac{1-c_\epsilon}{(N-i+3)^2})} \frac{2}{\left[1+\sqrt{\eta a_\epsilon} - \frac{b_\epsilon/\sqrt{\eta a_\epsilon}}{N-i+4-\epsilon^\Theta}\right]} . \tag{4.35}$$

where $a_\epsilon, b_\epsilon, c_\epsilon$, and Θ are those defined in Corollary 5.1 and Lemma 3.6 of [Pi1].

Proof
The proof is an obvious generalization of *Proposition 4.10 of [Pi1]*, which is possible thanks to the estimate (5.3) in Corollary 5.1 that implies (4.9). □

Remark 4.2. *There exist constants $C, c > 0$ such that*

$$\frac{K_{f,\epsilon}}{(1-Z_{f-2,\epsilon})^2} \leq \frac{1}{1+c\sqrt{\epsilon}} \tag{4.36}$$

for $N - f > \frac{C}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}$. Furthermore, for $N - 2 \geq i > N - \frac{C}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}$ we can bound (assume $N - \frac{C}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}$ is an even number)

$$\prod_{f=N-\frac{C}{\sqrt{\epsilon}},\, f\ even}^{i} \frac{K_{f,\epsilon}}{(1-Z_{f-2,\epsilon})^2} \leq O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}) .$$

Therefore, the product $\prod_{f=l+2,\, f\ even}^{i} \frac{K_{f,\epsilon}}{(1-Z_{f-2,\epsilon})^2}$ can be estimated less than $O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}(\frac{1}{1+c\sqrt{\epsilon}})^{N-\frac{C}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}-l})$ if $i > N - \frac{C}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}$, whereas it is estimated less than $O((\frac{1}{1+c\sqrt{\epsilon}})^{i-l})$ if $i \leq N - \frac{C}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}$.

Remark 4.3. *Concerning the estimate of*

$$\|(R^{Bog}_{i,i}(z))^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{l=2,\, l\ even}^{i-2} [\Gamma^{Bog}_{i,i}(w)]_{(l,h_-;l+2,h_-;\ldots;i-2,h_-)} (R^{Bog}_{i,i}(z))^{\frac{1}{2}}\| \tag{4.37}$$

we observe that the bound in (5.4) of Corollary 5.1 can be employed to provide an upper bound to (4.37) because the operator in (4.37) is a sum of products of operators of the type in (5.3). To this purpose, we call "blocks" the operators of the type in (2.31) and define

$$\mathcal{E}(\|(R^{Bog}_{i,i}(z))^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{l=2,\, l\ even}^{i-2} [\Gamma^{Bog}_{i,i}(w)]_{(l,h_-;l+2,h_-;\ldots;i-2,h_-)} (R^{Bog}_{i,i}(z))^{\frac{1}{2}}\|) \tag{4.38}$$

the upper bound obtained estimating the norm of the sum of operators with the sum of the norms of the summands, and the norm of each operator product with the product of the norms of the blocks. The estimate of the norm of each block is provided in Corollary 5.1.
Next, we point out that

- *by using the decomposition in (4.31) and estimate (4.34) in Proposition 4.2, up to a remainder of arbitrarily small (but positive) norm we can write*

$$(R^{Bog}_{i,i}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma^{Bog}_{i,i}(w) (R^{Bog}_{i,i}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{4.39}$$

  in terms of a finite sum of finite products of blocks, and

$$(R^{Bog}_{i,i}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{l=2,\, l\ even}^{i-2} [\Gamma^{Bog}_{i,i}(w)]_{(l,h_-;l+2,h_-;\ldots;i-2,h_-)} (R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m;\, i,i}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{4.40}$$

  corresponds to a partial sum of them;

- *both for the estimate of (4.39) provided in Theorem 4.1 and for the estimate of (4.40) we use the same procedure (Corollary 5.1) to estimate the operator norm of the blocks, and in both cases we sum up (the same estimate of) the operator norm of the products of blocks.*

Hence, we can conclude that

$$\mathcal{E}(\|(R^{Bog}_{i,i}(z))^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{l=2,\, l\, even}^{i-2} [\Gamma^{Bog}_{i,i}(w)]_{(l,h_-;l+2,h_-;\dots;i-2,h_-)} (R^{Bog}_{i,i}(z))^{\frac{1}{2}}\|) \tag{4.41}$$

$$\leq \mathcal{E}(\|(R^{Bog}_{i,i}(z))^{\frac{1}{2}}\, \Gamma^{Bog}_{i,i}(w)\, (R^{Bog}_{i,i}(z))^{\frac{1}{2}}\|) \tag{4.42}$$

$$\leq \frac{4}{5} \tag{4.43}$$

where the last step follows from the identity

$$(R^{Bog}_{i,i}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma^{Bog}_{i,i} (R^{Bog}_{i,i}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{4.44}$$

$$= (R^{Bog}_{i,i}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}} W_{i,i-2}\, (R^{Bog}_{i-2,i-2}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \tag{4.45}$$

$$\times \sum_{l_{i-2}=0}^{\infty} \left[(R^{Bog}_{i-2,i-2}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma^{Bog}_{i-2,i-2}(w) (R^{Bog}_{i-2,i-2}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]^{l_{i-2}} \times \tag{4.46}$$

$$\times (R^{Bog}_{i-2,i-2}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}} W^{*}_{i-2,i} (R^{Bog}_{i,i}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}}\, .$$

and from estimates (4.11), (5.4) as long as ϵ is sufficiently small.

In the next lemma we develop some tools for Theorem 4.3.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $2 \leq m \leq M$ and assume that the Hamiltonian $H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}$ has ground state vector $\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}$ (see (3.41)-(3.43)) with ground state energy $z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}$. Assume condition a) of Corollary 5.1 and that there exists $0 \leq \tilde{C}_{m-1} \leq \tilde{C}_{M-1} < \infty$ such that*

$$\langle \frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}\|}\, ,\, \sum_{\mathbf{j}\in\mathbb{Z}^d\setminus\{\mathbf{0}\}} a^*_{\mathbf{j}} a_{\mathbf{j}}\, \frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}\|} \rangle \leq \tilde{C}_{m-1}\, . \tag{4.47}$$

Let $\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}$ be sufficiently small and N sufficiently large. Then, for z in the interval

$$z \leq E^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*} + \sqrt{\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}}\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m} \sqrt{\epsilon^2_{\mathbf{j}_m} + 2\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}} - \frac{(\lfloor (\ln N)^{\frac{1}{2}} \rfloor + 2)\phi_{\mathbf{j}_*}}{N}$$

the following estimates hold true

$$\Big| \langle \frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}\|}\, ,\, \Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m;N,N}(z + z_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}) \frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}\|} \rangle - \langle \eta\, ,\, \tilde{\Gamma}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m;N,N}(z)\eta \rangle \Big| \leq \frac{C_I}{(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{4}}}\, , \tag{4.48}$$

$$\| \mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}} \Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m;\, N,N}(z + z_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}) \overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}} \| \leq \frac{C_{II}}{(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{4}}} \tag{4.49}$$

for some $0 < C_I, C_{II} < \infty$.

Proof

First, we show the result contained in (4.48). For (4.49) the argument is partially the same and will be provided afterwards.

The proof is based on four steps:

STEP I) In the expression

$$\langle \frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}\|}\,,\,\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m;N,N}(w)\frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}\|}\rangle\,,\quad w=z+z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}\,, \tag{4.50}$$

we isolate a first remainder by truncating the sum in (3.39).

STEP II) By using the expansion of

$$\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(w)$$

discussed in Proposition 4.2 we isolate a second remainder.

STEP III) From Step II), an expression consisting of a finite sum of (finite) products with inner factors of the type

$$(R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m;\,i,i}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}}W_{\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i-2}(R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m;\,i-2,i-2}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}}\quad,\quad(R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m;\,i-2,i-2}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}}W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i-2,i}(R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m;\,i,i}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{4.51}$$

and the two outer factors

$$W_{\mathbf{j}_m}(R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m;\,N-2,N-2}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}}\quad,\quad(R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m;\,N-2,N-2}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}}W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m}\,, \tag{4.52}$$

is left. In STEP III) the resolvents $R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m;\,i,i}(w)$ in (4.51) and (4.52) are replaced with $\tilde{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m;\,i,i}(z)$ (see the definition after (4.17)). Thus a third remainder is produced.

STEP IV) In this step the resolvents $\tilde{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m;\,i,i}(z)$ are replaced with z-dependent $c-numbers$ and the vector $\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}$ is replaced with η. One more remainder term is produced.

STEP I)

For $\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}$ sufficiently small, we can estimate

$$(4.50) \tag{4.53}$$

$$= \langle \frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}\|}\,,\,W_{\mathbf{j}_m}\sum_{l_{N-2}=0}^{\bar{j}-1}R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m;\,N-2,N-2}(w)\left[\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(w)R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(w)\right]^{l_{N-2}}\times \tag{4.54}$$

$$\times W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m}\frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}\|}\rangle$$

$$+O((\frac{4}{5})^{\bar{j}}) \tag{4.55}$$

where $\bar{j}\geq 2$ is fixed *a posteriori*. This follows from the identity

$$(R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}}\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{4.56}$$

$$= (R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}}W_{\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i-2}\,(R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i-2,i-2}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}}\times \tag{4.57}$$

$$\times\sum_{l_{i-2}=0}^{\infty}\left[(R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i-2,i-2}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}}\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i-2,i-2}(w)(R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i-2,i-2}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]^{l_{i-2}}\times \tag{4.58}$$

$$\times(R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i-2,i-2}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}}W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i-2,i}(R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}}\,.$$

and the estimates in (4.11) and (5.4).

STEP II)

From the expansion of $\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(w)$ discussed in Proposition 4.2 where h is an even natural number, $N-4 \geq h \geq 2$, that is fixed *a posteriori*, we write

$$(4.50) \tag{4.59}$$

$$= \langle \frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}\|}\,,\, W_{\mathbf{j}_m} \times \tag{4.60}$$

$$\times \sum_{l_{N-2}=0}^{\bar{j}-1} R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(w) \Big[\sum_{l=N-2-h,\, l\, even}^{N-4} [\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(w)]_{(l,h_-,\,l+2,h_-,\,...\,,N-4,h_-)} \times$$

$$\times R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(w) \Big]^{l_{N-2}} W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m} \frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}\|} \rangle$$

$$+O((\frac{4}{5})^{\bar{j}}) + O(\bar{j}(\frac{1}{1+c\sqrt{\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}}})^h) \tag{4.61}$$

for some $c > 0$ thanks to the estimates in (4.32), (4.34), (4.36), and the observations in Remarks 4.2 and 4.3.

STEP III)

Concerning the quantity in (4.60), in Lemma 5.2 we show that

$$\sum_{l=N-2-h,\, l\, even}^{N-4} [\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(w)]_{(l,h_-;l+2,h_-;...;N-4,h_-)} \equiv [\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(w)]_{\tau_h} \tag{4.62}$$

where the R-H-S is defined by

$$[\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(w)]_{\tau_h} \tag{4.63}$$

$$:= W_{\mathbf{j}_m} \sum_{l_{i-2}=0}^{h-1} R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i-2,i-2}(w) \Big\{ [\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i-2,i-2}(w)]_{\tau_h} R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i-2,i-2}(w) \Big\}^{l_{i-2}} W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m}$$

for $N-2 \geq i \geq N-h$, and

$$[\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2-h,N-2-h}(w)]_{\tau_h} := W_{\mathbf{j}_m} R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-4-h,N-4-h}(w) W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m}\,. \tag{4.64}$$

We observe that:

III$_1$) The expansion in (4.63) of the operator

$$[\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(w)]_{\tau_h} \tag{4.65}$$

produces $O(h^2)$ operators $[\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i-2,i-2}(w)]_{\tau_h}$. Then, using iteratively (4.63) from $i = N-2$ down to $i = N-h$ we get

$$[\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(w)]_{\tau_h} =: \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{r}} [\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(w)]^{(r)}_{\tau_h} \tag{4.66}$$

where $\bar{r} \leq O((h^2)^{\frac{h}{2}})$ and each summand $[\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(w)]^{(r)}_{\tau_h}$ corresponds to $W_{\mathbf{j}_m}$ multiplying on the right a finite product of "RW-blocks", i.e., of the type

$$R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(w)W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m} \quad , \quad R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(w)W_{\mathbf{j}_m}\,, \tag{4.67}$$

where i is even and ranges from $N-4-h$ to $N-4$. The number of the RW-blocks for each $[\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(w)]^{(r)}_{\tau_h}$ is $O((2h)^{\frac{h}{2}})$ at most.

III$_2$) We can write

$$(4.60) \tag{4.68}$$

$$= \langle \frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}\|}\,,\, W_{\mathbf{j}_m} \times \tag{4.69}$$

$$\times \sum_{l_{N-2}=0}^{\bar{j}-1} R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m,\,;\,N-2,N-2}(w)\Big[\sum_{r=1}^{\bar{r}}[\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(w)]^{(r)}_{\tau_h}R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m,\,;\,N-2,N-2}(w)\Big]^{l_{N-2}}W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m}\frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}\|}\rangle$$

$$= \sum_{\bar{l}=0}^{\bar{j}-1}\sum_{r_1=1}^{\bar{r}}\cdots\sum_{r_{\bar{l}}=1}^{\bar{r}}\langle \frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}\|}\,,\, W_{\mathbf{j}_m} \times \tag{4.70}$$

$$\times\Big\{R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m,\,;\,N-2,N-2}(w)\prod_{l=1}^{\bar{l}}\Big[[\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(w)]^{(r_l)}_{\tau_h}R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m,\,;\,N-2,N-2}(w)\Big]\Big\}W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m}\frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}\|}\rangle$$

where each $[\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N,N}(w)]^{(r_l)}_{\tau_h}$ is a summand in (4.66) and $\prod_{l=1}^{\bar{l}=0}[\ldots] \equiv \mathbb{1}$. The operator

$$W_{\mathbf{j}_m}\Big\{R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m,\,;\,N-2,N-2}(w)\prod_{l=1}^{\bar{l}}\Big[[\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(w)]^{(r_l)}_{\tau_h}R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m,\,;\,N-2,N-2}(w)\Big]\Big\}W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m} \tag{4.71}$$

corresponds to $W_{\mathbf{j}_m}$ multiplying on the right a finite product of RW-blocks

$$R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(w)W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m} \quad , \quad R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(w)W_{\mathbf{j}_m}\,, \tag{4.72}$$

where i is even and ranges from $N-4-h$ to $N-2$. The number of RW-blocks in (4.71) is $O(\bar{j}(2h)^{\frac{h}{2}})$ at most because $0 \leq \bar{l} \leq \bar{j}-1$.

III$_3$) We implement the procedure described below on the operator in (4.71) starting from the RW-block on the very right, i.e., $R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(w)W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m}$: We make use of the resolvent equation

$$R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(w) = \tilde{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(z) \tag{4.73}$$

$$-R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(w)\{\hat{H}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}+\ldots+\hat{H}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}-z_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}\}\tilde{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(z) \tag{4.74}$$

and pull $\hat{H}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}+\ldots+\hat{H}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}-z_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}$ to the right until it hits the vector $\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}$ in the expression

$$W_{\mathbf{j}_m}\Big\{R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m,\,;\,N-2,N-2}(w)\prod_{l=1}^{\bar{l}}\Big[[\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(w)]^{(r_l)}_{\tau_h}R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m,\,;\,N-2,N-2}(w)\Big]\Big\}W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m}\frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}\|} \tag{4.75}$$

Recall that, by construction,

$$(\hat{H}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1} + \ldots + \hat{H}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_{m-1}} - z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}})\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}} = 0\,. \tag{4.76}$$

Assuming that the resolvent to be replaced is contained in a RW-block of the type

$$R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(w)W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m}\,,$$

we consider the identity

$$\{\sum_{l=1}^{m-1}\hat{H}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_l} - z_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}\}\tilde{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(z)W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m} \tag{4.77}$$

$$= \tilde{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(z)W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m}\{\sum_{l=1}^{m-1}\hat{H}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_l} - z_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}\} \tag{4.78}$$

$$-\tilde{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(z)\Delta_{\mathcal{R}\,,\,\mathbf{j}_m}\tilde{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(z)W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m} \tag{4.79}$$

$$+\tilde{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(z)\Delta_{\mathcal{W}\,,\,\mathbf{j}_m} \tag{4.80}$$

where

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{W}^*\,,\,\mathbf{j}_m} := [\sum_{l=1}^{m-1}\hat{H}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_l}\,,\,W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m}] \tag{4.81}$$

and

$$-\tilde{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(z)\Delta_{\mathcal{R}\,,\,\mathbf{j}_m}\tilde{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(z) := [\sum_{l=1}^{m-1}\hat{H}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_l}\,,\,\tilde{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(z)]\,. \tag{4.82}$$

The terms proportional to (4.79) and (4.80) are the remainders produced by pulling the operator $\sum_{l=1}^{m-1}\hat{H}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_l} - z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}$ through the RW-block

$$\tilde{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(z)W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m}\,.$$

An analogous procedure can be implemented for a RW-block of the type $R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(w)W_{\mathbf{j}_m}$.

III$_4$) We proceed with the computation of the commutators in (4.81) and (4.82):

$$[\sum_{l=1}^{m-1}\hat{H}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_l}\,,\,W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m}] \tag{4.83}$$

$$= \sum_{l=1}^{m-1}[\phi_{\mathbf{j}_l}\frac{a^*_{\mathbf{0}}a_{\mathbf{0}}}{N}(a^*_{\mathbf{j}_l}a_{\mathbf{j}_l} + a^*_{-\mathbf{j}_l}a_{-\mathbf{j}_l}) + \phi_{\mathbf{j}_l}\frac{a_{\mathbf{0}}a_{\mathbf{0}}}{N}a^*_{\mathbf{j}_l}a^*_{-\mathbf{j}_l} + \phi_{\mathbf{j}_l}\frac{a^*_{\mathbf{0}}a^*_{\mathbf{0}}}{N}a_{\mathbf{j}_l}a_{-\mathbf{j}_l}\,,\,\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}\frac{a_{\mathbf{0}}a_{\mathbf{0}}}{N}a^*_{\mathbf{j}_m}a^*_{-\mathbf{j}_m}]$$

$$= \sum_{l=1}^{m-1}[\phi_{\mathbf{j}_l}\frac{a^*_{\mathbf{0}}a_{\mathbf{0}}}{N}(a^*_{\mathbf{j}_l}a_{\mathbf{j}_l} + a^*_{-\mathbf{j}_l}a_{-\mathbf{j}_l})\,,\,\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}\frac{a_{\mathbf{0}}a_{\mathbf{0}}}{N}a^*_{\mathbf{j}_m}a^*_{-\mathbf{j}_m}]$$

$$+\sum_{l=1}^{m-1}[\phi_{\mathbf{j}_l}\frac{a^*_{\mathbf{0}}a^*_{\mathbf{0}}}{N}a_{\mathbf{j}_l}a_{-\mathbf{j}_l}\,,\,\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}\frac{a_{\mathbf{0}}a_{\mathbf{0}}}{N}a^*_{\mathbf{j}_m}a^*_{-\mathbf{j}_m}]$$

$$= -2\sum_{l=1}^{m-1}\phi_{\mathbf{j}_l}\frac{a_{\mathbf{0}}a_{\mathbf{0}}}{N^2}(a^*_{\mathbf{j}_l}a_{\mathbf{j}_l} + a^*_{-\mathbf{j}_l}a_{-\mathbf{j}_l})\,\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}a^*_{\mathbf{j}_m}a^*_{-\mathbf{j}_m}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\sum_{l=1}^{m-1}\phi_{\mathbf{j}_l}\frac{4a_{\mathbf{0}}^*a_{\mathbf{0}}+2}{N^2}a_{\mathbf{j}_l}a_{-\mathbf{j}_l}\,\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}a_{\mathbf{j}_m}^*a_{-\mathbf{j}_m}^* \\
= \;& -2\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}a_{\mathbf{j}_m}^*a_{-\mathbf{j}_m}^*\frac{a_{\mathbf{0}}a_{\mathbf{0}}}{N}\frac{1}{N}\sum_{l=1}^{m-1}\phi_{\mathbf{j}_l}(a_{\mathbf{j}_l}^*a_{\mathbf{j}_l}+a_{-\mathbf{j}_l}^*a_{-\mathbf{j}_l}) \\
& -\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}a_{\mathbf{j}_m}^*a_{-\mathbf{j}_m}^*\frac{a_{\mathbf{0}}^*a_{\mathbf{0}}}{N}\sum_{l=1}^{m-1}\phi_{\mathbf{j}_l}\frac{4}{N}a_{\mathbf{j}_l}a_{-\mathbf{j}_l}-\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}a_{\mathbf{j}_m}^*a_{-\mathbf{j}_m}^*\sum_{l=1}^{m-1}\phi_{\mathbf{j}_l}\frac{2}{N^2}a_{\mathbf{j}_l}a_{-\mathbf{j}_l}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&[\sum_{l=1}^{m-1}\hat{H}_{\mathbf{j}_l}^{Bog},\,\tilde{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(z)] \qquad (4.84)\\
= \;& -\tilde{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(z)[\sum_{l=1}^{m-1}\hat{H}_{\mathbf{j}_l}^{Bog},\,\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}\frac{a_{\mathbf{0}}^*a_{\mathbf{0}}}{N}(a_{\mathbf{j}_m}^*a_{\mathbf{j}_m}+a_{-\mathbf{j}_m}^*a_{-\mathbf{j}_m})]\tilde{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
&[\sum_{l=1}^{m-1}\hat{H}_{\mathbf{j}_l}^{Bog},\,\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}\frac{a_{\mathbf{0}}^*a_{\mathbf{0}}}{N}(a_{\mathbf{j}_m}^*a_{\mathbf{j}_m}+a_{-\mathbf{j}_m}^*a_{-\mathbf{j}_m})] \qquad (4.85)\\
= \;& \sum_{l=1}^{m-1}[\phi_{\mathbf{j}_l}\frac{a_{\mathbf{0}}^*a_{\mathbf{0}}}{N}(a_{\mathbf{j}_l}^*a_{\mathbf{j}_l}+a_{-\mathbf{j}_l}^*a_{-\mathbf{j}_l}),\,\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}\frac{a_{\mathbf{0}}^*a_{\mathbf{0}}}{N}(a_{\mathbf{j}_m}^*a_{\mathbf{j}_m}+a_{-\mathbf{j}_m}^*a_{-\mathbf{j}_m})] \\
& +\sum_{l=1}^{m-1}[\phi_{\mathbf{j}_l}\frac{a_{\mathbf{0}}^*a_{\mathbf{0}}^*}{N}a_{\mathbf{j}_l}a_{-\mathbf{j}_l}+\phi_{\mathbf{j}_l}\frac{a_{\mathbf{0}}a_{\mathbf{0}}}{N}a_{\mathbf{j}_l}^*a_{-\mathbf{j}_l}^*,\,\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}\frac{a_{\mathbf{0}}^*a_{\mathbf{0}}}{N}(a_{\mathbf{j}_m}^*a_{\mathbf{j}_m}+a_{-\mathbf{j}_m}^*a_{-\mathbf{j}_m})] \\
= \;& \sum_{l=1}^{m-1}[\phi_{\mathbf{j}_l}\frac{a_{\mathbf{0}}^*a_{\mathbf{0}}^*}{N}a_{\mathbf{j}_l}a_{-\mathbf{j}_l}+\phi_{\mathbf{j}_l}\frac{a_{\mathbf{0}}a_{\mathbf{0}}}{N}a_{\mathbf{j}_l}^*a_{-\mathbf{j}_l}^*,\,\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}\frac{a_{\mathbf{0}}^*a_{\mathbf{0}}}{N}(a_{\mathbf{j}_m}^*a_{\mathbf{j}_m}+a_{-\mathbf{j}_m}^*a_{-\mathbf{j}_m})] \qquad (4.86)\\
= \;& -2\sum_{l=1}^{m-1}\phi_{\mathbf{j}_l}\frac{a_{\mathbf{0}}^*a_{\mathbf{0}}^*}{N^2}a_{-\mathbf{j}_l}a_{\mathbf{j}_l}\,\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}(a_{\mathbf{j}_m}^*a_{\mathbf{j}_m}+a_{-\mathbf{j}_m}^*a_{-\mathbf{j}_m})+2\sum_{l=1}^{m-1}\phi_{\mathbf{j}_l}\frac{a_{\mathbf{0}}a_{\mathbf{0}}}{N^2}a_{-\mathbf{j}_l}^*a_{\mathbf{j}_l}^*\,\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}(a_{\mathbf{j}_m}^*a_{\mathbf{j}_m}+a_{-\mathbf{j}_m}^*a_{-\mathbf{j}_m}) \\
= \;& -2\frac{a_{\mathbf{0}}^*a_{\mathbf{0}}^*}{N^2}\,\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}(a_{\mathbf{j}_m}^*a_{\mathbf{j}_m}+a_{-\mathbf{j}_m}^*a_{-\mathbf{j}_m})\sum_{l=1}^{m-1}\phi_{\mathbf{j}_l}a_{-\mathbf{j}_l}a_{\mathbf{j}_l}+2\frac{a_{\mathbf{0}}a_{\mathbf{0}}}{N^2}\,\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}(a_{\mathbf{j}_m}^*a_{\mathbf{j}_m}+a_{-\mathbf{j}_m}^*a_{-\mathbf{j}_m})\sum_{l=1}^{m-1}\phi_{\mathbf{j}_l}a_{-\mathbf{j}_l}^*a_{\mathbf{j}_l}^*\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

III$_5$) Using the previous mechanism, step by step in expression (4.75) we replace each $R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(w)$ with $\tilde{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(z)$ by pulling the operator $\hat{H}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}-z_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}$ through each block until it hits the vector $\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}$. This yields a (leading) product of blocks denoted by

$$
W_{\mathbf{j}_m}\Big\{\tilde{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m,;\,N-2,N-2}(z)\prod_{l=1}^{\bar{l}}\Big[[\tilde{\Gamma}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z)]^{(r_l)}_{\tau_h}\tilde{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m,;\,N-2,N-2}(z)\Big]\Big\}W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m}\frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}\|}
$$

where each $R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(w)$ has been replaced with the corresponding $\tilde{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(z)$ and $[\tilde{\Gamma}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(z)]^{(r_l)}_{\tau_h}$ corresponds to $[\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(w)]^{(r_l)}_{\tau_h}$ after these replacements. In this process, each RW-block in (4.75) produces $O(\bar{j}(2h)^{\frac{h}{2}})$ remainder terms at most. Thus, the total number of remainder terms associated with (4.75) is bounded by $O(\bar{j}(2h)^{\frac{h}{2}}\cdot\bar{j}(2h)^{\frac{h}{2}})$.

III$_6$) Each remainder term can be written as

$$W_{\mathbf{j}_m} \underbrace{\Big\{R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m;;\,N-2,N-2}(w)\prod_{l=1}^{\bar{l}}\Big[[\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(w)]^{(r_l)}_{\tau_h} R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m,;\,N-2,N-2}(w)\Big]\Big\}}_{Left} R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i',i'}(w) W_{\mathbf{j}_m} \times \tag{4.87}$$

$$\times \underbrace{\Big\{\tilde{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m;;\,N-2,N-2}(z)\prod_{l=1}^{\bar{l}}\Big[[\tilde{\Gamma}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z)]^{(r_l)}_{\tau_h} \tilde{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m,;\,N-2,N-2}(z)\Big]\Big\}W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m}}_{Right} \frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}\|} \tag{4.88}$$

for some i', $N-4-h \le i' \le N-2$, so that the original product of RW-blocks has been split into the product of three factors:

1. in the "Left" part the original RW-blocks are unchanged;
2. the RW-block $R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i',i'}(w)W_{\mathbf{j}_m}$ (or $R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i',i'}(w)W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m}$) that separates the "Left" part from the "Right" part;
3. in the "Right" part the operators $R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(w)$ have been replaced with $\tilde{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(z)$ for all RW-blocks except one. For the exceptional RW-blocks, say $R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i'',i''}(z)W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m}$, the operator $R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i'',i''}(z)W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m}$ is replaced with

$$\tilde{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i'',i''}(z)\Delta_{\mathcal{W}^*,\,\mathbf{j}_m} \tag{4.89}$$

or

$$-\tilde{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i'',i''}(z)\Delta_{\mathcal{R},\,\mathbf{j}_m}\tilde{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i'',i''}(z)W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m}\,. \tag{4.90}$$

This splits the "Right" part into two factors labeled by "Right 1" and "Right 2", respectively, with the exceptional RW-block separating them. Therefore, assuming for example that the replacement of the exceptional RW-block is with (4.89) we get

$$W_{\mathbf{j}_m} \underbrace{\Big\{R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m;;\,N-2,N-2}(w)\prod_{l=1}^{\bar{l}}\Big[[\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(w)]^{(r_l)}_{\tau_h} R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m,;\,N-2,N-2}(w)\Big]\Big\}}_{Left} R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i',i'}(w) W_{\mathbf{j}_m} \times \tag{4.91}$$

$$\times \underbrace{\Big\{\tilde{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m;;\,N-2,N-2}(z)\prod_{l=1}^{\bar{l}}\Big[[\tilde{\Gamma}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z)]^{(r_l)}_{\tau_h} \tilde{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m,;\,N-2,N-2}(z)\Big]\Big\}}_{Right\ 1} \times \tag{4.92}$$

$$\times \tilde{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i'',i''}(z)\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}\frac{a^*_{\mathbf{j}_m}a^*_{-\mathbf{j}_m}a_{\mathbf{0}}a_{\mathbf{0}}}{N} \times \tag{4.93}$$

$$\times \underbrace{\Big\{\tilde{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m;;\,N-2,N-2}(z)\prod_{l=1}^{\bar{l}}\Big[[\tilde{\Gamma}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z)]^{(r_l)}_{\tau_h} \tilde{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m,;\,N-2,N-2}(z)\Big]\Big\}W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m}}_{Right\ 2} \times \tag{4.94}$$

$$\times\Big\{\frac{2}{N}\sum_{l=1}^{m-1}\phi_{\mathbf{j}_l}(a^*_{\mathbf{j}_l}a_{\mathbf{j}_l}+a^*_{-\mathbf{j}_l}a_{-\mathbf{j}_l})+\sum_{l=1}^{m-1}\phi_{\mathbf{j}_l}\frac{4}{N}a_{\mathbf{j}_l}a_{-\mathbf{j}_l}\Big\}\frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}\|} \tag{4.95}$$

$$+W_{\mathbf{j}_m}\underbrace{\Big\{R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_m,;\,N-2,N-2}(w)\prod_{l=1}^{\bar{l}}\Big[[\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(w)]^{(r_l)}_{\tau_h}R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_m,;\,N-2,N-2}(w)\Big]\Big\}}_{Left}R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i',i'}(w)W_{\mathbf{j}_m}\times \tag{4.96}$$

$$\times\underbrace{\Big\{\tilde{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_m,;\,N-2,N-2}(z)\prod_{l=1}^{\bar{l}}\Big[[\tilde{\Gamma}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z)]^{(r_l)}_{\tau_h}\tilde{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_m,;\,N-2,N-2}(z)\Big]\Big\}}_{Right\ 1}\times \tag{4.97}$$

$$\tilde{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i'',i''}(z)\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}a^*_{\mathbf{j}_m}a^*_{-\mathbf{j}_m}\times \tag{4.98}$$

$$\times\underbrace{\Big\{\tilde{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_m,;\,N-2,N-2}(z)\prod_{l=1}^{\bar{l}}\Big[[\tilde{\Gamma}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z)]^{(r_l)}_{\tau_h}\tilde{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_m,;\,N-2,N-2}(z)\Big]\Big\}}_{Right\ 2}W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m}\times \tag{4.99}$$

$$\times\sum_{l=1}^{m-1}\phi_{\mathbf{j}_l}\frac{2}{N^2}a_{\mathbf{j}_l}a_{-\mathbf{j}_l}\frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}\|} \tag{4.100}$$

III$_7$) When it comes to estimating the set of remainder terms of the type (4.87)-(4.88) that have been produced in the previous step, we use the argument in Remark 4.3. Namely, we estimate

$$\sum_{\bar{l}=0}^{\bar{j}-1}\sum_{r_1=1}^{\bar{r}}...\sum_{r_{\bar{l}}=1}^{\bar{r}}\prod_{l=1}^{\bar{l}}\Big\|\Big[(R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_m,;\,N-2,N-2}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}}\,[\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(w)]^{(r_l)}_{\tau_h}(R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_m,;\,N-2,N-2}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big]\Big\| \tag{4.101}$$

$$\leq\ \mathcal{E}\Big(\sum_{\bar{l}=0}^{\bar{j}-1}\sum_{r_1=1}^{\bar{r}}...\sum_{r_{\bar{l}}=1}^{\bar{r}}\prod_{l=1}^{\bar{l}}\Big\|\Big[(R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_m;\,N-2,N-2}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}}\,[\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(w)]^{(r_l)}_{\tau_h}(R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_m;\,N-2,N-2}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big]\Big\|\Big) \tag{4.102}$$

$$=\ \sum_{\bar{l}=0}^{\bar{j}-1}\sum_{r_1=1}^{\bar{r}}...\sum_{r_{\bar{l}}=1}^{\bar{r}}\mathcal{E}\Big(\prod_{l=1}^{\bar{l}}\Big\|\Big[(R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_m;\,N-2,N-2}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}}\,[\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(w)]^{(r_l)}_{\tau_h}(R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_m;\,N-2,N-2}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big]\Big\|\Big). \tag{4.103}$$

$$\leq\ \mathcal{E}\Big(\|(R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_m;\,N-2,N-2}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}}\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_m;\,N-2,N-2}(w)(R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_m;\,N-2,N-2}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\Big) \tag{4.104}$$

$$\leq\ O(1). \tag{4.105}$$

Likewise, using the procedure of Corollary 5.1 for $\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}$ small enough we can estimate

$$\sum_{\bar{l}=0}^{\bar{j}-1}\sum_{r_1=1}^{\bar{r}}...\sum_{r_{\bar{l}}=1}^{\bar{r}}\prod_{l=1}^{\bar{l}}\Big\|\Big[(\tilde{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_m,;\,N-2,N-2}(z))^{\frac{1}{2}}\,[\tilde{\Gamma}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z)]^{(r_l)}_{\tau_h}(\tilde{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_m,;\,N-2,N-2}(z))^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big]\Big\| \tag{4.106}$$

$$\leq\ \mathcal{E}\Big\{\sum_{\bar{l}=0}^{\bar{j}-1}\sum_{r_1=1}^{\bar{r}}...\sum_{r_{\bar{l}}=1}^{\bar{r}}\prod_{l=1}^{\bar{l}}\Big\|\Big[(\tilde{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_m,;\,N-2,N-2}(z))^{\frac{1}{2}}\,[\tilde{\Gamma}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z)]^{(r_l)}_{\tau_h}(\tilde{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_m,;\,N-2,N-2}(z))^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big]\Big\|\Big\} \tag{4.107}$$

$$\leq\ O(1)\,. \tag{4.108}$$

The key ingredient in our final estimate is: Each remainder term produced in expression (4.70) from

$$R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_m,;\,N-2,N-2}(w)\prod_{l=1}^{\bar{l}}\Big[[\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(w)]^{(r_l)}_{\tau_h}R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_m,;\,N-2,N-2}(w)\Big]W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m} \tag{4.109}$$

is surely bounded by

$$\frac{K_m}{N^{\frac{1}{2}}}\mathcal{E}\Big\{\prod_{l=1}^{\bar{l}}\Big\|\Big[(R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m,;\,N-2,N-2}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}}\,[\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(w)]^{(r_l)}_{\tau_h}(R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m,;\,N-2,N-2}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big]\Big\|\Big\}\times \tag{4.110}$$

$$\times\|(R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m,;\,N-2,N-2}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}}W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m}\frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}\|}\|^2$$

for some constant K_m (depending on $\tilde{C}_m$). Thanks to the estimates in (4.101)-(4.105) and in (4.110), the total contribution of the error terms produced in **STEP III)** is bounded by $O(\frac{\bar{j}(2h)^{\frac{h}{2}}\cdot\bar{j}(2h)^{\frac{h}{2}}}{N^{\frac{1}{2}}})$.

STEP IV)

Collecting the results in **STEP I**, **STEP II**, and **STEP III**, and setting $\bar{j}\equiv h$ we conclude that

$$\langle\frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}\|}\,,\,\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m;N,N}(w)\frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}\|}\rangle \tag{4.111}$$

$$=\ \langle\frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}\|}\,,\,W_{\mathbf{j}_m}\sum_{l_{N-2}=0}^{h-1}\tilde{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m,;\,N-2,N-2}(z)\left\{[\tilde{\Gamma}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z)]_{\tau_h}\,\tilde{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z)\right\}^{l_{N-2}}\times \tag{4.112}$$

$$\times W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m}\frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}\|}\rangle$$

$$+O(\frac{h^2(2h)^h}{N^{\frac{1}{2}}})+O((\frac{4}{5})^h)+O(h(\frac{1}{1+c\sqrt{\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}}})^h)\,. \tag{4.113}$$

For convenience, we define

$$[\tilde{\Gamma}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m;N,N}(z)]_{\tau_h} \tag{4.114}$$

$$:=\ W_{\mathbf{j}_m}\sum_{l_{N-2}=0}^{h-1}\tilde{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m,;\,N-2,N-2}(z)\left\{[\tilde{\Gamma}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z)]_{\tau_h}\,\tilde{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z)\right\}^{l_{N-2}}W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m}\,.$$

Now, we observe that the leading term from **STEP III**, i.e., the term in (4.112), can be replaced with

$$\langle\eta\,,\,\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_m;N,N}(z)\,\eta\rangle$$

up to a small error that vanishes as $N\to\infty$. To this purpose, we denote by χ_{N-h} the projection onto the subspace of states with at least $N-h$ particles in the zero mode. Then, using the assumption in (4.47) we get

$$\|(\mathbb{1}-\chi_{N-h})\frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}\|}\|\leq O(\frac{1}{h^{\frac{1}{2}}}) \tag{4.115}$$

and, consequently,

$$\langle\frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}\|}\,,\,[\tilde{\Gamma}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m;N,N}(z)]_{\tau_h}\frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}\|}\rangle \tag{4.116}$$

$$=\ \langle\chi_{N-h}\frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}\|}\,,\,[\tilde{\Gamma}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m;N,N}(z)]_{\tau_h}\chi_{N-h}\frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}\|}\rangle+O(\frac{1}{h^{\frac{1}{2}}})\,. \tag{4.117}$$

In the following we shall show closeness of

$$\langle \chi_{N-h} \frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}\|} \, , \, [\tilde{\Gamma}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m;N,N}(z)]_{\tau_h} \chi_{N-h} \frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}\|} \rangle \tag{4.118}$$

to

$$\langle \eta \, , \, \Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_m;N,N}(z) \, \eta \rangle \, . \tag{4.119}$$

In *Section 4.1.1. of [Pi1]*, for a three-modes Bogoliubov Hamiltonian with interacting modes $\pm\mathbf{j}_*$ and for $z \leq E^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*} + \sqrt{\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_*}}\phi_{\mathbf{j}^*}\sqrt{\epsilon^2_{\mathbf{j}_*} + 2\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_*}}$ we showed the identity

$$\langle \eta \, , \, \Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*;N,N}(z) \, \eta \rangle = \frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_*}}{2\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_*} + 2 - \frac{z}{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_*}}} \check{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{j}_* \, ; \, N-2,N-2}(z) \tag{4.120}$$

where $\check{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{j}_* \, ; \, i,i}(z)$ is defined (for i even and $N-2 \geq i \geq 2$) by

$$\check{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{j}_* \, ; \, i,i}(z) := \sum_{l_i=0}^{\infty} [\mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{j}_* \, ; i,i-2}(z) \mathcal{W}^*_{\mathbf{j}_* \, ; i-2,i}(z) \check{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{j}_* \, ; \, i-2,i-2}(z)]^{l_i} \quad , \quad \check{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{j}_* \, ; \, 0,0}(z) = 1 \, , \tag{4.121}$$

and

$$\mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{j}_* \, ; \, i,i-2}(z) \mathcal{W}^*_{\mathbf{j}_* \, ; \, i-2,i}(z) \tag{4.122}$$

$$:= \frac{(n_{\mathbf{j}_0} - 1) n_{\mathbf{j}_0}}{N^2} \phi^2_{\mathbf{j}_*} \frac{(n_{\mathbf{j}_*} + 1)(n_{-\mathbf{j}_*} + 1)}{\left[(\frac{n_{\mathbf{j}_0}}{N} \phi_{\mathbf{j}_*} + (k^2_{\mathbf{j}_*}))(n_{\mathbf{j}_*} + n_{-\mathbf{j}_*}) - z \right]} \tag{4.123}$$

$$\times \frac{1}{\left[(\frac{(n_{\mathbf{j}_0}-2)}{N} \phi_{\mathbf{j}_*} + (k^2_{\mathbf{j}_*}))(n_{\mathbf{j}_*} + n_{-\mathbf{j}_*}) + 2(\frac{(n_{\mathbf{j}_0}-2)}{N} \phi_{\mathbf{j}_*} + (k^2_{\mathbf{j}_*})) - z \right]} \tag{4.124}$$

with

$$n_{\mathbf{j}_*} + n_{-\mathbf{j}_*} = N - i \quad ; \quad n_{\mathbf{j}_*} = n_{-\mathbf{j}_*} \quad ; \quad n_{\mathbf{j}_0} = i \, . \tag{4.125}$$

In Lemma 5.3 we consider the modified expression $[\check{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{j}_* \, ; \, i,i}(z)]_{\tau_h \, ; \, \Delta n_{\mathbf{j}_0}}$ defined for i even, $N-h \leq i \leq N-2$, $0 \leq \Delta n_{\mathbf{j}_0} \leq h$, $i - \Delta n_{\mathbf{j}_0} - 2 \geq 0$, by the relation

$$[\check{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{j}_* \, ; \, i,i}(z)]_{\tau_h \, ; \, \Delta n_{\mathbf{j}_0}} := \sum_{l_i=0}^{h-1} \{ [\mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{j}_* \, ; i,i-2}(z) \mathcal{W}^*_{\mathbf{j}_* \, ; i-2,i}(z)]_{\Delta n_{\mathbf{j}_0}} \, [\check{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{j}_* \, ; \, i-2,i-2}(z)]_{\tau_h \, ; \, \Delta n_{\mathbf{j}_0}} \}^{l_i} \tag{4.126}$$

where

$$[\check{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{j}_* \, ; \, N-h-4,N-h-4}(z)]_{\tau_h \, ; \, \Delta n_{\mathbf{j}_0}} = 1 \tag{4.127}$$

and

$$[\mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{j}_* \, ; \, i,i-2}(z) \mathcal{W}^*_{\mathbf{j}_* \, ; \, i-2,i}(z)]_{\Delta n_{\mathbf{j}_0}} \tag{4.128}$$

$$:= \frac{(i - \Delta n_{\mathbf{j}_0} - 1)(i - \Delta n_{\mathbf{j}_0})}{N^2} \phi^2_{\mathbf{j}_*} \tag{4.129}$$

$$\times \frac{(N - i + 2)^2}{4\left[(\frac{i - \Delta n_{\mathbf{j}_0}}{N} \phi_{\mathbf{j}_*} + (k_{\mathbf{j}_*})^2)(N - i) - z \right] \left[(\frac{i - 2 - \Delta n_{\mathbf{j}_0}}{N} \phi_{\mathbf{j}_*} + (k_{\mathbf{j}_*})^2)(N - i) + 2(\frac{i - 2 - \Delta n_{\mathbf{j}_0}}{N} \phi_{\mathbf{j}_*} + \epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_*}) - z \right]} \, . \tag{4.130}$$

In Lemma 5.3 for N large enough, $(0 \leq)\Delta n_{\mathbf{j_0}} \leq h$, and

$$z \leq E^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*} + \sqrt{\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_*}}\phi_{\mathbf{j}^*}\sqrt{\epsilon^2_{\mathbf{j}_*} + 2\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_*}} - \frac{(h+2)\phi_{\mathbf{j}_*}}{N} \tag{4.131}$$

we estimate

$$\Big|\frac{\partial[\check{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,i,i}(z)]_{\tau_h\,;\,\Delta n_{\mathbf{i_0}}}}{\partial \Delta n_{\mathbf{j_0}}}\Big| \leq K\frac{h\cdot g^{i-N+h}}{N^{\frac{1}{2}}} \tag{4.132}$$

where g is not larger than 4 and K is a universal constant.
Going back to expression (4.118), we observe that the operator

$$[\tilde{\Gamma}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m;N,N}(z)]_{\tau_h} \tag{4.133}$$

preserves the number of particles for any mode. Therefore,

$$\langle \chi_{N-h}\frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}{||\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}||}\,,\,[\tilde{\Gamma}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m;N,N}(z)]_{\tau_h}\,\chi_{N-h}\frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}{||\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}||}\rangle \tag{4.134}$$

$$= \sum_{n_{\mathbf{j}_0}=N-h}^{N}\langle\Big(\chi_{N-h}\frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}{||\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}||}\Big)_{n_{\mathbf{j}_0}}\,,\,[\tilde{\Gamma}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m;N,N}(z)]_{\tau_h}\Big(\chi_{N-h}\frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}{||\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}||}\Big)_{n_{\mathbf{j}_0}}\rangle \tag{4.135}$$

where $\Big(\chi_{N-h}\frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}{||\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}||}\Big)_{n_{\mathbf{j}_0}}$ is the component with exactly $n_{\mathbf{j}_0}$ particles in the mode $\mathbf{j}_0$. It is straightforward to check that

$$\sum_{n_{\mathbf{j}_0}=N-h}^{N}\langle\Big(\chi_{N-h}\frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}{||\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}||}\Big)_{n_{\mathbf{j_0}}}\,,\,[\tilde{\Gamma}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m;N,N}(z)]_{\tau_h}\Big(\chi_{N-h}\frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}{||\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}||}\Big)_{n_{\mathbf{j}_0}}\rangle \tag{4.136}$$

$$= \sum_{n_{\mathbf{j}_0}=N-h}^{N}\frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}}{2\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}+\frac{2n_{\mathbf{j}_0}}{N}-\frac{z}{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}}}[\check{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z)]_{\tau_h\,;\,N-n_{\mathbf{j}_0}}\Big\|\Big(\chi_{N-h}\frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}{||\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}||}\Big)_{n_{\mathbf{j}_0}}\Big\|^2. \tag{4.137}$$

Invoking Lemma 5.3, we can write

$$\sum_{n_{\mathbf{j}_0}=N-h}^{N}\frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}}{2\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}+\frac{2n_{\mathbf{j}_0}}{N}-\frac{z}{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}}}[\check{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z)]_{\tau_h\,;\,N-n_{\mathbf{j}_0}}\Big\|\Big(\chi_{N-h}\frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}{||\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}||}\Big)_{n_{\mathbf{j}_0}}\Big\|^2 \tag{4.138}$$

$$= \frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}}{2\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}+2-\frac{z}{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}}}[\check{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z)]_{\tau_h\,;\,0}\Big\|\chi_{N-h}\frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}{||\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}||}\Big\|^2 + \mathcal{S}(z) \tag{4.139}$$

with $|\mathcal{S}(z)| \leq O(\frac{h^2g^h}{N^{\frac{1}{2}}})$. Finally, we conclude that

$$\langle\frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}{||\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}||}\,,\,[\tilde{\Gamma}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m;N,N}(z)]_{\tau_h}\frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}{||\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}||}\rangle \tag{4.140}$$

$$= \frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}}{2\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}+2-\frac{z}{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}}}[\check{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z)]_{\tau_h\,;\,0} + O(\frac{1}{h^{\frac{1}{2}}}) + O(\frac{h^2g^h}{N^{\frac{1}{2}}}) \tag{4.141}$$

$$= \frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}}{2\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}+2-\frac{z}{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}}}\check{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z) + \tag{4.142}$$

$$+O(\frac{1}{h^{\frac{1}{2}}}) + O(\frac{h^2g^h}{N^{\frac{1}{2}}}) + O((\frac{4}{5})^h) + O(h(\frac{1}{1+c\sqrt{\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}}})^h) \tag{4.143}$$

where in the last step we essentially undo **STEP I** and **II** on the quantity $[\check{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z)]_{\tau_h\,;\,0} \equiv \langle \eta\,,\, [\tilde{\check{\Gamma}}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m;N,N}(z)]_{\tau_h}\eta\rangle$.

As far as (4.49) is concerned, with the same arguments we arrive at

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}}\,\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m;\,N,N}(z+z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}})\frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}\|}\| \\
\leq\ & \|\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}}\,[\tilde{\Gamma}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m;\,N,N}(z)]_{\tau_h}\frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}\|}\| \qquad (4.144)\\
& +\mathcal{O}(\frac{h^2(2h)^h}{N^{\frac{1}{2}}})+\mathcal{O}((\frac{4}{5})^h)+\mathcal{O}(h(\frac{1}{1+c\sqrt{\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}}})^h) \\
\leq\ & \|\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}}\,[\tilde{\Gamma}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m;\,N,N}(z)]_{\tau_h}\chi_{N-h}\frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}\|}\| \qquad (4.145)\\
& +\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{h^{\frac{1}{2}}})+\mathcal{O}(\frac{h^2(2h)^h}{N^{\frac{1}{2}}})+\mathcal{O}((\frac{4}{5})^h)+\mathcal{O}(h(\frac{1}{1+c\sqrt{\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}}})^h) \\
=\ & \frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}}{2\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}+2-\frac{z}{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}}}\,[\check{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z)]_{\tau_h\,;\,0}\|\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}}\chi_{N-h}\frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}\|}\| \qquad (4.146)\\
& +\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{h^{\frac{1}{2}}})+\mathcal{O}(\frac{hg^h}{N^{\frac{1}{2}}})+\mathcal{O}(\frac{h^2(2h)^h}{N^{\frac{1}{2}}})+\mathcal{O}((\frac{4}{5})^h)+\mathcal{O}(h(\frac{1}{1+c\sqrt{\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}}})^h) \\
\leq\ & \frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}}{2\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}+2-\frac{z}{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}}}\,[\check{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z)]_{\tau_h\,;\,0}\|\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}}(\mathbb{1}-\chi_{N-h})\frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}\|}\| \qquad (4.147)\\
& +\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{h^{\frac{1}{2}}})+\mathcal{O}(\frac{hg^h}{N^{\frac{1}{2}}})+\mathcal{O}(\frac{h^2(2h)^h}{N^{\frac{1}{2}}})+\mathcal{O}((\frac{4}{5})^h)+\mathcal{O}(h(\frac{1}{1+c\sqrt{\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}}})^h) \\
=\ & \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{h^{\frac{1}{2}}})+\mathcal{O}(\frac{hg^h}{N^{\frac{1}{2}}})+\mathcal{O}(\frac{h^2(2h)^h}{N^{\frac{1}{2}}})+\mathcal{O}((\frac{4}{5})^h)+\mathcal{O}(h(\frac{1}{1+c\sqrt{\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}}})^h)\,. \qquad (4.148)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, we set $h \equiv \lfloor \big(\ln N\big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \rfloor$ (where $\lfloor \big(\ln N\big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \rfloor$ is assumed to be even) and determine two positive constants C_I, and C_{II} such that the inequalities in (4.48) and (4.49) hold true.

□

In the next lemma, we prove the invertibility of

$$
\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}}\,\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(N-2)}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m}(z+z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}})\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}}
$$

in $\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}}\mathcal{F}^N$.

Lemma 4.5. *Let $2 \leq m \leq M$ and assume that the Hamiltonian $H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}$ has ground state vector $\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}$ and ground state energy $z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}$ with the property in (4.47).*
Assume that there exists $\Delta_{m-1} > 0$ such that

$$
inf spec\,\Big[\Big(\hat{H}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}+\sum_{\mathbf{j}\in\mathbb{Z}^d\setminus\{\pm\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\pm\mathbf{j}_m\}}(k_{\mathbf{j}})^2a^*_{\mathbf{j}}a_{\mathbf{j}}\Big)\restriction_{(\mathcal{F}^N\ominus\mathcal{F}^N_{\pm\mathbf{j}_m})\ominus\{\mathbb{C}\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}\}}\Big]-z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}\geq\Delta_{m-1} \qquad (4.149)
$$

where $\mathcal{F}^N_{\pm\mathbf{j}_m}$ is the subspace of states in $\mathcal{F}^N$ containing at least one particle in the modes $\pm\mathbf{j}_m$ and $\{\mathbb{C}\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}\}$ is the subspace generated by the vector $\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}$. (Notice that

$$\hat{H}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}} + \sum_{\mathbf{j}\in\mathbb{Z}^d\setminus\{\pm\mathbf{j}_1,...,\pm\mathbf{j}_m\}} (k_{\mathbf{j}})^2 a^*_{\mathbf{j}} a_{\mathbf{j}} = H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}} - (k_{\mathbf{j}_m})^2 (a^*_{\mathbf{j}_m} a_{\mathbf{j}_m} + a^*_{-\mathbf{j}_m} a_{-\mathbf{j}_m}),$$

i.e., the kinetic energy associated with the modes $\pm\mathbf{j}_m$ is absent.)
Let $\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}$ be sufficiently small and N sufficiently large such that:
a) for

$$z \leq E^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_m} + \sqrt{\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}}\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}\sqrt{\epsilon^2_{\mathbf{j}_m} + 2\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}}$$

the Feshbach flow associated with the couple of modes $\pm\mathbf{j}_m$ is well defined (see Theorem 4.1);
b) $(1 - \frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}\lfloor(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{2}}\rfloor}{N\Delta_{m-1}}) > \frac{1}{2}$.
Then, there exists a constant $C^\perp > 0$ such that for

$$z \leq \min\left\{z_m - \frac{C^\perp}{(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \gamma\Delta_{m-1}\,;\, E^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_m} + \sqrt{\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}}\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}\sqrt{\epsilon^2_{\mathbf{j}_m} + 2\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}}\right\} \quad , \quad \gamma = \frac{1}{2}, \tag{4.150}$$

the following estimate holds true:

$$\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}}\,\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(N-2)}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_m}(z + z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}})\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}} \geq (1-\gamma)\Delta_{m-1}\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}}. \tag{4.151}$$

Proof

Assuming (4.149), for z as in (4.150) we shall show that the operator norm

$$\|\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}}\,\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_m;\,N,N}(z + z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}})\,\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}}\| \tag{4.152}$$

$$= \|\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}}\,W_{\mathbf{j}_m}\,R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}} + z)\times \tag{4.153}$$

$$\times \sum_{l_{N-2}=0}^{\infty}\left[\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}} + z)R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}} + z)\right]^{l_{N-2}} W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m}\,\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}}\| \tag{4.154}$$

is bounded from above by

$$\frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}}{2\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m} + 2 - \frac{z-\Delta_{m-1}(1-\frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}\lfloor(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{2}}\rfloor}{N\Delta_{m-1}}))}{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}}}\check{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z - \Delta_{m-1}(1 - \frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}\lfloor(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{2}}\rfloor}{N\Delta_{m-1}})) \tag{4.155}$$

up to a small remainder, where $\check{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z)$ is defined in (4.121)-(4.125) by replacing $\mathbf{j}_*$ with $\mathbf{j}_m$. (We have assumed $\lfloor\left(\ln N\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\rfloor$ even to simplify the notation.)

The argument follows the rationale of Lemma 4.4. We set $w = z + z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}$ and after implementing **Steps I** and **II** we can write

$$(4.152) \tag{4.156}$$

$$= \|\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}}\,W_{\mathbf{j}_m}\,R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(w)\times \tag{4.157}$$

$$\times \sum_{l_{N-2}=0}^{h-1}\left[[\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(w)]_{\tau_h}\,R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(w)\right]^{l_{N-2}} W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m}\,\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,...,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}}\|$$

$$+O((\frac{4}{5})^h) + O(h\,(\frac{1}{1 + c\,\sqrt{\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}}})^h). \tag{4.158}$$

Next, similarly to what observed in *Section 4.1.1 of [Pi1]*, the operators of the type

$$(R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}}\,W_{\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i-2}\,(R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i-2,i-2}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}}\quad,\quad(R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i-2,i-2}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}}\,W^{*}_{\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i-2,i}(R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}}\tag{4.159}$$

that pop up when we expand $[\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(w)]_{\tau_h}$ in expression (4.157) can be replaced with operator-valued functions of the "variables" $a^*_{\mathbf{j}_m}a_{\mathbf{j}_m}$ and $a^*_{-\mathbf{j}_m}a_{-\mathbf{j}_m}$. In fact, the (number) operators $a^*_{\mathbf{j}_m}a_{\mathbf{j}_m}$, $a^*_{-\mathbf{j}_m}a_{-\mathbf{j}_m}$ can be replaced with c-numbers by taking into account: 1) the projections contained in the definition of $R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(z)$; 2) the form of the interaction terms $W_{\mathbf{j}_m}$, $W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m}$; 3) the operator $\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}}$ projecting onto states with no particles in the modes $\pm\mathbf{j}_m$.
In particular, since

$$a_{\mathbf{j}_m}a_{-\mathbf{j}_m}a^*_{\mathbf{j}_m}a^*_{-\mathbf{j}_m}=(a^*_{\mathbf{j}_m}a_{\mathbf{j}_m}+1)(a^*_{-\mathbf{j}_m}a_{-\mathbf{j}_m}+1)\tag{4.160}$$

it turns out that

$$(R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}}\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}\frac{a^*_{\mathbf{0}}a^*_{\mathbf{0}}a_{\mathbf{j}_m}a_{-\mathbf{j}_m}}{N}\,(R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i-2,i-2}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}}\tag{4.161}$$

is replaced with

$$\begin{aligned}&\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}\frac{1}{\Big[\sum_{\mathbf{j}\notin\{\pm\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\pm\mathbf{j}_m\}}(k_{\mathbf{j}})^2a^*_{\mathbf{j}}a_{\mathbf{j}}+\hat{H}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}-z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}+(\frac{a^*_{\mathbf{0}}a_{\mathbf{0}}}{N}\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}+(k^2_{\mathbf{j}_m}))(n_{\mathbf{j}_m}+n_{-\mathbf{j}_m})-z\Big]^{\frac{1}{2}}}\times\\&\times\frac{a^*_{\mathbf{0}}a^*_{\mathbf{0}}}{N}Q^{(\leq i-2)}_{\mathbf{j}_0}\times\\&\times\frac{(n_{\mathbf{j}_m}+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}(n_{-\mathbf{j}_m}+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\Big[\sum_{\mathbf{j}\notin\{\pm\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\pm\mathbf{j}_m\}}(k_{\mathbf{j}})^2a^*_{\mathbf{j}}a_{\mathbf{j}}+\hat{H}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}-z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}+(\frac{a^*_{\mathbf{0}}a_{\mathbf{0}}}{N}\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}+(k^2_{\mathbf{j}_m}))(n_{\mathbf{j}_m}+n_{-\mathbf{j}_m}+2)-z\Big]^{\frac{1}{2}}}\end{aligned}\tag{4.162}$$

where $Q^{(\leq i-2)}_{\mathbf{j}_0}$ is the projection onto the subspace of $\mathcal{F}^n$ with at most $i-2$ particles in the mode $\mathbf{0}$,

$$n_{\mathbf{j}_m}+n_{-\mathbf{j}_m}=N-i\quad,\quad n_{\mathbf{j}_m}=n_{-\mathbf{j}_m}\,,\tag{4.163}$$

and the operator

$$(R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i-2,i-2}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}}\,\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}\frac{a_{\mathbf{0}}a_{\mathbf{0}}a^*_{\mathbf{j}_m}a^*_{-\mathbf{j}_m}}{N}(R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}}\tag{4.164}$$

is replaced with the hermitian conjugate of (4.162).

We proceed implementing Steps **III$_1$** and **III$_2$** of Lemma 4.4 on the operators in (4.157), so that finally we deal with a sum of expressions of the type

$$\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}}\,\phi^2_{\mathbf{j}_m}\frac{a^*_{\mathbf{0}}a^*_{\mathbf{0}}}{N}\Big\{R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m,;\,N-2,N-2}(w)\prod_{l=1}^{\bar{l}}\Big[[\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N,N}(w)]^{(r_l)}_{\tau_h}R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m,;\,N-2,N-2}(w)\Big]\Big\}\frac{a_{\mathbf{0}}a_{\mathbf{0}}}{N}\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}}\tag{4.165}$$

where we assume to have implemented the substitutions described in lines (4.161)-(4.163). Next, on (4.165) we implement a Step **III$_3$** that differs from the counterpart in Lemma 4.4. Starting from the very right of (4.165), in front (i.e., on the right) of each resolvent we split the identity (in $\mathcal{F}^N\ominus\mathcal{F}^N_{\pm\mathbf{j}_m}$) into

$$\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{F}^N\ominus\mathcal{F}^N_{\pm\mathbf{j}_m}}=\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}+(\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{F}^N\ominus\mathcal{F}^N_{\pm\mathbf{j}_m}}-\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}})\,.\tag{4.166}$$

The norm of the operator proportional to $\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}$ is small because due to the property in (4.47) we can estimate

$$\|\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}} \frac{a_{\mathbf{0}} a_{\mathbf{0}}}{N} \mathscr{P}'_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}\| \leq O(\frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}}}) \tag{4.167}$$

where $\mathscr{P}'_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}} := \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{F}^N \ominus \mathcal{F}^N_{\pm \mathbf{j}_m}} - \mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}$. Due to (4.167) and making use of the resolvent equation, we replace the resolvent

$$\frac{1}{\sum_{\mathbf{j}\neq\{\pm\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\pm\mathbf{j}_m\}}(k_{\mathbf{j}})^2 a^*_{\mathbf{j}} a_{\mathbf{j}} + \hat{H}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}} - z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}} + (\frac{a^*_{\mathbf{0}} a_{\mathbf{0}}}{N}\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m} + k^2_{\mathbf{j}_m})(n_{\mathbf{j}_m} + n_{-\mathbf{j}_m}) - z} \mathscr{P}'_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}} \tag{4.168}$$

with

$$\frac{1}{\mathscr{P}'_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}} \Big[\sum_{\mathbf{j}\neq\{\pm\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\pm\mathbf{j}_m\}}(k_{\mathbf{j}})^2 a^*_{\mathbf{j}} a_{\mathbf{j}} + \hat{H}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}} - z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}} + (\frac{a^*_{\mathbf{0}} a_{\mathbf{0}}}{N}\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m} + k^2_{\mathbf{j}_m})(n_{\mathbf{j}_m} + n_{-\mathbf{j}_m}) - z \Big] \mathscr{P}'_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}} \mathscr{P}'_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}} \tag{4.169}$$

up to an error term that is bounded by $O(\frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}}})$. Up to this small error, each RW-block of the type $R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i} W_{\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i-2}$ has been transformed to

$$\frac{1}{\mathscr{P}'_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}} \Big[\sum_{\mathbf{j}\neq\{\pm\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\pm\mathbf{j}_m\}} k^2_{\mathbf{j}} a^*_{\mathbf{j}} a_{\mathbf{j}} + \hat{H}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}} - z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}} + (\frac{a^*_{\mathbf{0}} a_{\mathbf{0}}}{N}\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m} + k^2_{\mathbf{j}_m})(n_{\mathbf{j}_m} + n_{-\mathbf{j}_m}) - z \Big] \mathscr{P}'_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}} \times$$
$$\times \mathscr{P}'_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}} \frac{a^*_{\mathbf{0}} a^*_{\mathbf{0}}}{N} Q^{(\leq i-2)}_{\mathbf{j}_0} \mathscr{P}'_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}} (n_{\mathbf{j}_m} + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} (n_{-\mathbf{j}_m} + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} . \tag{4.170}$$

An analogous operation must be implement on the RW-blocks of the type $R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i} W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i+2}$. After transforming each RW-block in (4.165) according to the procedure just explained, we make use of (4.167) one more time and get for (4.170)

$$\frac{1}{\mathscr{P}'_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}} \Big[\sum_{\mathbf{j}\neq\{\pm\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\pm\mathbf{j}_m\}} k^2_{\mathbf{j}} a^*_{\mathbf{j}} a_{\mathbf{j}} + \hat{H}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}} - z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}} \Big] \mathscr{P}'_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}} + (\frac{a^*_{\mathbf{0}} a_{\mathbf{0}}}{N}\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m} + k^2_{\mathbf{j}_m})(n_{\mathbf{j}_m} + n_{-\mathbf{j}_m}) - z} \times$$
$$\times \frac{a^*_{\mathbf{0}} a^*_{\mathbf{0}}}{N} Q^{(\leq i-2)}_{\mathbf{j}_0} (n_{\mathbf{j}_m} + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} (n_{-\mathbf{j}_m} + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{4.171}$$

up to an error term that is bounded by $O(\frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}}})$. (Analogous procedure for blocks of the type $R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i} W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i+2}$.)
Then, in (4.165) we have isolated a leading term obtained from the operations just described plus a remainder that, using the same procedure of Step **III$_7$**, we can estimate less than

$$K'_m \frac{h(2h)^h}{N^{\frac{1}{2}}} \mathcal{E} \Big\{ \prod_{l=1}^{\bar{l}} \Big\| \Big[(R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m;\,N-2,N-2}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}} [\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(w)]^{(r_l)}_{\tau_h} (R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m;\,N-2,N-2}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}} \Big] \Big\| \Big\} \times$$
$$\times \|(R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m;\,N-2,N-2}(w))^{\frac{1}{2}} W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m}\|^2 \tag{4.172}$$

for some constant K'_m depending on $\tilde{C}_{m-1}$ (see (4.47)).

Finally, choosing $h = \lfloor (\ln N)^{\frac{1}{2}} \rfloor - 2$, the assumption in (4.149) and an argument (partially) similar to *Corollary 4.13 of [Pi1]* imply that the leading term of

$$\| \overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}} W_{\mathbf{j}_m} R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(w) \times \tag{4.173}$$

$$\times \sum_{l_{N-2}=0}^{h-1} \left[[\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(w)]_{\tau_h} R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(w) \right]^{l_{N-2}} W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m} \overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}} \| \tag{4.174}$$

that has been isolated is bounded by

$$\frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}}{2\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m} + 2 - \frac{z-\Delta_{m-1}(1-\frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}\lfloor(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{2}}\rfloor}{N\Delta_{m-1}})}{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}}} [\check{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z-\Delta_{m-1}(1-\frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}\lfloor(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{2}}\rfloor}{N\Delta_{m-1}}))]_{\tau_{h\equiv\lfloor(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{2}}\rfloor-2}\,;\,0} \tag{4.175}$$

Thus, we have derived

$$\| \overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}} \Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m;\,N,N}(z + z_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}) \overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}} \| \tag{4.176}$$

$$\leq \frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}}{2\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m} + 2 - \frac{z-\Delta_{m-1}(1-\frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}\lfloor(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{2}}\rfloor}{N\Delta_{m-1}})}{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}}} [\check{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z-\Delta_{m-1}(1-\frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}\lfloor(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{2}}\rfloor}{N\Delta_{m-1}}))]_{\tau_{h\equiv\lfloor(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{2}}\rfloor-2}\,;\,0} \tag{4.177}$$

$$+O((\frac{4}{5})^h) + O(h\,(\frac{1}{1+c\sqrt{\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}}})^h) + O(\frac{h(2h)^h}{N^{\frac{1}{2}}}) \tag{4.178}$$

$$\leq \frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}}{2\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m} + 2 - \frac{z-\Delta_{m-1}(1-\frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}\lfloor(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{2}}\rfloor}{N\Delta_{m-1}})}{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}}} \check{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z-\Delta_{m-1}(1-\frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}\lfloor(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{2}}\rfloor}{N\Delta_{m-1}})) \tag{4.179}$$

$$+O(\frac{1}{(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{2}}})\,. \tag{4.180}$$

Following the procedure of *Lemma 4.4 of [Pi1]*, we can set a constant $C^{\perp}$ such that

$$\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}} \mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(N-2)}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m}(z + z_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}) \overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}} \tag{4.181}$$

$$= \overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}} (H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}} - z - z_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}) \overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}} \tag{4.182}$$

$$- \overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}} \Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N,N}(z_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}} + z) \overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}} \tag{4.183}$$

$$\geq \overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}} (\hat{H}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}} + \sum_{\mathbf{j}\neq\{\pm\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\pm\mathbf{j}_m\}} (k_{\mathbf{j}})^2 a^*_{\mathbf{j}} a_{\mathbf{j}} - z_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}} - z) \overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}} \tag{4.184}$$

$$- \frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}}{2\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m} + 2 - \frac{z-\Delta_{m-1}(1-\frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}\lfloor(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{2}}\rfloor}{N\Delta_{m-1}})}{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}}} \check{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z-\Delta_{m-1}(1-\frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}\lfloor(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{2}}\rfloor}{N\Delta_{m-1}})) \overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}} - \frac{C^{\perp}}{(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}}$$

$$\geq (\Delta_{m-1} - z) \overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}} + (z_m - \frac{C^{\perp}}{(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{2}}}) \overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}} \tag{4.185}$$

$$\geq (1-\gamma)\Delta_{m-1} \overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}} \tag{4.186}$$

where in the step from (4.184) to (4.185) we have used:

1. $\check{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z)$ is nondecreasing in the given range of z (see *Remark 4.1 of [Pi1]*) and

$$(1-\frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}\lfloor(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{2}}\rfloor}{N\Delta_{m-1}})>\frac{1}{2}$$

by assumption, therefore

$$-\frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}}{2\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}+2-\frac{z-\Delta_{m-1}(1-\frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}\lfloor(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{2}}\rfloor}{N\Delta_{m-1}})}{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}}}\check{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z-\Delta_{m-1}(1-\frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}\lfloor(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{2}}\rfloor}{N\Delta_{m-1}})) \quad (4.187)$$

$$\geq -\frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}}{2\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}+2-\frac{z_m}{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}}}\check{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z_m) \quad (4.188)$$

because $z-\Delta_{m-1}(1-\frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}\lfloor(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{2}}\rfloor}{N\Delta_{m-1}})<z_m$;

2. Due to the assumption in (4.149),

$$\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}}(\hat{H}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}+\sum_{\mathbf{j}\neq\{\pm\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\pm\mathbf{j}_m\}}(k_{\mathbf{j}})^2a^*_{\mathbf{j}}a_{\mathbf{j}}-z_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}})\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}}\geq\Delta_{m-1}\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}} \quad (4.189)$$

□

The theorem below concerns five properties proven by induction. For the convenience of the reader we outline the structure of the proof.
Property 1. ensures the construction of the Feshbach flow $\{\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(i)}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m}(z+z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}})\,|\,0\leq i \text{ and even}\}$ up to the last step ($i=N$).
Property 2. provides the existence of the unique solution of the fixed point equation associated with the Feshbach Hamiltonian $\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(N)}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m}(z+z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}})$ defined with Property 1. Hence, the (non-degenerate) ground state energy of $H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m}$ and the corresponding ground state vector are derived.
Property 3. is concerned with the spectral gap of the Hamiltonian

$$\Big(\hat{H}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m}+\sum_{\mathbf{j}\in\mathbb{Z}^d\setminus\{\pm\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\pm\mathbf{j}_{m+1}\}}(k_{\mathbf{j}})^2a^*_{\mathbf{j}}a_{\mathbf{j}}\Big)\restriction_{\mathcal{F}^N\ominus\mathcal{F}^N_{\pm\mathbf{j}_{m+1}}}.$$

Thus, Property 3. provides the gap condition at step m that is needed to apply Lemma 4.5 and get Property 1. and 2. at step $m+1$.
Property 4. provides the information on

$$\text{infspec}\,[\sum_{l=1}^{m}\hat{H}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_l}]-z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m}$$

that is used at step $m+1$ in Corollary 5.1. Thanks to this input, the operator norm estimate (5.3) in Corollary 5.1 can be derived as if the modes $\pm\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\pm\mathbf{j}_{m-1}$ were absent.
Property 5. provides the bound on the expectation value of the number operator $\mathcal{N}_+:=\sum_{\mathbf{j}\in\mathbb{Z}^d\setminus\{\mathbf{0}\}}a^*_{\mathbf{j}}a_{\mathbf{j}}$ in the ground state of $H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m}$. This information is needed to control the fixed point equation for $H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m+1}}$.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $max_{1\leq m\leq M}\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}$ be sufficiently small and N sufficiently large. Then the following properties hold true for all $1\leq m\leq M$:*

1) *The Feshbach Hamiltonian* $\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(N)}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m}(z + z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}})$ *in (3.28)-(3.31) is well defined for*

$$z \leq \min\left\{z_m + \gamma\Delta_{m-1} - \frac{C^{\perp}}{(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\,;\, E^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_m} + \sqrt{\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}}\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}\sqrt{\epsilon^2_{\mathbf{j}_m} + 2\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}}\right\} \quad , \quad \gamma = \frac{1}{2}, \tag{4.190}$$

where:

- $z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}$ *is the ground state energy of* $H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}$ *and is defined iteratively in point 2. below;*
- z_m *is the ground state energy of* $H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_m}$*;*
- Δ_{m-1} *(for* $m \geq 1$*) is defined iteratively by* $\Delta_0 := \min\left\{(k_{\mathbf{j}})^2 \,|\, \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}\right\}$ *and*

$$\Delta_m \quad := \quad \gamma\Delta_{m-1} - \frac{C^{\perp}}{(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{2}}} - (\frac{2}{\gamma})^m \frac{C_{III}}{(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{4}}} \tag{4.191}$$

with $C_{III} := C_I + \frac{C^2_{II}}{(1-\gamma)\Delta_0}$ *where* C_I, C_{II} *are introduced in Lemma 4.4.*

2) *For z as in (4.190), there exists a unique value* $z^{(m)}$ *such that*

$$f^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m}(z + z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}})|_{z\equiv z^{(m)}} = 0\,.$$

The inequality

$$|z^{(m)} - z_m| \leq (\frac{2}{\gamma})^m \frac{C_{III}}{(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{4}}} \tag{4.192}$$

holds true.

The Hamiltonian $H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m}$ *has (non-degenerate) ground state energy* $z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m} := z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}} + z^{(m)}$ *where* $z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}|_{m=1} \equiv 0$*. The corresponding eigenvector is given in (3.41)-(3.43).*

3) *The spectral gap of the two operators*

$$H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m} \quad , \quad \left(\hat{H}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m} + \sum_{\mathbf{j}\in\mathbb{Z}^d\setminus\{\pm\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\pm\mathbf{j}_{m+1}\}} (k_{\mathbf{j}})^2 a^*_{\mathbf{j}} a_{\mathbf{j}}\right) \restriction_{\mathcal{F}^N \ominus \mathcal{F}^N_{\pm\mathbf{j}_{m+1}}} \tag{4.193}$$

above the (common) ground state energy $z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m}$ *is larger or equal to* Δ_m*.*

4) *The lower bound*

$$infspec\,[\sum_{l=1}^{m} \hat{H}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_l}] - z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m} \geq -\frac{m}{(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{8}}} \tag{4.194}$$

holds true.

5) *For* $\tilde{C}_m = \frac{\sum_{l=1}^m \phi_{\mathbf{j}_l}}{\Delta_0}$ *the upper bound*

$$\langle \frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m}\|}\,,\, \sum_{\mathbf{j}\in\mathbb{Z}^d\setminus\{\mathbf{0}\}} a^*_{\mathbf{j}} a_{\mathbf{j}} \frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m}\|}\rangle \leq \tilde{C}_m \tag{4.195}$$

holds true.

Proof

For $m = 1$, Property 1) and 2) have been proven in *Corollary 4.6 of [Pi1]* (see also Section 2.2). As far as Property 3) is concerned, we observe that the vector $\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}$ belongs to $\mathcal{F}^N \ominus \mathcal{F}^N_{\pm\mathbf{j}_2}$ and is also eigenvector of $\left(\hat{H}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1} + \sum_{\mathbf{j}\in\mathbb{Z}^d\setminus\{\pm\mathbf{j}_1,\pm\mathbf{j}_2\}}(k_{\mathbf{j}})^2 a^*_{\mathbf{j}} a_{\mathbf{j}}\right) \restriction_{\mathcal{F}^N\ominus\mathcal{F}^N_{\pm\mathbf{j}_2}}$. Thus, if $\psi \in \mathcal{F}^N \ominus \mathcal{F}^N_{\pm\mathbf{j}_2}$, $\|\psi\| = 1$, is orthogonal to $\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}$ we can derive

$$\langle \psi\,,\, \Big(\hat{H}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1} + \sum_{\mathbf{j}\in\mathbb{Z}^d\setminus\{\pm\mathbf{j}_1,\pm\mathbf{j}_2\}} (k_{\mathbf{j}})^2 a^*_{\mathbf{j}} a_{\mathbf{j}}\Big)\psi\rangle \tag{4.196}$$

$$= \langle \psi\,,\, \Big(\hat{H}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1} + \sum_{\mathbf{j}\in\mathbb{Z}^d\setminus\{\pm\mathbf{j}_1\}} (k_{\mathbf{j}})^2 a^*_{\mathbf{j}} a_{\mathbf{j}}\Big)\psi\rangle \tag{4.197}$$

$$= \langle \psi\,,\, H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}\,\psi\rangle \tag{4.198}$$

$$\geq z_{\mathbf{j}_1} + \Delta_1 \tag{4.199}$$

where the last step follows from the result in *Corollary 4.6 of [Pi1]*.

Concerning Property 4), we recall that $\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}$ is also eigenstate of $\hat{H}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}$ with the same eigenvalue z^{Bog}_1. Furthermore, we can restrict $\hat{H}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}$ to any subspace $[\mathcal{F}^N]_j$ of $\mathcal{F}^N$ with fixed number of particles, j, in the modes different from $\pm\mathbf{j}_1$ and $\mathbf{0}$. (We assume that j is even but the results hold in both cases.) The Feshbach flow can be implemented in the same way it has been done for $H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}$ starting from the projections

$$\overline{\hat{\mathscr{P}}}^{(j)} := \hat{Q}^{(j,j+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_1} := Q^{(j,j+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_1}\mathbb{1}_{[\mathcal{F}^N]_j} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\mathscr{P}}^{(j)} := \hat{Q}^{(>j+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_1} := \mathbb{1}_{[\mathcal{F}^N]_j} - \hat{Q}^{(j,j+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_1}$$

and proceeding for $i > j$ (and even) up to the step $i = N-2$ with the definitions

$$\overline{\hat{\mathscr{P}}}^{(i)} := \hat{Q}^{(i,i+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_1} := Q^{(i,i+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_1}\mathbb{1}_{[\mathcal{F}^N]_j} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\mathscr{P}}^{(i)} := \hat{Q}^{(>i+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_1} := \hat{Q}^{(>i-1)}_{\mathbf{j}_1} - \hat{Q}^{(i,i+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_1}$$

until the step $N-2$. We call $\hat{\mathscr{K}}^{Bog\,(i)}_{\mathbf{j}_1}(w)$ the Feshbach Hamiltonians so defined. One can observe that $\hat{Q}^{(>N-1)}_{\mathbf{j}_1}$ is the projection onto the subspace of states with $N-j$ particles in the zero mode state and j particles in the modes different from $\pm\mathbf{j}_1$ and $\mathbf{0}$. Setting $w \equiv z$ we obtain

$$\hat{\mathscr{K}}^{Bog\,(N-2)}_{\mathbf{j}_1}(z) \tag{4.200}$$

$$= -z\hat{Q}^{(>N-1)}_{\mathbf{j}_1} \tag{4.201}$$

$$-\hat{Q}^{(>N-1)}_{\mathbf{j}_1} W_{\mathbf{j}_1}\, \hat{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z) \sum_{l_{N-2}=0}^{\infty} [\hat{\Gamma}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z)\hat{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z)]^{l_{N-2}}\, W^*_{\mathbf{j}_1}\hat{Q}^{(>N-1)}_{\mathbf{j}_1} \tag{4.202}$$

where $\hat{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1\,;\,i,i}(z)$ and $\hat{\Gamma}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1\,;\,i,i}(z)$ have the same definition of $R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1\,;\,i,i}(z)$ and $\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1\,;\,i,i}(z)$ but in terms of $\hat{H}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}$ and of the new projections. Next, we observe that since $\hat{Q}^{(>N-1)}_{\mathbf{j}_1}$ projects onto a subspace of states with no particles in the modes $\pm\mathbf{j}_1$ the operators

$$\left[\hat{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1\,;\,i,i}(z)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} W_{\mathbf{j}_1\,;\,i,i-2}\left[\hat{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1\,;\,i-2,i-2}(z)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{4.203}$$

appearing in (4.202) and entering the definition of $\hat{\Gamma}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z)$ can be restricted to the subspace of states with an even number of particles in the modes $\pm\mathbf{j}_1$. Then we use an argument

analogous to the treatment of (4.175) in Lemma 4.5. We conclude that for N sufficiently large

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\hat{Q}_{\mathbf{j}_1}^{(>N-1)} W_{\mathbf{j}_1} \hat{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z) \sum_{l_{N-2}=0}^{\infty} [\hat{\Gamma}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z) \hat{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z)]^{l_{N-2}} \, W^*_{\mathbf{j}_1} \hat{Q}_{\mathbf{j}_1}^{(>N-1)}\| \\ \leq \; & \frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_1}}{2\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_1} + 2 - \frac{z + \frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_1} \lfloor (\ln N)^{\frac{1}{2}} \rfloor}{N}}{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_1}}} \check{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{j}_1\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z + \frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_1} \lfloor (\ln N)^{\frac{1}{2}} \rfloor}{N}) + O(\frac{1}{(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{2}}}) \,. \end{aligned} \tag{4.204}$$

Since $\check{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{j}_1\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z)$ is nondecreasing in the considered range of the variable z (see *Remark 4.1 of [Pi1]*) the previous inequality implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\hat{Q}_{\mathbf{j}_1}^{(>N-1)} W_{\mathbf{j}_1} \hat{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z) \sum_{l_{N-2}=0}^{\infty} [\hat{\Gamma}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z) \hat{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z)]^{l_{N-2}} \, W^*_{\mathbf{j}_1} \hat{Q}_{\mathbf{j}_1}^{(>N-1)}\| \\ \leq \; & \frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_1}}{2\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_1} + 2 - \frac{z + \frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_1} \lfloor (\ln N)^{\frac{1}{2}} \rfloor}{N} + \frac{1}{2(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{8}}}}{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_1}}} \check{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{j}_1\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z + \frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_1} \lfloor (\ln N)^{\frac{1}{2}} \rfloor}{N} + \frac{1}{2(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{8}}}) + O(\frac{1}{(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{2}}}) \end{aligned} \tag{4.205}$$

and, consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} & -z - \|\hat{Q}_{\mathbf{j}_1}^{(>N-j-1)} W_{\mathbf{j}_1} \hat{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z) \sum_{l_{N-2}=0}^{\infty} [\hat{\Gamma}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z) \hat{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z)]^{l_{N-2}} \, W^*_{\mathbf{j}_1} \hat{Q}_{\mathbf{j}_1}^{(>N-1)}\| \\ \geq \; & \frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_1} \lfloor (\ln N)^{\frac{1}{2}} \rfloor}{N} + \frac{1}{2(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{8}}} - (z + \frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_1} \lfloor (\ln N)^{\frac{1}{2}} \rfloor}{N} + \frac{1}{2(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{8}}}) \end{aligned} \tag{4.206}$$

$$- \frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_1}}{2\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_1} + 2 - \frac{z + \frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_1} N^{\mu}}{N} + \frac{1}{2(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{8}}}}{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_1}}} \check{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{j}_1\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z + \frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_1} \lfloor (\ln N)^{\frac{1}{2}} \rfloor}{N} + \frac{1}{2(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{8}}}) + O(\frac{1}{(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{2}}}) \tag{4.207}$$

This shows that for N large enough and

$$z \leq z_1^{Bog} - \frac{1}{(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{8}}} \leq z_1^{Bog} - \frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_1} \lfloor (\ln N)^{\frac{1}{2}} \rfloor}{N} - \frac{1}{2(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{8}}}$$

the operator $\hat{\mathscr{K}}^{Bog\,(N-2)}_{\mathbf{j}_1}(z)$ acting on $\hat{Q}_{\mathbf{j}_1}^{(>N-1)} \mathcal{F}^N$ is bounded invertible because

$$- (z + \frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_1} \lfloor (\ln N)^{\frac{1}{2}} \rfloor}{N} + \frac{1}{2(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{8}}}) \tag{4.208}$$

$$- \frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_1}}{2\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_1} + 2 - \frac{z + \frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_1} N^{\mu}}{N} + \frac{1}{2(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{8}}}}{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_1}}} \check{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{j}_1\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z + \frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_1} \lfloor (\ln N)^{\frac{1}{2}} \rfloor}{N} + \frac{1}{2(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{8}}}) \tag{4.209}$$

$$\geq \; 0 \tag{4.210}$$

Since this holds for any subspace $[\mathcal{F}^N]_j$ of $\mathcal{F}^N$, and due to the isospectrality of the Feshbach map, also $\hat{H}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1} - z$ is bounded invertible.

Property 5) follows from the identity below

$$z_{\mathbf{j}_1} \tag{4.211}$$

$$= \langle \frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}\|}, \hat{H}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1} \frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}\|} \rangle \tag{4.212}$$

$$= \langle \frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}\|}, \Big\{ \sum_{\mathbf{j}=\pm\mathbf{j}_1} (k^2_{\mathbf{j}} + \phi_{\mathbf{j}} \frac{a^*_{\mathbf{0}} a_{\mathbf{0}}}{N}) a^*_{\mathbf{j}} a_{\mathbf{j}} + \phi_{\mathbf{j}_1} \frac{a^*_{\mathbf{0}} a^*_{\mathbf{0}} a_{\mathbf{j}_1} a_{-\mathbf{j}_1}}{N} + \phi_{\mathbf{j}_1} \frac{a_{\mathbf{0}} a_{\mathbf{0}} a^*_{\mathbf{j}_1} a^*_{-\mathbf{j}_1}}{N} \Big\} \frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}\|} \rangle$$

$$= \langle \frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}\|}, k^2_{\mathbf{j}_1} \sum_{\mathbf{j}=\pm\mathbf{j}_1} a^*_{\mathbf{j}} a_{\mathbf{j}} \frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}\|} \rangle \tag{4.213}$$

$$+ \langle \frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}\|}, \Big\{ \frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_1}}{N} (a^*_{\mathbf{0}} a_{\mathbf{j}_1} + a_{\mathbf{0}} a^*_{-\mathbf{j}_1})(a_{\mathbf{0}} a^*_{\mathbf{j}_1} + a^*_{\mathbf{0}} a_{-\mathbf{j}_1}) - \frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_1}}{N} [a^*_{-\mathbf{j}_1} a_{-\mathbf{j}_1} + a^*_{\mathbf{0}} a_{\mathbf{0}}] \Big\} \frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}\|} \rangle . \tag{4.214}$$

Indeed, since

$$(a^*_{\mathbf{0}} a_{\mathbf{j}_1} + a_{\mathbf{0}} a^*_{-\mathbf{j}_1})(a_{\mathbf{0}} a^*_{\mathbf{j}_1} + a^*_{\mathbf{0}} a_{-\mathbf{j}_1}) \geq 0 \tag{4.215}$$

and

$$a^*_{-\mathbf{j}_1} a_{-\mathbf{j}_1} + a^*_{\mathbf{0}} a_{\mathbf{0}} \leq N \tag{4.216}$$

we readily derive

$$\langle \frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}\|}, \sum_{\mathbf{j}=\pm\mathbf{j}_1} a^*_{\mathbf{j}} a_{\mathbf{j}} \frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}\|} \rangle \leq \frac{z_{\mathbf{j}_1} + \frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_1} N}{N}}{k^2_{\mathbf{j}_1}} \leq \frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_1}}{k^2_{\mathbf{j}_1}} \tag{4.217}$$

where we have used that $z_{\mathbf{j}_1} < 0$ for $\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_1}$ small enough. Furthermore, by construction of $\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}$

$$\langle \frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}\|}, \sum_{\mathbf{j}\in\mathbb{Z}^d\setminus\{\pm\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{0}\}} a^*_{\mathbf{j}} a_{\mathbf{j}} \frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1}\|} \rangle = 0 . \tag{4.218}$$

Now, we assume that Properties 1), 2), 3), 4), and 5) hold for $1 \leq m-1 \leq M-1$ and show that they are true also for m.

Property 1) Given Property 4) at step $m-1$, we can apply Corollary 5.1. Then, Theorem 4.1 ensures that for $z \leq E^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_m} + \sqrt{\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}} \phi_{\mathbf{j}_m} \sqrt{\epsilon^2_{\mathbf{j}_m} + 2\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}}$ the Feshbach Hamiltonian $\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(N-2)}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m}(z + z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}})$ is well defined. Furthermore, thanks to Property 3) at step $m-1$, for

$$z \leq \min \Big\{ z_m - \frac{C^\perp}{(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \gamma \Delta_{m-1} \,;\, E^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_m} + \sqrt{\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}} \phi_{\mathbf{j}_m} \sqrt{\epsilon^2_{\mathbf{j}_m} + 2\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}} \Big\} \quad , \quad \gamma = \frac{1}{2} , \tag{4.219}$$

we can apply Lemma 4.5 and conclude that the operator

$$\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}} \mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(N-2)}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m}(w) \overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}} \quad , \quad w = z + z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}},$$

is bounded invertible in $\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}}\mathcal{F}^N$. Thus, for z in the interval given in (4.219) we can define

$$\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(N)}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m}(w) \tag{4.220}$$

$$= -z\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}} \tag{4.221}$$

$$-\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m;N,N}(w)\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}$$

$$-\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m;\,N,N}(w)\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}}\times \tag{4.222}$$

$$\times\frac{1}{\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}}\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(N-2)}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m}(w)\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}}}\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}}\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m;N,N}(w)\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}$$

$$=: f^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m}(w)\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\mathbf{j}_2,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}. \tag{4.223}$$

Property 2) The Hamiltonian $\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(N)}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m}(z+z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}})$ has eigenvalue zero if there is a solution, $z\equiv z^{(m)}$, to $f^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m}(z+z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}})=0$ in the range given in (4.219). This equation can also be written

$$z = -\langle\frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}\|}\,,\,\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m;N,N}(z+z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}})\frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}\|}\rangle \tag{4.224}$$

$$-\langle\frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}\|}\,,\,\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m;\,N,N}(z+z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}})\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}}\times \tag{4.225}$$

$$\times\frac{1}{\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}}\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(N-2)}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m}(z+z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}})\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}}}\times$$

$$\times\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}}\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m;N,N}(z+z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}})\frac{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}{\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}\|}\rangle\,.$$

Properties 1), 2), and 5) at step $m-1$ enable us to derive estimates (4.48), (4.49) in Lemma 4.4. Previously (see *Property 1)*) we have derived the inequality in (4.186) at step m. Consequently, we can rewrite the fixed point equation in (4.224)-(4.225) in the following form

$$z = -\langle\eta\,,\,\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_m;N,N}(z)\,\eta\rangle + \mathcal{Y}(z) \tag{4.226}$$

with

$$|\mathcal{Y}(z)| \leq \frac{C_I}{(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{4}}} + \frac{C_{II}^2}{(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{4}}(1-\gamma)\Delta_{m-1}} \tag{4.227}$$

$$\leq \frac{C_I}{(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{4}}} + (\frac{2}{\gamma})^m\frac{C_{II}^2}{(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{4}}(1-\gamma)\Delta_0} \tag{4.228}$$

$$\tag{4.229}$$

where we have used that for $1\leq m\leq M$ and N large enough

$$\Delta_{m-1}\geq\Delta_0(\frac{\gamma}{2})^m\,;$$

see the definition of Δ_m in (4.191). Then, the same argument of *Theorem 4.1 of [Pi1]* implies that for N large enough there exists a $z^{(m)}$ that solves the equation in (4.226). Moreover, the inequality

$$|z^{(m)} - z_m| \leq (\frac{2}{\gamma})^m \frac{C_{III}}{(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{4}}} \quad , \quad C_{III} := C_I + \frac{C_{II}^2}{(1-\gamma)\Delta_0}, \tag{4.230}$$

holds because the derivative with respect to z of

$$z + \langle \eta \, , \, \Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_m;N,N}(z)\eta \rangle$$

is not smaller than 1; see *Remark 4.1 of [Pi1]*. Using the isospectrality of the Feshbach map, we deduce that $H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m}$ has the eigenvalue $z^{(m)} + z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}} =: z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m}$ The corresponding eigenvector is given in (3.41)-(3.43).
The uniqueness of $z^{(m)}$ in the considered range of z follows from the fact that for any other value, $(z^{(m)})'$, that solves the fixed point problem we can state the inequality

$$|(z^{(m)})' - z_m| \leq O(\frac{1}{(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{4}}}) \, .$$

Now, assuming that there are two distinct eigenvalues $z_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}} + z^{(m)}$ and $z_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}} + (z^{(m)})'$, the corresponding eigenvectors, $\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m}$ and $(\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m})'$, are given by the formula in (3.41)-(3.43) replacing $z_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m}$ with $z_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}} + z^{(m)}$ and $z_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}} + (z^{(m)})'$, respectively. Next, we exploit the expansion

$$\frac{1}{Q^{(N-2r,N-2r+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_m} \mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(N-2r-2)}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}(w) Q^{(N-2r,N-2r+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_*}} \tag{4.231}$$

$$= \sum_{l_{N-2r}=0}^{\infty} R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}\,;\,N-2r,N-2r}(w) \Big[\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}\,;\,N-2r,N-2r}(w) \, R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}\,;\,N-2r,N-2r}(w) \Big]^{l_{N-2r}} \tag{4.232}$$

where $w = z_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}} + z^{(m)}$ or $w = z_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}} + (z^{(m)})'$ depending on the considered eigenvalue. From the control of the series in (2.48) and by means of a procedure analogous to Lemma 4.5 we can expand the operators $\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}\,;\,N-2r,N-2r}(w)$ and estimate (with a suitable choice of h)

$$\| \psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m} - (\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m})' \| \leq O(\frac{1}{[\ln(\ln N)]^{\frac{1}{2}}}) \tag{4.233}$$

because $|(z^{(m)})' - z_m| \leq O(\frac{1}{(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{4}}})$. Hence, for N large enough the two eigenvectors are not orthogonal and the corresponding eigenvalues must coincide.
Property 3) In Properties 1) and 2) we have derived that in the interval

$$z \leq \min \Big\{ z_m - \frac{C^{\perp}}{(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \gamma \Delta_{m-1} \, ; \, E^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_m} + \sqrt{\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}} \phi_{\mathbf{j}_m} \sqrt{\epsilon^2_{\mathbf{j}_m} + 2\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}} \Big\} \quad , \quad \gamma = \frac{1}{2} \, , \tag{4.234}$$

the Hamiltonian $\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(N)}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m}(z + z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}})$ is bounded invertible except for $z \equiv z^{(m)}$. From *Lemma 5.5 of [Pi1]* we know that $|z_m - E^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_m}| \leq O(\frac{1}{N^{\beta}})$ for any $0 < \beta < 1$. This estimate together with (4.230) imply that for N large enough

$$\text{infspec} \left[H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m} \restriction_{\mathcal{F}^N \ominus \{\mathbb{C}\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m}\}} \right] - z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m} \tag{4.235}$$

$$\geq \quad \Delta_m := \gamma \Delta_{m-1} - \frac{C^{\perp}}{(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{2}}} - (\frac{2}{\gamma})^m \frac{C_{III}}{(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{4}}} \, . \tag{4.236}$$

By means of the same argument used for $m = 1$, we deduce that

$$\text{infspec}\left[\left(\hat{H}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m} + \sum_{\mathbf{j}\notin\{\pm\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\pm\mathbf{j}_{m+1}\}} (k_{\mathbf{j}})^2 a^*_{\mathbf{j}} a_{\mathbf{j}}\right) \restriction_{(\mathcal{F}^N \ominus \mathcal{F}^N_{\pm\mathbf{j}_{m+1}}) \ominus \{\mathbb{C}\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m}\}}\right] - z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m} \geq \Delta_m \,. \quad (4.237)$$

Property 4) The argument is analogous to the case $m = 1$ by the restriction to subspaces with fixed number of particles in the modes different from $\pm\mathbf{j}_1, \dots, \pm\mathbf{j}_m$ and $\mathbf{0}$. Invoking Property 4) at step $m-1$ we can adapt Corollary 5.1 and Theorem 4.1 to the Hamiltonian $\sum_{l=1}^m \hat{H}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_l}$. Hence, we define the Feshbach Hamiltonian ($w \equiv z + z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}$)

$$\hat{\mathscr{K}}^{Bog\,(N-2)}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m}(w) \quad (4.238)$$

$$= \hat{Q}^{(>N-1)}_{\mathbf{j}_m}\Big(\sum_{l=1}^m \hat{H}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_l} - z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}} - z\Big)\hat{Q}^{(>N-1)}_{\mathbf{j}_m} \quad (4.239)$$

$$-\hat{Q}^{(>N-1)}_{\mathbf{j}_m} W_{\mathbf{j}_m} \hat{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(w) \times \quad (4.240)$$

$$\times \sum_{l_{N-2}=0}^{\infty} [\hat{\Gamma}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(w) \hat{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(w)]^{l_{N-2}}\, W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m} \hat{Q}^{(>N-1)}_{\mathbf{j}_1} \,.$$

Due to Property 4) at step $m-1$, the counterpart of inequality (4.205) to be used here is ($w \equiv z + z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}$)

$$\|\hat{Q}^{(>N-1)}_{\mathbf{j}_m} W_{\mathbf{j}_m} \hat{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(w) \sum_{l_{N-2}=0}^{\infty} [\hat{\Gamma}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(w) \hat{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(w)]^{l_{N-2}}\, W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m} \hat{Q}^{(>N-1)}_{\mathbf{j}_m}\|$$

$$\leq \frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}}{2\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m} + 2 - \frac{1}{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}}(z + \frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}\lfloor(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{2}}\rfloor}{N} + \frac{m-1+\frac{1}{2}}{(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{8}}})} \check{\mathscr{G}}_{\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z + \frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}\lfloor(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{2}}\rfloor}{N} + \frac{m-1+\frac{1}{2}}{(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{8}}}) \quad (4.241)$$

$$+O(\frac{1}{(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{2}}}) \,. \quad (4.242)$$

The infimum of the Feshbach Hamiltonian $\hat{\mathscr{K}}^{Bog\,(N-2)}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m}(w)$ is bounded from below by

$$-z - \frac{m-1}{(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{8}}} \quad (4.243)$$

$$-\|\hat{Q}^{(>N-1)}_{\mathbf{j}_m} W_{\mathbf{j}_m} \hat{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(w) \sum_{l_{N-2}=0}^{\infty} [\hat{\Gamma}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(w) \hat{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(w)]^{l_{N-2}}\, W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m} \hat{Q}^{(>N-1)}_{\mathbf{j}_m}\|$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{8}}} + \frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}\lfloor(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{2}}\rfloor}{N} + O(\frac{1}{(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{2}}}) \quad (4.244)$$

$$-(z + \frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}\lfloor(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{2}}\rfloor}{N} + \frac{m-1+\frac{1}{2}}{(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{8}}}) \quad (4.245)$$

$$-\frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}}{2\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m} + 2 - \frac{1}{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}}(z + \frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}\lfloor(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{2}}\rfloor}{N} + \frac{m-1+\frac{1}{2}}{(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{8}}})} \check{\mathscr{G}}_{\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(z + \frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}\lfloor(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{2}}\rfloor}{N} + \frac{m-1+\frac{1}{2}}{(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{8}}}) \,. \quad (4.246)$$

Next, we observe that for N sufficiently large and

$$z + \frac{m - 1 + \frac{1}{2}}{(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{8}}} + \frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m} \lfloor (\ln N)^{\frac{1}{2}} \rfloor}{N} \leq z_m$$

the inequalities (4.244) > 0 and (4.245) + (4.246) ≥ 0 hold true. Hence, the isospectrality of the Feshbach map implies that

$$\sum_{l=1}^{m} \hat{H}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_l} - w$$

is bounded invertible if

$$w = z + z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}} \leq z_m - z^{(m)} + z^{(m)} + z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}} - \frac{m - \frac{1}{2}}{(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{8}}} - \frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m} \lfloor (\ln N)^{\frac{1}{2}} \rfloor}{N} = (z_m - z^{(m)}) + z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m} - \frac{m - \frac{1}{2}}{(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{8}}} - \frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m} \lfloor (\ln N)^{\frac{1}{2}} \rfloor}{N}.$$

The difference $|z_m - z^{(m)}|$ is estimated in (4.192), from which we conclude that

$$|z_m - z^{(m)}| + \frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m} \lfloor (\ln N)^{\frac{1}{2}} \rfloor}{N}$$

is bounded by $\frac{1}{2(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{8}}}$ for N large. Then $\sum_{l=1}^{m} \hat{H}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_l} - w$ is bounded invertible for $w < z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m} - \frac{m}{(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{8}}}$.

Property 5. The argument is analogous to the case $m = 1$.□

The very last result of this section concerns the expansion of the ground state vector $\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_M}$ in terms of the bare quantities.

Corollary 4.6. *Assume that the hypotheses in Proposition 4.2, Lemma 4.4, and Lemma 4.5 are satisfied. Then, for any arbitrarily small $\zeta > 0$, there exists $N_\zeta < \infty$ and a vector $(\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m})_\zeta$, corresponding to a ($\xi$-dependent) finite sum of (finite) products of the interaction terms $W^*_{\mathbf{j}_l} + W_{\mathbf{j}_l}$ and of the resolvents $\frac{1}{\hat{H}^0_{\mathbf{j}_l} - E^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_l}}$ (see (2.3)), $1 \leq l \leq M$, applied to η, such that*

$$\|\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m} - (\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m})_\zeta\| \leq \zeta$$

for $N > N_\zeta$.

Proof
Making use of the formulae in (3.41)-(3.43) and (3.45), we implement the following operations on each T_m starting from $m = M$ down to $m = 1$:

- We replace

$$\Big[\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1\ldots\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}} - \frac{1}{\overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}} \mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(N-2)}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m}) \mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}}}} \overline{\mathscr{P}_{\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1\ldots\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}} \mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(N-2)}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m})}\Big] \psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}} \tag{4.247}$$

with $\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}$ up to a remainder with norm less than $O(\frac{1}{\Delta_{m-1}(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{4}}})$ thanks to (4.144)-(4.148) in Lemma 4.4 and (4.151) in Lemma 4.5.

- We truncate the sum in (3.43) at some $\bar{j}$ using the convergence of the series in (2.48) with $\mathbf{j}_*$ replaced with $\mathbf{j}_m$; the leading expression that remains is

$$\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}} \tag{4.248}$$

$$+\Big[-\frac{1}{Q^{(N-2,N-1)}_{\mathbf{j}_m}\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(N-4)}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m})Q^{(N-2,N-1)}_{\mathbf{j}_m}}Q^{(N-2,N-1)}_{\mathbf{j}_m}W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m}\Big]\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}} \tag{4.249}$$

$$+\sum_{j=2}^{\bar{j}}\Big\{\prod_{r=j}^{2}\Big[-\frac{1}{Q^{(N-2r,N-2r+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_m}\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(N-2r-2)}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m})Q^{(N-2r,N-2r+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_m}}W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m;N-2r,N-2r+2}\Big]\times \tag{4.250}$$

$$\times\Big[-\frac{1}{Q^{(N-2,N-1)}_{\mathbf{j}_m}\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(N-4)}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m})Q^{(N-2,N-1)}_{\mathbf{j}_m}}Q^{(N-2,N-1)}_{\mathbf{j}_m}W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m}\Big]\Big\}\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}$$

  The remainder can be estimated in norm less than $O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}}}[\frac{1}{1+c\sqrt{\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}}}]^{\bar{j}})$ for some $c>0$.

- For each operator that appear in (4.249) and (4.250) we exploit the expansion

$$\frac{1}{Q^{(N-2r,N-2r+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_m}\mathscr{K}^{Bog\,(N-2r-2)}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}(w)Q^{(N-2r,N-2r+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_m}} \tag{4.251}$$

$$=\sum_{l_{N-2r}=0}^{\infty}R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1};\,N-2r,N-2r}(w)\Big[\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1};\,N-2r,N-2r}(w)\,R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1};\,N-2r,N-2r}(w)\Big]^{l_{N-2r}}, \tag{4.252}$$

  with $w=z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m}$. For each summand in (4.250) it is clear that we obtain an expression on which we can implement **Step I**, **II**, **III**, and **IV** of Lemma 4.4 because we have just a finite number of factors (4.251) and the complete (product) operator is applied to $\psi^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}$. This way, for some h to be determined later we replace each (4.251) with a truncation of the sum at $l_{N-2r}=h$ in (4.252) where each $\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1};\,N-2r,N-2r}(w)$ is replaced with

$$[\tilde{\Gamma}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m;\,N-2,N-2}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_m})]_{\tau_h}$$

  and $R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1};\,N-2r,N-2r}(w)$ with $\tilde{R}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1};\,N-2r,N-2r}(z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_m})$, respectively. The norm of the remainder produced in this step is less than $O\Big((\bar{j}^3)\,\frac{h(2h)^{\frac{h}{2}}\cdot\bar{j}(2h)^{\frac{h}{2}}}{N^{\frac{1}{2}}}\Big)$.

- In the leading term (that consists of a finite number of products) obtained after the previous operations, we replace $z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_m}$ with $E^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_m}$ up to a remainder term that can be estimated thanks to *Lemma 5.5 of [Pi1]*. Indeed, this lemma provides the estimate $|z_m-E^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_m}|\le O(\frac{1}{N^{\beta}})$ for any $0<\beta<1$.

Hence, it is evident that repeating the procedure for each m the norm of the sum of all the remainder terms is less than any $\zeta>0$ by setting a suitable ζ-dependence for $\bar{j}$, h, and N. □

5 Appendix

Corollary 5.1. *For $M\ge m\ge 1$ assume:*
(a)

$$infspec\,[\sum_{l=1}^{m-1}\hat{H}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_l}]-z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}\ge-\frac{(m-1)}{(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{8}}} \tag{5.1}$$

where $z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}$ *is the ground state energy of* $H^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}$ *for* $m \geq 2$ *and* $z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots\mathbf{j}_{m-1}}|_{m=1} \equiv 0$*;*

(b)

$$w := z + z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}} \leq z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}} + E^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_m} + (\delta - 1)\phi_{\mathbf{j}_m}\sqrt{\epsilon^2_{\mathbf{j}_m} + 2\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_M}} \tag{5.2}$$

with $\delta < 2$ *and* $\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}$ *sufficiently small.*
Then, for N *sufficiently large*

$$\|\Big[R_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(w)\Big]^{\frac{1}{2}}\, W_{\mathbf{j}_m\,;i,i-2}\,\Big[R_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i-2,i-2}(w)\Big]^{\frac{1}{2}}\| \,\|\Big[R_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i-2,i-2}(w)\Big]^{\frac{1}{2}} W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m\,;i-2,i}\,\Big[R_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(w)\Big]^{\frac{1}{2}}\| \tag{5.3}$$

$$\leq \quad \frac{1}{4(1 + a_{\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}} - \frac{2b_{\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}}}{N-i+2} - \frac{1-c_{\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}}}{(N-i+2)^2})} \tag{5.4}$$

holds for $2 \leq i \leq N-2$*. Here,*

$$a_{\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}} := 2\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m} + O(\epsilon^{\nu}_{\mathbf{j}_m})\,, \quad \nu > \frac{11}{8}\,, \tag{5.5}$$

$$b_{\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}} := (1 + \epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m})\delta\chi_{[0,2)}(\delta)\sqrt{\epsilon^2_{\mathbf{j}_m} + 2\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}} \tag{5.6}$$

and

$$c_{\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}} := -(1 - \delta^2\chi_{[0,2)}(\delta))(\epsilon^2_{\mathbf{j}_m} + 2\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_m}) \tag{5.7}$$

with $\chi_{[0,2)}$ *the characteristic function of the interval* $[0,2)$*.*

Proof

We observe that

$$R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(w) := \mathfrak{Q}^{(i,i+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_m}\frac{1}{\mathfrak{Q}^{(i,i+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_m}[\,\hat{H}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}} + \sum_{\mathbf{j}\in\mathbb{Z}^d\setminus\{\pm\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\pm\mathbf{j}_m\}}(k_{\mathbf{j}})^2 a^*_{\mathbf{j}}a_{\mathbf{j}} - z^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_{m-1}} + \hat{H}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_m} - z\,]\mathfrak{Q}^{(i,i+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_m}}\mathfrak{Q}^{(i,i+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_m}\,. \tag{5.8}$$

Consider the operator

$$S^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(z) := \mathfrak{Q}^{(i,i+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_m}\frac{1}{\mathfrak{Q}^{(i,i+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_m}[\,\hat{H}^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_m} - z - \frac{(m-1)}{(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{8}}}\,]\mathfrak{Q}^{(i,i+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_m}}\mathfrak{Q}^{(i,i+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_m}\,. \tag{5.9}$$

It is enough to observe that due to the inequality in (5.1)

$$\|\Big[R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(w)\Big]^{\frac{1}{2}}\,\frac{1}{\Big[S^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(z)\Big]^{\frac{1}{2}}}\,\mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{Q}^{(i,i+1)}_{\mathbf{j}_m}\mathcal{F}^N}\| \leq 1\,. \tag{5.10}$$

Then, the result is a corollary of *Lemma 3.4 of [Pi1]* where the $N-$dependent correction due to $-\frac{(m-1)}{(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{8}}}$ is hidden in the term $O(\epsilon^{\nu}_{\mathbf{j}_m})$ □

Lemma 5.2. *On the basis of Definition 4.1*

$$\sum_{l=N-2-h,\,l\,even}^{N-4}[\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(w)]_{(l,h_-;l+2,h_-;\dots;N-4,h_-)} \equiv [\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(w)]_{\tau_h} \tag{5.11}$$

where the R-H-S is defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& [\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(w)]_{\tau_h} && (5.12)\\
:= \quad & W_{\mathbf{j}_m} \sum_{l_{i-2}=0}^{h-1} R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m,;\,i-2,i-2}(w) \left\{ [\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i-2,i-2}(w)]_{\tau_h} R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i-2,i-2}(w) \right\}^{l_{i-2}} W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $N-2 \geq i \geq N-h$ *with* $N-4 \geq h \geq 2$ *and even, and*

$$
[\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2-h,N-2-h}(w)]_{\tau_h} := W_{\mathbf{j}_m} R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-4-h,N-4-h}(w) W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m} \,. \tag{5.13}
$$

Proof

For $r = 0$ we define

$$
\{[\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2-h,N-2-h}(w)]_{\tau_h}\}_0 := [\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,,;\,N-2-h,N-2-h}(w)]^{(0)}_{(N-4-h,h_-)} \,, \tag{5.14}
$$

and for $2 \leq r \leq h$ with r even and $h \geq 4$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \{[\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-h-2+r,N-h-2+r}(w)]_{\tau_h}\}_r && (5.15)\\
:= \quad & [\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,,;\,N-h-2+r,N-h-2+r}(w)]_{(N-2-h,h_-;\ldots;N-h-6+r,h_-;N-h-4+r,h_-)} && (5.16)\\
& + [\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,,;\,N-h-2+r,N-h-2+r}(w)]_{(N-2-h+2,h_-;\ldots;N-h-6+r,h_-;N-h-4+r,h_-)} && (5.17)\\
& + \ldots && (5.18)\\
& + [\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,,;\,N-h-2+r,N-h-2+r}(w)]_{(N-h-4+r,h_-)} \,. && (5.19)
\end{aligned}
$$

We shall show by induction that for $N-2 \geq i \geq N-h-2$

$$
[\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(w)]_{\tau_h} = \{[\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-h-2+r_i,N-h-2+r_i}(w)]_{\tau_h}\}_{r_i}\Big|_{r_i \equiv i-N+h+2} \,. \tag{5.20}
$$

This readily implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& [\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-2,N-2}(w)]_{\tau_h} && (5.21)\\
= \quad & \{[\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-h-2+r,N-h-2+r}(w)]_{\tau_h}\}_r\Big|_{r\equiv h} && (5.22)\\
= \quad & \sum_{l=N-2-h,\, l\ even}^{N-4} [\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,,;\,N-2,N-2}(w)]_{(l,h_-;l+2,h_-;\ldots;N-4,h_-)} \,. && (5.23)
\end{aligned}
$$

The statement in (5.20) is true for $i = N-h-2$ because of (5.13) and (5.14). Next, we assume that it holds for $i-2 \geq N-h-2$ and show that it is also true for i. To this purpose, it is enough to check that, for $N-2 \geq i \geq N-h$ and $r_i := i-N+h+2$ ($\Rightarrow \ h \geq r_i \geq 2$), the identity below is fulfilled

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \{[\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(w)]_{\tau_h}\}_{r_i} && (5.24)\\
= \quad & W_{\mathbf{j}_m} \sum_{l_{i-2}=0}^{h-1} R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m,;\,i-2,i-2}(w) \left\{ \{[\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i-2,i-2}(w)]_{\tau_h}\}_{r_i-2} R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i-2,i-2}(w) \right\}^{l_{i-2}} W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m} \\
= \quad & W_{\mathbf{j}_m} R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m,;\,i-2,i-2}(w)\, W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m} && (5.25)\\
& + W_{\mathbf{j}_m} \sum_{l_{i-2}=1}^{h-1} R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m,;\,i-2,i-2}(w) \left\{ \{[\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i-2,i-2}(w)]_{\tau_h}\}_{r_i-2} R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i-2,i-2}(w) \right\}^{l_{i-2}} W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m} && (5.26)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\{[\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i-2,i-2}(w)]_{\tau_h}\}_{r_i-2}$ and $\{[\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i,i}(w)]_{\tau_h}\}_{r_i}$ are given in (5.15) with $r \equiv r_i - 2$ and $r \equiv r_i$, respectively, This is evident if we take into account that:

- by definition (see (4.19), (4.21), and (4.23))

$$[\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-h-2+r_i,N-h-2+r_i}(w)]_{(N-h-4+r_i,h_-)} \tag{5.27}$$

$$= W_{\mathbf{j}_m} R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m;\,i-2,i-2}(w)\, W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m} \tag{5.28}$$

$$+W_{\mathbf{j}_m} \sum_{l_{i-2}=1}^{h-1} R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m;\,i-2,i-2}(w) \times \tag{5.29}$$

$$\times\Big\{[\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-h-2+r_i-2,N-h-2+r_i-2}(w)]^{(0)}_{(N-h-4+r_i-4,h_-)} R^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,i-2,i-2}(w)\Big\}^{l_{i-2}} W^*_{\mathbf{j}_m} \tag{5.30}$$

- each other term in (5.24) of the type

$$[\Gamma^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_1,\dots,\mathbf{j}_m\,;\,N-h-2+r,N-h-2+r_i}(w)]_{(N-4-h+q,h_-;\dots;N-h-4+r_i,h_-)} \tag{5.31}$$

with $0 \le q \le r_i - 2$ (and even) is obtained from the sum in (5.26) according to the definition in (4.25).

□

Lemma 5.3. *Assume that $\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_*}$ is small enough and N large enough such that for $z \le E^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*} + \sqrt{\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_*}}\phi_{\mathbf{j}^*}\sqrt{\epsilon^2_{\mathbf{j}_*}+2\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_*}}$ the functions $\check{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,i,i}(z)$ are well defined and fulfill the bound $\check{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,i,i}(z) \le \frac{1}{x_i}$ where x_i is defined in (2.28). Then, for N large enough, $(0 \le)\Delta n_{\mathbf{j_0}} \le h$, and*

$$z \le E^{Bog}_{\mathbf{j}_*} + \sqrt{\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_*}}\phi_{\mathbf{j}^*}\sqrt{\epsilon^2_{\mathbf{j}_*}+2\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_*}} - \frac{(h+2)\phi_{\mathbf{j}^*}}{N} \tag{5.32}$$

the estimate below holds true for some $g > 0$ not larger than 4

$$\Big|\frac{\partial[\check{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,i,i}(z)]_{\tau_h\,;\,\Delta n_{\mathbf{j_0}}}}{\partial \Delta n_{\mathbf{j_0}}}\Big| \le K\frac{h\cdot g^{i-N+h}}{N^{\frac{1}{2}}} \tag{5.33}$$

where $[\check{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,i,i}(z)]_{\tau_h\,;\,\Delta n_{\mathbf{j_0}}}$ is defined in (4.126)-(4.130) for i even, $N-h \le i \le N-2$ and $i - \Delta n_{\mathbf{j_0}} - 2 \ge 0$. K is a universal constant.

Proof

Starting from the definition

$$[\check{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,i,i}(z)]_{\tau_h\,;\,\Delta n_{\mathbf{j_0}}} := \sum_{l_i=0}^{h-1}\{[\mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;i,i-2}(z)\mathcal{W}^*_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;i-2,i}(z)]_{\Delta n_{\mathbf{j_0}}}\,[\check{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,i-2,i-2}(z)]_{\tau_h\,;\,\Delta n_{\mathbf{j_0}}}\}^{l_i} \tag{5.34}$$

the derivative with respect to $\Delta n_{\mathbf{j_0}}$ yields the relation

$$\frac{\partial[\check{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,i,i}(z)]_{\tau_h\,;\,\Delta n_{\mathbf{j_0}}}}{\partial \Delta n_{\mathbf{j_0}}} \tag{5.35}$$

$$= \sum_{l_i=0}^{h-1} l_i\{[\mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;i,i-2}(z)\mathcal{W}^*_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;i-2,i}(z)]_{\Delta n_{\mathbf{j_0}}}\,[\check{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,i-2,i-2}(z)]_{\tau_h\,;\,\Delta n_{\mathbf{j_0}}}\}^{l_i-1} \times \tag{5.36}$$

$$\times\Big\{\frac{\partial[\mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;i,i-2}(z)\mathcal{W}^*_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;i-2,i}(z)]_{\Delta n_{\mathbf{j_0}}}}{\partial \Delta n_{\mathbf{j_0}}}\,[\check{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,i-2,i-2}(z)]_{\tau_h\,;\,\Delta n_{\mathbf{j_0}}} \tag{5.37}$$

$$+[\mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;i,i-2}(z)\mathcal{W}^*_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;i-2,i}(z)]_{\Delta n_{\mathbf{j_0}}}\,\frac{\partial[\check{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{j}_*\,;\,i-2,i-2}(z)]_{\tau_h\,;\,\Delta n_{\mathbf{j_0}}}}{\partial \Delta n_{\mathbf{j_0}}}\Big\}. \tag{5.38}$$

We observe (see (4.128)-(4.130)) that for $N-h \le i \le N-2$

$$[\mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{j}_*;i,i-2}(z)\mathcal{W}^*_{\mathbf{j}_*;i-2,i}(z)]_{\Delta n_{\mathbf{j_0}}} \le \mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{j}_*;i,i-2}(z+\frac{(h+2)\phi_{\mathbf{j}^*}}{N})\mathcal{W}^*_{\mathbf{j}_*;i-2,i}(z+\frac{(h+2)\phi_{\mathbf{j}^*}}{N}). \tag{5.39}$$

Then, using the results in *Theorem 3.1 of [Pi1]* and the assumption on z (see (5.32)), we can conclude that for $i \le N-4$

$$[\check{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{j}_*;i,i}(z)]_{\tau_h;\Delta n_{\mathbf{j_0}}} \le \check{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{j}_*;i,i}(z+\frac{(h+2)\phi_{\mathbf{j}^*}}{N}) \le \frac{1}{x_i} \le \frac{8}{3}+O(\sqrt{\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_*}}) \tag{5.40}$$

and

$$\sum_{l_i=0}^{h-1} l_i\{[\mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{j}_*;i,i-2}(z)\mathcal{W}^*_{\mathbf{j}_*;i-2,i}(z)]_{\Delta n_{\mathbf{j_0}}}[\check{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{j}_*;i-2,i-2}(z)]_{\tau_h;\Delta n_{\mathbf{j_0}}}\}^{l_i-1} \tag{5.41}$$

$$\le \Big[\frac{1}{1-[\mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{j}_*;i,i-2}(z)\mathcal{W}^*_{\mathbf{j}_*;i-2,i}(z)]_{\Delta n_{\mathbf{j_0}}}[\check{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{j}_*;i-2,i-2}(z)]_{\tau_h;\Delta n_{\mathbf{j_0}}}}\Big]^2 \tag{5.42}$$

$$\le (\check{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{j}_*;i-2,i-2}(z+\frac{(h+2)\phi_{\mathbf{j}^*}}{N}))^2. \tag{5.43}$$

Hence, we can estimate

$$\Big|\frac{\partial[\check{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{j}_*;i,i}(z)]_{\Delta n_{\mathbf{j_0}}}}{\partial\Delta n_{\mathbf{j_0}}}\Big| \tag{5.44}$$

$$\le (\check{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{j}_*;i-2,i-2}(z+\frac{(h+2)\phi_{\mathbf{j}^*}}{N}))^2 \times \tag{5.45}$$

$$\times\Big\{\Big|\frac{\partial[\mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{j}_*;i,i-2}(z)\mathcal{W}^*_{\mathbf{j}_*;i-2,i}(z)]_{\Delta n_{\mathbf{j_0}}}}{\partial\Delta n_{\mathbf{j_0}}}\Big|[\check{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{j}_*;i-2,i-2}(z)]_{\tau_h;\Delta n_{\mathbf{j_0}}} \tag{5.46}$$

$$+[\mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{j}_*;i,i-2}(z)\mathcal{W}^*_{\mathbf{j}_*;i-2,i}(z)]_{\Delta n_{\mathbf{j_0}}}\Big|\frac{\partial[\check{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{j}_*;i-2,i-2}(z)]_{\tau_h;\Delta n_{\mathbf{j_0}}}}{\partial\Delta n_{\mathbf{j_0}}}\Big|\Big\}. \tag{5.47}$$

Furthermore, from

$$[\mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{j}_*;i,i-2}(z)\mathcal{W}^*_{\mathbf{j}_*;i-2,i}(z)]_{\Delta n_{\mathbf{j_0}}} \le \frac{1}{2}+O(\sqrt{\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_*}}) \tag{5.48}$$

and from the computation

$$\frac{\partial[\mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{j}_*;i,i-2}(z)\mathcal{W}^*_{\mathbf{j}_*;i-2,i}(z)]_{\Delta n_{\mathbf{j_0}}}}{\partial\Delta n_{\mathbf{j_0}}} \tag{5.49}$$

$$= \frac{-2i+2\Delta n_{\mathbf{j_0}}-1}{N^2}\phi^2_{\mathbf{j}_*}\times \tag{5.50}$$

$$\times\frac{(N-i+2)^2}{4\Big[(\frac{i-\Delta n_{\mathbf{j_0}}}{N}\phi_{\mathbf{j}_*}+(k_{\mathbf{j}_*})^2)(N-i)-z\Big]\Big[(\frac{i-2-\Delta n_{\mathbf{j_0}}}{N}\phi_{\mathbf{j}_*}+(k_{\mathbf{j}_*})^2)(N-i)+2(\frac{i-2-\Delta n_{\mathbf{j_0}}}{N}\phi_{\mathbf{j}_*}+\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_*})-z\Big]} \tag{5.51}$$

$$+\frac{(i-\Delta n_{\mathbf{j_0}}-1)(i-\Delta n_{\mathbf{j_0}})}{N^2}\phi^2_{\mathbf{j}_*}\times \tag{5.52}$$

$$\times\frac{\frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_*}(N-i)}{N}(N-i+2)^2}{4\Big[(\frac{i-\Delta n_{\mathbf{j_0}}}{N}\phi_{\mathbf{j}_*}+(k_{\mathbf{j}_*})^2)(N-i)-z\Big]^2\Big[(\frac{i-2-\Delta n_{\mathbf{j_0}}}{N}\phi_{\mathbf{j}_*}+(k_{\mathbf{j}_*})^2)(N-i)+2(\frac{i-2-\Delta n_{\mathbf{j_0}}}{N}\phi_{\mathbf{j}_*}+\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_*})-z\Big]} \tag{5.53}$$

$$+\frac{(i-\Delta n_{\mathbf{j_0}}-1)(i-\Delta n_{\mathbf{j_0}})}{N^2}\phi^2_{\mathbf{j}_*}\times \tag{5.54}$$

$$\times \frac{\{\frac{\phi_{\mathbf{j}_*}(N-i)}{N} + \frac{2\phi_{\mathbf{j}_*}}{N}\}(N-i+2)^2}{4\Big[(\frac{i-\Delta n_{\mathbf{j_0}}}{N}\phi_{\mathbf{j}_*} + (k_{\mathbf{j}_*})^2)(N-i) - z\Big]\Big[(\frac{i-2-\Delta n_{\mathbf{j_0}}}{N}\phi_{\mathbf{j}_*} + (k_{\mathbf{j}_*})^2)(N-i) + 2(\frac{i-2-\Delta n_{\mathbf{j_0}}}{N}\phi_{\mathbf{j}_*} + \epsilon_{\mathbf{j}_*}) - z\Big]^2} \quad (5.55)$$

we derive

$$\Big|\frac{\partial[\mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{j}_*;i,i-2}(z)\curlyvee\mathcal{W}^*_{\mathbf{j}_*;i-2,i}(z)]_{\Delta n_{\mathbf{j_0}}}}{\partial\Delta n_{\mathbf{j_0}}}\Big| \leq O(\frac{h}{N})\,. \quad (5.56)$$

Finally, for N large enough, by induction we can conclude that

$$\Big|\frac{\partial[\check{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{j}_*;i,i}(z)]_{\tau_h;\Delta n_{\mathbf{j_0}}}}{\partial\Delta n_{\mathbf{j_0}}}\Big| \leq K\frac{h\cdot g^{i-N+h}}{N^{\frac{1}{2}}} \quad (5.57)$$

for some universal constants K and $g \in (3,4)$. □

Acknowledgements

I want to thank D.-A. Deckert, J. Fröhlich, and P. Pickl for many stimulating discussions on the contents of this paper. I am indebted to D.-A. Deckert for his contribution to this project at its earliest stage and for helping with the implementation of crucial numerical simulations.

References

[BFS] V. Bach, J. Fröhlich, I.M. Sigal, *Renormalization group analysis of spectral problems in quantum field theory.* Adv. Math., 137 (2) (1998), pp. 205-298

[BCFS] V. Bach, T. Chen, J. Fröhlich, I.M. Sigal, *Smooth Feshbach map and operator-theoretic renormalization group methods.* J. Funct. Anal., 203 (1) (2003), pp. 44Ð92

[BFKT1] T. Balaban, J. Feldman, H. Knörrer, and E. Trubowitz, *A Functional Integral Representation for Many Boson Systems I: The Partition Function* Annales Henri Poincare, Vol. 8, 2008.

[BFKT2] T. Balaban, J. Feldman, H. Knörrer, and E. Trubowitz, *A Functional Integral Representation for Many Boson Systems II: Correlation Functions* Annales Henri Poincare, Vol. 9, 2008.

[BFKT] T. Balaban, J. Feldman, H. Knörrer, and E. Trubowitz, *The temporal ultraviolet limit for complex bosonic many-body models.* Annales Henri Poincare, Vol. 11, 2010.

[Be] G. Benfatto, *Renormalization group approach to zero temperature Bose condensation.* Palaiseau, July 25-27, 1994. Proceedings of the workshop ÒConstructive results in Field Theory, Statistical Mechanics and Condensed Matter PhysicsÓ.

[Bo1] N.N. Bogoliubov, *On the theory of superfluidity.* J. Phys. (U.S.S.R.) 11, 2332 (1947)

[Bo2] N.N. Bogoliubov, *Energy levels of the imperfect Bose-Einstein gas.* Bull. Moscow State Univ. 7, 43-56 (1947)

[CDPS1] C. Castellani, C. Di Castro, F. Pistolesi, and G. C. Strinati, *Infrared behavior of interacting bosons at zero temperature.* Phys. Rev. Lett., 78(9), 1997.

[CDPS2] C. Castellani, C. Di Castro, F. Pistolesi, and G. C. Strinati, *Renormalization- group approach to the infrared behavior of a zero-temperature bose system.* Phys. Rev. B, 69(2), 2004.

[C] S. Cenatiempo, *Low dimensional interacting bosons.* arXiv preprint:1211.3772

[CG] S. Cenatiempo, A. Giuliani, *Renormalization theory of a two dimensional Bose gas: quantum critical point and quasi-condensed state.* J. of Stat. Phys., Vol. 157, Issue 4, pp 755-829 (2014)

[DFPP] D.-A. Deckert, J. Fröhlich, P. Pickl, A. Pizzo, *Dynamics of Sound Waves in an Interacting Bose Gas.* http://arxiv.org/abs/1406.1590

[DN] J. Derezinski, M. Napiorkowski *Excitation Spectrum of Interacting Bosons in the Mean-Field Infinite-Volume Limit.* Annales Henri Poincare, Vol. 15, Issue 12, pp 2409-2439 (2014)

[ESY] L. Erdös, B. Schlein, H.-T. Yau, *Ground-state energy of a low-density Bose gas: A second- order upper bound.* Phys. Rev. A 78, 053627 (2008)

[G] M. Girardeau, *Relationship between Systems of Impenetrable Bosons and Fermions in One Dimension.* J. Math. Phys. 1, 516-523 (1960).

[GS] A. Giuliani, R. Seiringer, *The Ground State Energy of the Weakly Interacting Bose Gas at High Density.* J. Stat. Phys. 135, 915-934 (2009)

[GS] P. Grech, R. Seiringer, *The excitation spectrum for weakly interacting bosons in a trap*. Comm. Math. Phys. 332, 559-591 (2013)

[GH] M. Griesemer, D. Hasler, *On the smooth FeshbachÐSchur map.* J. Funct. Anal. Vol. 254, Issue 9, Pages 2329- 2335 (2008)

[LNSS] M. Lewin, P.T. Nam, S. Serfaty, J.P. Solovej , *Bogoliubov spectrum of interacting Bose gases.* To appear in Comm. Pure Appl. Math. arXiv:1211.2778 [math-ph]

[L] E.H. Lieb, *Exact Analysis of an Interacting Bose Gas. II. The Excitation Spectrum.* Phys. Rev. 130, 1616 - 1624 (1963)

[LL] E.H. Lieb, *Exact Analysis of an Interacting Bose Gas. I. The General Solution and the Ground State.* Phys. Rev. 130, 1605-1616 (1963).

[LS1] E.H. Lieb, J.P. Solovej, *Ground State Energy of the One-Component Charged Bose Gas.* Commun. Math. Phys. 217, 127-163 (2001). Errata 225, 219-221 (2002)

[LS2] E.H. Lieb, J.P. Solovej, *Ground State Energy of the Two-Component Charged Bose Gas.* Commun. Math. Phys. 252, 485-534 (2004)

[NS] P.T. Nam, R. Seiringer, *Collective Excitations of Bose Gases in the Mean-Field Regime.* http://arxiv.org/pdf/1402.1153.pdf

[Pi1] A. Pizzo, *Bose particles in a box I. A convergent expansion of the ground state of the three-modes Bogoliubov Hamiltonian.* in arxiv.org

[Pi3] A. Pizzo, *Bose particles in a box III. A convergent expansion of the ground state of the Hamiltonian in the mean field limiting regime.* in arxiv.org

[Se1] R. Seiringer, *The Excitation Spectrum for Weakly Interacting Bosons.* Commun. Math. Phys. 306, 565-578 (2011)

[YY] H.-T. Yau, J. Yin, *The Second Order Upper Bound for the Ground Energy of a Bose Gas.* J. Stat. Phys. 136, 453503 (2009)